Evaluation of the effectiveness of liraglutide on metabolic parameters in the treatment of obesity
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Abstract

Background: One of the essential chronic diseases is obesity, which negatively affects the individual and society. Liraglutide (LG) is an effective treatment for both obesity treatment and metabolic control. This study aims to show the effect of a 3.0 mg dose of LG, injected subcutaneously once a day, on weight loss and metabolic parameters.

Methods: This retrospective single-center study included 67 patients (60 women and 7 men) with a BMI of at least 27 with comorbidities or a BMI of at least 30. Demographic characteristics, anthropometric measurements, and biochemical data of the participants were evaluated at the end of the 4 and 16 weeks.

Results: The mean body weight (BW) loss of patients using LG at 16 weeks was -11.71±2.21 kg. After the 4 and 16 weeks of beginning the LG use, the patients who lost more than 5% of initial BW were 38.8% vs. 76.1%, respectively (p=0.034). The mean baseline Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance Index, hemoglobin A1c, low-density lipoprotein, and triglycerides values were significantly higher than the 4 and 16 weeks (p<0.001). Twenty-two (32.8 %) patients experienced side effects (SE) after starting LG treatment, and the most common SE was found to be nausea (29.4%).

Conclusion: The use of LG, which is not covered by insurance, together with diet and exercise, has been shown to have clinically significant weight loss and a positive effect on glycemic values and lipid profile.

Introduction

Obesity, which is considered a multifactorial and complex disease that negatively affects health, is one of the most important causes of preventable deaths today. It contributes to the development of many health problems, such as type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension (HT), hyperlipidemia (HL), cerebrovascular disease, various cancers, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS), fatty liver, gastroesophageal reflux, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), osteoarthritis, and depression [1,2]. Therefore, it creates a significant burden on the health budgets of societies. The prevalence of obesity is increasing in our country and reaching epidemic proportions as it is all over the World. In the Turkey Diabetes Epidemiology Studies (TURDEP) conducted in 1998 and 2010, it was seen that the prevalence of obesity in our country increased from 22.3% to 31.2% [3,4]. In 2016, the WHO reported that the country where obesity is most common in Europe is Turkey, with a prevalence of 29.5% [5].

Three methods are used in obesity treatment: lifestyle change, pharmacotherapy, and bariatric surgery. Clinical studies have shown the effectiveness of lifestyle change and behavioral interventions in obesity. Drugs that provide 5% weight loss in 3-6 months have been accepted as effective in the treatment and have been approved by pharmaceutical institutions worldwide for managing chronic obesity. Adding pharmacotherapy to lifestyle changes helps with further weight loss. It facilitates patients' compliance with treatment and helps improve obesity-related health risks, thus contributing to increased quality of life.

Liraglutide (LG), one of the limited number of obesity drugs in our country, is a long-acting GLP-1 receptor agonist (GLP-1 RA) that is resistant to metabolism by the dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP)-IV enzyme [6]. GLP-1 analogs induce weight loss through many central and peripheral mechanisms. It stimulates glucose-dependent insulin release, reduces the glucagon response, and reduces appetite by slowing gastric emptying [7]. In vitro studies have shown that liraglutide has a central effect and directly stimulates the "cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript" and "pro-opiomelanocortin" neurons and indirect inhibition in neurons expressing "Agouti-related peptide" and "neuropeptide Y" in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus [8]. Thus, appetite is suppressed, energy intake is reduced, and weight loss occurs with these mechanisms. The positive effects of LG on weight loss and metabolic parameters have been emphasized in various studies [9-13].

In Turkey, LG 3 mg (Saxenda®; Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) was approved for treating obesity in May.
2018. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the effects of LG treatment on weight loss, glycemic and lipid parameters, and the side effects (SE) of this drug as a contribution to the limited number of studies conducted in our country.

**Materials And Methods**

**Study design and participants**

This single-center and retrospective study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Bursa City Hospital (approval number 2023-19/2) and was performed per the Declaration of Helsinki.

The 67 participants between 18 and 65 years old who used liraglutide for at least 16 weeks due to obesity treatment between July 2020 and September 2022 in Bursa City Hospital were included in the study. Individuals who had undergone bariatric surgery, previously used GLP-1RA or another drug that affects weight, and had diseases that could cause weight loss, such as cancer, psychiatric disease, eating disorders, and chronic kidney disease, were not included in the study. Pregnant or breastfeeding women are also excluded. LG was given to obese people (BMI>30kg/m²) who could not achieve adequate weight loss despite complying with lifestyle changes or to people with BMI>27 kg/m² and at least one comorbidity (uncontrolled DM, HT, OSAS, HL, etc.).

