

Medical and Surgical Emergencies in Occupational Medicine: A Comprehensive Review

Joshua A. Jogie 1, Jeremy Jogie 2, Amrita P. Ramharacksingh 3, Nyeil C. Ali 3

Review began 01/02/2025
Review ended 01/04/2025
Published 01/06/2025

© Copyright 2025
Jogie et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

DOI: 10.7759/cureus.76985

1. Faculty of Medical Sciences, The University of the West Indies, St. Augustine, TTO 2. Family Medicine, Chaguanas District Health Facility, Chaguanas, TTO 3. Accident and Emergency, North West Regional Health Authority, Port of Spain, TTO

Corresponding author: Joshua A. Jogie, dr.joshua.jogie@gmail.com

Abstract

This review aims to provide a general resource for occupational health stakeholders. It also serves as a clinical guide for frontline providers and a policy framework for employers and regulators. Medical and surgical emergencies in occupational settings can cause serious harm if not identified and managed early. This review covers trauma, chemical exposures, thermal injuries, respiratory distress, and infectious hazards. We outline clinical signs, diagnostic steps, initial care, and follow-up plans. We also discuss preventive strategies such as hazard assessments and safety protocols. Evidence-based guidelines and practical methods can lower injury and death rates. Our objective is to help stakeholders recognize risks, respond fast, and improve outcomes. Future studies should monitor and examine new threats, such as novel industrial processes and evolving pathogens, to optimize workplace safety.

Categories: Emergency Medicine, General Surgery, Occupational Health
Keywords: emergency management, injury prevention, occupational medicine, trauma, workplace hazards

Introduction And Background

Occupational medicine studies diseases and injuries from workplace exposures, processes, and environments. It draws on toxicology, epidemiology, and clinical medicine. Workers in many sectors face serious risks from chemicals, physical hazards, and psychosocial stressors. Understanding acute medical and surgical emergencies in the workplace is important, as these events often demand immediate action. Table 1 summarizes major types of occupational hazards and their common outcomes.

Table with 3 columns: Hazard type, Examples, Typical injuries or illnesses. Rows include Trauma, Chemical exposures, Thermal injuries, Respiratory hazards, Infectious hazards, Ergonomic hazards, and Psychological hazards.

TABLE 1: Common occupational hazards and typical injuries

CNS: central nervous system

Traumatic workplace injuries range from superficial wounds to life-threatening events [1]. Falls, vehicle collisions, and machinery accidents contribute to blunt or penetrating trauma [2]. Heavy machinery can cause crush or amputation injuries. Explosives may lead to complex blast wounds involving bones, vessels, and soft tissue [3]. Rapid first aid and evacuation are critical in these instances. Providers must consider contaminated wounds that involve chemicals or pathogens [4].

Chemical exposures pose acute risks. Inhaled or dermal contact with solvents, pesticides, or heavy metals can cause respiratory distress, organ toxicity, and other systemic effects [5]. Industries handling reactive chemicals face possible fire outbreaks, explosions, or toxic releases [6]. Immediate evacuation, substance

identification, and targeted treatments are vital. Antidotes like chelating agents can help, but success ultimately depends on quick triage.

Thermal injuries are also common. Workers exposed to molten metals, open flames, or high temperatures face burn-related risks [7]. Burns range from first-degree to severe third-degree injuries. Electrical burns can damage deep tissues with minimal surface signs, while chemical burns from strong acids or alkalis create unique management challenges [8]. Early burn care, fluid management, and possible burn unit referral improve outcomes.

Respiratory emergencies occur in dusty or chemical-heavy industries [9]. Large dust loads can lead to acute breathing problems. Toxic gas leaks (e.g., ammonia, chlorine) can cause immediate airway irritation, wheezing, or pulmonary edema [10]. Removal from exposure and providing supportive therapies, such as oxygen or bronchodilators, are key. Proper ventilation, sealed storage, and personal protective equipment (PPE) help prevent these events [11].

Infectious hazards affect certain occupations. Needlestick injuries can transmit hepatitis B, hepatitis C, or HIV [12]. Laboratory workers are at risk of exposure to pathogens. Farmworkers may face zoonotic diseases. Occupational context often guides testing and prophylaxis. Fast recognition and management including immunoglobulin or antiretroviral therapy can prevent severe outcomes [13]. Employers must maintain protocols for hazardous materials and biosafety. However, outbreaks still occur when guidelines fail [14].

Ergonomic hazards can prompt sudden emergencies. Improper lifting might cause acute back injuries. Repetitive tasks can lead to nerve compression or tendon rupture [15]. Proper training, breaks, and mechanical aids help reduce these incidents. Once an acute injury occurs, prompt imaging and specialized care can limit disability.

Psychological emergencies are also reported in workplaces. Stress, burnout, or traumatic incidents can trigger panic or other crises [16]. High-stress fields see higher suicide rates, often linked to chronic strain or easy access to lethal means [17]. Employers should actively promote mental health resources. Early support and crisis intervention can prevent severe consequences [18].

Disasters, such as natural events or large-scale industrial accidents, can cause mass casualties [19]. Multiple injuries (e.g., burns, crush trauma, chemical exposures) may overwhelm local healthcare resources and authorities. Coordinated rescue, containment, and triage are paramount in such scenarios. Surge capacity, mass casualty protocols, and well-rehearsed drills can help reduce morbidity [20].

Government agencies like the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) set permissible exposure limits (PELs) and mandate training [21]. Enforcement varies, and some workplaces struggle to comply. Even in well-regulated settings, accidents happen from human error or unpredictable events. Continuous monitoring, inspections, and penalties push employers to put in place efforts to improve safety [22]. New technologies can introduce unrecognized hazards. Robotics may reduce repetitive strain but cause injuries if they malfunction [23]. Nanotechnology raises concerns about the inhalation of microscopic particles. Novel chemicals in advanced batteries may be toxic [24]. Clinicians must stay alert to unusual presentations that might point to emerging workplace risks.

Workplace diversity affects emergency outcomes. Differences in language, culture, health literacy, and preexisting conditions can complicate prevention and response [25]. Older workers or those with chronic diseases may be more vulnerable in crisis situations [26]. Clear communication and inclusive training promote better outcomes. Economic constraints also shape safety. Smaller businesses may lack funding for protective measures or advanced training. Underreporting of hazards can skew data and hamper effective interventions [27]. Temporary or contract workers often have fewer protections. Socioeconomic factors can widen disparities in occupational health.

Translating research into clinical practice can be slow [28]. New providers may have limited training in occupational medicine. Continued education and practical partnerships with local industries help fill knowledge gaps. Professional organizations distribute practice guidelines. Integrating them into clinical workflows can expedite adoption [29]. Table 2 lists some strategies to bridge the gaps between research and practice.

Strategy	Examples
Continuing education	Workshops, online modules, conferences
Local industry partnerships	Sharing chemical inventories, hazard alerts
Guideline integration	Embedding protocols in electronic health records
Ongoing surveillance	Real-time reporting of injuries and near-misses
Rapid data exchange	Telemedicine consultations, poison control collaboration

TABLE 2: Strategies to bridge the gaps between research and clinical practice

Surveillance systems that track injuries and illnesses guide prevention. These data reveal patterns and high-risk sectors [30]. Major incidents in the past, such as factory fires, have spurred major reforms related to workplace safety [31]. But modern workplaces are more complex. The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic showed how infectious threats can spread quickly and disrupt industries [32]. This event forced many organizations to adopt new safety measures.

Communication is vital for preventing and managing emergencies. Clear labels, ongoing drills, and mentoring help workers to be alert and aware of the actions to be taken in a crisis [33]. Investigating near-misses offers a chance to fix system flaws before serious harm occurs. Proper first aid training, basic life support tools (e.g., AEDs, eyewash stations), and specialized equipment can save lives [34]. Collaboration with external responders improves triage and treatment [35]. Table 3 highlights key immediate-response actions.

Step	Action
Early hazard recognition	Identify the source (chemical leak, gas, mechanical failure)
Rapid first aid	Stop bleeding, stabilize fractures, cool burns, administer O ₂
Contain or isolate hazard	Turn off machinery, close valves, use spill kits
Call for external support	Contact EMS, fire department, poison control center, if needed
Evacuation and triage	Move workers to safety, prioritize severe injuries
Notify onsite leadership	Activate internal emergency plan, document incident

TABLE 3: Key steps in immediate occupational emergency response

EMS: emergency medical services

After undergoing acute care, employees returning to work require fit-for-duty assessments [36]. Early or inappropriate returns may lead to re-injury. Mental health follow-up is also important. Employers can modify tasks or schedules to accommodate recovery. Proper record-keeping can also help in legal proceedings or compensation.

Preventive strategies lower both the incidence and severity of workplace emergencies [37]. Risk assessments guide interventions, like engineering controls or administrative policies [38]. Rural or remote sites may rely on telemedicine to link onsite personnel with specialists [39]. Some large industries have onsite clinics to address emergencies quickly [40]. Climate change adds new challenges, such as heat stress or storm-related infrastructure damage [41]. Rising temperatures can expand the range of certain pathogens [42].