Treatment was started with 0.6 mg daily, and the dose was titrated weekly and increased to 3 mg/day, according to SEs. All patients were also given a personalized low-calorie-restricted diet and at least 150 minutes of weekly physical activity.

The participants’ diagnoses, medications, prescriptions, demographic characteristics, and laboratory results were accessed in the hospital computer database. The body weight (BW), body mass index (BMI), comorbidities of the patients, and follow-up laboratory results at 4 and 16 weeks were recorded. The patients were questioned about possible drug-related SEs at each follow-up examination.

The BW was detected on a scale without shoes and extra clothing. BMI was calculated as the BW (kilograms) divided by the squared height (in meters). All biochemical parameters were analyzed from serum samples after eight hours of fasting. Plasma values of fasting glucose (FG), fasting insulin (FI), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), and lipid profile [triglycerides (TG) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL)] were recorded. Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance Index (HOMA-IR): FG (mg/l)´FI (mU/l) /405 was used to measure insulin resistance for all individuals. Type 2 DM, prediabetes, HT, HL, OSAS, PCOS, and a history of CVD were obtained from the patient’s records. Prediabetes and type 2 DM were diagnosed according to the American Diabetes Association’s diabetes diagnostic criteria [14].

**Statistical analysis**

We used the IBM SPSS 21.0 Statistic version 23 package program (Released in 2019; IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, United States) and performed statistical analyses. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation for descriptive statistics, and categorical variables were expressed as frequency and percentages. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normal distribution. The mean values of variables with normal distribution were compared using Student’s t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) and those without a normal distribution by Mann-Whitney U test. The significance level was considered as p-values <0.05.

**Results**

Seventy-one patients were evaluated in the study. Due to the higher cost of LG, 10 participants could not continue the treatment for 16 weeks. Therefore, it was not included in the statistical analysis. Sixty (89.5%) women and 7 (10.5%) men with a mean age of 42.8 ± 4.4 years met the inclusion criteria. At the beginning of the study in patients, mean BW and BMI were 103.8±18.7 kg and 35.2±7.2 kg/m². The participants’ baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. Patients were classified as overweight, class 1, class 2, and class 3 obese according to their BMI. It was determined that most patients were in the class 2 obese (n=17, 25.3%). Of the study patients, 19 (28.4%) were prediabetic, 45 (67.1%) were normoglycemic, and 3 (4.5%) were diabetic. There was no concomitant disease in 38 (56.7%) patients. Other comorbidities are shown in Table 1.
Variables | (n = 67)
--- | ---
### Demographic parameters
Age, years | 42.8 ± 4.4
Gender (female) (n, %) | 60 (89.5)
### Anthropometric parameters
Weight, kg | 103.8 ± 18.7
BMI, kg/m² | 35.2 ± 7.21
Overweight (27 to 29.9 kg/m²) (n, %) | 5 (7.5)
Obese class 1 (30 to 34.9 kg/m²) (n, %) | 29 (43.3)
Obese class 2 (35 to 39.9 kg/m²) (n, %) | 17 (25.3)
Obese class 3 (>40 kg/m²) (n, %) | 16 (23.9)
### Comorbidities
Diabetes status
Normoglycemic (n, %) | 45 (67.1)
Prediabetic (n, %) | 19 (28.4)
Diabetic (n, %) | 3 (4.5)
Hypothyroidism (n, %) | 9 (13.4)
Hypertension (n, %) | 14 (20.9)
Hyperlipidemia (n, %) | 26 (38.8)
CVD | 2 (2.9)
OSAS | 5 (7.4)
PCOS | 7 (10.4)

**TABLE 1: Characteristics of the participants at baseline**

BMI: Body mass index; CVD: Cardiovascular disease; OSAS: Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; PCOS: Polycystic ovary syndrome.

In this study, all patients reached the LG 3 mg/day target dose and were follow-up. The mean BW levels decreased from 103.8±18.7 kg at the beginning of the therapy to 97.6±17.5 kg at 4-week and 92.1±16.4 kg at 16-week. Comparative analyses were found between baseline and 4 weeks (p=0.023), baseline and 16 weeks (p<0.001), and 4 and 16 weeks (p=0.019). The mean BMI decreased from 35.2 ± 7.21 kg/m² at baseline to 33.72±7.22 kg/m² at 4-week and 29.61± 7.14 kg/m² at 16-week. Comparative analyses were conducted between baseline and 4 weeks (p=0.045), baseline and 16 weeks (p<0.001), and 4 and 16 weeks (p<0.034). At the end of the 16-week, the percentage of body weight loss (BWL) change was found to be comparable between obesity classes 1, 2 and 3 (-9.81±1.93 %, -11.02±2.11 %, and -12.94±2.94 %, respectively; p = 0.954), and similar rates of ≥5% BWL were achieved between the three groups (72.6 %, 74.8 % and 78.5 %, respectively; p = 0.623).

When evaluated according to their average BWL, the mean BWL and BMI loss of patients using LG in the first 4 and 16 weeks after treatment initiation were -6.17 ± 1.34 kg, -1.51 ± 1.25 kg/m², and -11.71±2.21 kg, -5.56±1.88 kg/m², respectively (Table 2). After the 4 and 16 weeks of beginning the LG use, the patients who lost more than 5% of initial BW were 38.8% vs. 76.1%, respectively (p=0.034). At 4 weeks, 14.9% of participants had >10% BWL, and this rate increased to 59.7% at 16 weeks (Table 2).
Changes in metabolic parameters such as FG, FI, HOMA-IR, HbA1c, LDL, and TG values before starting treatment and at the 4 and 16 weeks are summarized in Table 3. A statistically significant difference was observed between the baseline, week 4, and week 16 mean HOMA-IR values (p<0.001). The baseline HOMA-IR levels were statistically significantly higher than the week-4 and week-16 HOMA-IR levels (p<0.001). A statistically significant difference was observed in HbA1c levels between baseline, 4-week, and 16-week (p<0.001). The 16-week mean HbA1c levels were statistically significantly lower than the baseline and 4-week HbA1c levels (p <0.001). Similar findings were shown for mean FG and FI levels, with the 16-week levels significantly lower than baseline and 4-week (p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively). There was a significant decrease in baseline LDL and TG concentrations at the end of the 4 and 16 weeks (p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively). In contrast, the difference between the 4 and 16 weeks was insignificant (p=0.234, p=0.089, respectively).

While 45 (67.2%) patients did not experience any SEs after starting LG treatment, the most common SE were nausea (29.4%), abdominal pain (11.8%), vomiting (10.3%), diarrhea (7.2%), and others (15.9%) (headache, dyspepsia, influenza-like symptoms, constipation), respectively. Despite these digestive SEs, none of the patients discontinued their treatment.

**Discussion**
This study aimed to demonstrate the effectiveness and SE profile of overweight and obese patients with LG treatment evaluated in clinical practice. The findings of this retrospective study showed that mean BW, BMI, FG, FI, HOMA-IR, HbA1c, LDL, and TG levels were significantly reduced in obese or overweight patients at 16-week follow-up.

Obesity is a chronic disease associated with high morbidity and mortality risks and limited quality of life that require long-term medical attention. In addition, the increase in health expenditures brings heavy burdens to the country’s economies. Treatment options include diet and exercise, medication, or surgery. Many drugs with different mechanisms of action can be used to treat obesity. Although pharmacotherapy is an effective method in the treatment of obesity, drug costs are often a limiting factor. Obesity treatment options worldwide include phentermine, phentermine/topiramate, lorcaserin, naltrexone-bupropion, diethylpropion, orlistat, and LG. In Turkey, only orlistat and LG are approved for use in treating obesity. Pancreatic lipase inhibitor orlistat has serious gastrointestinal SEs, and it is difficult to achieve tolerable. In a European study, GLP-1 analogs are more effective in weight loss than orlistat and glimepiride [15]. In another retrospective study from Spain, BWL with LG (−7.7 kg) was significantly greater than that observed with orlistat (−3.5 kg), and approximately two and a half times more patients lost at least 5% of their initial BW with LG than with orlistat [16]. Opioid antagonists with antidepressant effects Naltrexone-bupropion, sympathomimetic Phentermine+ topiramate, and pramlintide were found to be as effective as LG in weight loss, but they were not recommended due to SEs; therefore, the use of LG comes to the fore [17].