Electronic health records support continuity of care. They track exposures and help detect trends [43]. Privacy concerns remain a challenge, and workers may hesitate to share information if they fear retaliation. Balancing transparency with confidentiality is key. Researchers, clinicians, and industry leaders can develop best practices for preventing and managing emergencies [44]. International organizations publish guidelines to align safety efforts across regions [45]. Evidence-based protocols, such as advanced trauma life support (ATLS) for trauma or specialized toxic exposure procedures, reduce treatment variation [46]. However, workplaces vary widely, and clinicians must adapt to local conditions. Drills and site-specific training reveal

gaps and build confidence [47].

Informal or unregulated work sectors remain difficult to oversee. Underreporting and minimal oversight lead to poor outcomes. Even regulated environments can experience “black swan” events [48]. Robust safety culture and emergency planning reduce harm. The American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) offers guidelines for acute injuries and illnesses [49]. They stress immediate hazard identification, life support, and coordination with emergency services. For trauma, controlling bleeding and stabilizing fractures is essential [50]. For chemical exposures, removing the person from the source and performing proper decontamination is key. ACOEM recommends storing antidotes and keeping poison control numbers handy [51]. Respiratory emergencies may need oxygen therapy or bronchodilators, with close monitoring for delayed edema [52].

Mental health guidelines urge early recognition of stressors, short interventions, and clear referral pathways [53]. Ergonomic guidelines address repetitive strain through hazard assessments and job modifications. Sudden back or shoulder injuries need prompt imaging and therapy [54]. ACOEM favors a team-based approach, where occupational health experts work with supervisors to limit strain [55]. Rehabilitation and return-to-work protocols must match the individual’s capacity [56]. Frequent check-ins can help catch signs of reinjury or unresolved pain. By implementing these steps, workplaces can improve safety and maintain productivity [57].

Strengthening transitions among all these areas ensures a more coherent approach to occupational emergencies. Employers, clinicians, and policymakers can collaborate to create safer environments. When accidents or exposures occur, rapid, evidence-based care preserves health and saves lives. By combining prevention with acute-response readiness, the field of occupational medicine continues to protect workers in evolving industries.

Review

Occupational medicine addresses many acute clinical scenarios. Medical and surgical emergencies in the workplace range from minor injuries to life-threatening events. Each case usually needs fast identification of the cause and prompt supportive care. Workers may present with shock from hemorrhage, fractures from falls, respiratory failure from toxic fumes, or acute mental distress. Early intervention often leads to better outcomes. Many researchers stress a combination of strategies: engineering controls, staff education, and ready medical interventions. A single, one-size-fits-all approach cannot eliminate workplace emergencies, but combined measures can reduce the frequency and severity.

Clinicians often assess the emergency site to find immediate threats. If chemical exposure is suspected, they confirm whether inhalation or skin contact has occurred. They then arrange decontamination, supportive care, and any needed antidotes. In traumatic injuries, controlling bleeding and stabilizing fractures are the top priorities. Standardized protocols, such as triage categories, help teams deliver care quickly [58]. These protocols reduce confusion when multiple workers are hurt. Table 4 lists various workplace emergencies and first-response measures. Referring to such guidelines keeps the process orderly and can prevent secondary injuries.

Emergency type	Immediate actions	Key considerations
Chemical exposure	Decontaminate skin, remove clothing	Use antidotes (if indicated), prevent secondary spread
Traumatic injury	Control bleeding, immobilize fracture	Rapid imaging, watch for shock
Burn (thermal/electrical/chemical)	Stop the burning process, cool or flush the area	Check for hidden tissue damage, assess the airway
Respiratory distress	Provide oxygen, remove from source	Monitor airway swelling, ABGs, potential ARDS
Mental health crisis	Remove from stressor, call for help	Assess the risk of harm to self/others, consider counseling

TABLE 4: Selected workplace emergencies and first-response measures

ABG: arterial blood gas; ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome

Employers who invest in first aid training often see better on-site outcomes. Workers trained in CPR or bleeding control can stabilize a patient before external help arrives [59]. On-site nurses or paramedics bridge the gap between the workplace and the hospital. Educational programs focus on warning signs like severe breathing trouble, uncontrolled bleeding, or altered consciousness. Swift recognition prompts immediate

calls to emergency services.

Falls from height, crushing incidents, and machinery entanglements can produce complex fractures, head trauma, or organ damage [60]. Surgeons rely on imaging (X-ray, CT, MRI) to gauge the extent of injury. Some fractures call for immediate surgery, especially if there is vascular compromise. Damage control orthopedics stabilizes severe fractures in unstable patients and definitive repairs can be completed later [61].