The SCALE randomized controlled clinical trial followed 3731 participants with obesity receiving LG; over 13 months, 63.2% and 33.1% of all participants significantly lost at least 5% and 10% of their BW, respectively [9]. In a meta-analysis, Konwar et al. included approximately 6,000 patients who did not have DM but were obese and using LG and observed 2.8-11.8 kg of BWL in their follow-up at 12-56 weeks [18]. Recently, Cetiner et al. from Turkey evaluated 201 patients using LG for 12 months and showed significant BWL. After 5 months from the LG treatment, 72.14% of the patients (n=145/201), and at the end of 6 months, almost all (n=96/106) had more than 5% weight loss observed. Additionally, the mean weight loss was 17.79 ± 8.93 kg for those who continued treatment for 12 months [19]. Our investigation determined that LG 3.0 mg for patients with obesity or overweight led to significant BWL of 6.1 to 11.7 kg (4.9%-10.9%) at 4 and 16 weeks of treatment, respectively. The results revealed that over 75 % and 55% of the participants who used the LG for the initial 16 weeks achieved > 5% and >10%BWL, respectively. These findings are similar to or mostly higher than those reported in previous randomized controlled clinical trials [9, 20–22]. Additionally, our results are superior to those of Italian [10], Canadian [11], and Spanish [16]; real-life studies demonstrated that 64% to 68% of patients exhibited >5% BWL and 20% to 35% of patients exhibited >10% BWL at 4 to 7 months of treatment. In contrast, our study compared to a smaller cohort from the Swiss (n=54) showed that the percentage of patients reaching >5% weight loss at 16 weeks was lower [12]. In that study with a 4-month follow-up, 87% of subjects showed>5% BWL, and this percentage increased to 96% at 10 months [12]. This difference in results can be attributed to different nutritional habits between populations. In Turkey, where dietary habits consume extremely high amounts of carbohydrates, transitioning to a low-calorie diet combined with the appetite-suppressing effects of LG may have resulted in more significant weight loss in a short period.

In patients using LG, diabetes regulation is impaired due to its effect on decreased appetite and gastrointestinal intolerance. In addition, significant weight losses were noted. A recently published randomized placebo-controlled trial of LG found that patients who experienced nausea achieved a more significant absolute weight loss [23]. Previous studies found a direct correlation between drug dose and weight loss [10,20]. The BWL increases, especially when the dose is increased to 2.4-3.0 mg/day [24]. In this study, all patients started with LG 0.6 mg and reached the 3mg maximum dose with dose titration within 4 weeks. Therefore, the relationship between weight loss and drug dose could not be evaluated.

In another study conducted in Canada, the effectiveness of LG was determined according to the degree of obesity, and no difference in the effectiveness of LG was found in stage 1, stage 2, and stage 3 obese patients [11]. In our study, the percentage of BW change and >5% BWL were similar between obesity classes.

Our study, consistent with a recent meta-analysis, showed a significant decrease in glycemic control variables such as HbA1c, FG, FI, HOMA-IR, and fasting lipid parameters [25]. However, it is unclear whether GLP-1RAs ameliorate metabolic parameters to the same extent in obese patients with and without diabetes. Santini et al. found considerable improvement in triglycerides, glucose profile, and insulin resistance but no significant changes in total cholesterol, LDL, or high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels [12].

The SEs of LG were consistent with findings in previous reports. Our evaluation of LG’s SEs showed that 67.1% did not experience any SEs, while 32.9% reported SEs. The most common SE was nausea, observed in approximately 1 in 3 participants. Other reported SEs included abdominal pain, vomiting, diarrhea, headache, dyspepsia, influenza-like symptoms, and constipation. SEs were mild to moderate and transient with symptomatic treatment or dose reduction [26]. In our study, patients had nausea during the increase in dose, especially in the transition to 1.2-1.8 mg/day, and many of them were given only symptomatic treatment. Also, LG is not recommended for those with a personal or family history of pancreatitis and multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) 2A and 2B [27].
Despite the positive effectiveness in metabolic control and weight loss of LG, it continues to be an expensive treatment in our country. We think that GLP-1 analogs should be included in the scope of health insurance, considering that they will provide severe benefits in the fight against obesity in the future.

This study has several limitations. The first is that the study was single-center, retrospective, and small sample size. Secondly, it cannot provide long-term BW changes because the follow-up lasted only 16 weeks. Due to the cost of the medicine, the duration of use of patients is shortened, and long-term results cannot be evaluated. Finally, most of our study participants were women; thus, studies with a greater number of both genders are needed.

**Conclusions**

In recent years, medical treatments for obesity, in addition to lifelong adequate and balanced nutrition, physical activity, and behavioral therapies, have come to the fore. This study showed a clinically significant decrease in BW and improved cardiometabolic parameters in 4 and 16 weeks of treatment with LG. It stands as a safe and effective medical treatment modality for addressing the issue of obesity. Unfortunately, financial limitations in drug use remain a significant obstacle.
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