Crush injuries may lead to compartment syndrome or rhabdomyolysis [62]. Both can cause lasting harm if not treated quickly. Fasciotomy can relieve pressure in compartment syndrome. Aggressive hydration helps prevent kidney failure in rhabdomyolysis. Delayed care increases the risk of necrosis and cardiac arrhythmias.

Burns arise from hot surfaces, chemicals, or electricity. Chemical burns typically need irrigation to remove corrosive agents. Thermal burns require cooling and an assessment of burn depth and area [63]. Electrical burns may seem minor on the surface but can injure deep tissue or the heart's rhythm. Prompt referral to a burn unit is critical for severe cases. If hot gases are inhaled, fiberoptic bronchoscopy can check the airway [64]. Fluid management (e.g., Parkland formula) helps prevent shock.

Chemical incidents include acute inhalation of toxic gases or skin contact with strong acids or alkalis. Quick removal from the source and decontamination are vital. Specific antidotes, such as atropine for organophosphates, can be lifesaving [65]. Poison control centers guide further action. Lab tests verify heavy metals or other toxins [66].

Respiratory emergencies arise from toxic spills or preexisting lung disease. Coughing, wheezing, or chest tightness may progress to airway edema. Treatment can include bronchodilators, corticosteroids, and possibly intubation. Arterial blood gases help track oxygen and carbon dioxide [67]. Serious inhalation injuries may lead to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Early collaboration with respiratory therapists or pulmonologists can improve outcomes.

Laboratory workers face sudden pathogen spills. Healthcare workers may experience needlestick accidents that transmit blood-borne diseases [68]. Zoonotic pathogens also threaten agricultural or veterinary staff [69]. If an outbreak occurs, contact tracing and isolation control the spread. Prompt antibiotic or antiviral treatment prevents severe complications.

Severe stress may trigger suicidal thoughts or panic. Sudden breakdowns in high-stress jobs can cause erratic behavior. Immediate counseling or psychiatric care may be required. Crisis hotlines, peer support, and early intervention reduce chronic problems [70]. Substance abuse can compound the risk of both accidents and self-harm [71].

Heat stroke occurs when core temperature exceeds 40 °C, leading to confusion and organ failure. Rapid cooling saves lives. Cold exposure causes hypothermia and frostbite, which need prompt rewarming [72]. Proper clothing, rest breaks, and hydration decrease these risks.

Confined spaces like tanks or storage rooms can have low oxygen. Entering these areas is hazardous if ventilation fails or if gas monitors are inaccurate [73]. Quick rescue attempts without the right gear often cause multiple casualties. Training and close adherence to permit rules reduce deaths.

Ergonomic problems sometimes lead to acute spinal or nerve compression. Early detection and therapy lower the chance of severe impairment [74]. Older workers or those with chronic illness may face extra risks, like sudden hypertension spikes or hypoglycemia [75]. Employers should recognize these needs and plan accordingly.

Local emergency medical services (EMS) must be aware of the facility's layout and main hazards. Rapid transfers minimize morbidity [76]. Drills and site visits let responders practice how to stabilize patients in challenging settings. These rehearsals also highlight barriers, such as tight passages or poor water access for firefighting.

After an acute injury, rehabilitation influences long-term function. Providers often collaborate with therapists and mental health counselors. Early mobilization can shorten disability. A phased "return-to-work" helps prevent re-injury. This process must balance recovery progress with safety [77]. Table 5 highlights the core steps in a successful return-to-work pathway. Following these guidelines can reduce complications and strengthen employee resilience.

Step	Actions	Goal
Initial assessment	Evaluate physical/mental capacity	Identify limitations
Task modification	Adjust duties or schedule	Prevent overexertion/injury recurrence
Gradual reintegration	Phase tasks over days/weeks	Smooth transition to full workload
Ongoing monitoring	Track pain, fatigue, healing progress	Make timely adjustments
Final clearance	Confirm fit-for-duty status	Ensure safe and sustainable return

TABLE 5: Core steps in return-to-work planning

Prevention starts with hazard identification. Solutions can involve machine guards, ventilation, or rotating tasks to reduce strain [58]. PPE is the final safeguard. Regular audits ensure that these measures work. A strong safety culture is also critical. Workers who follow procedures and report near-misses contribute to fewer incidents [59]. Table 6 shows some common risk management steps to reduce the severity and frequency of occupational emergencies.

Step	Example	Outcome
Hazard identification	Evaluate tasks, tools, chemicals	Pinpoint potential dangers
Control measures	Install guards, improve ventilation	Reduce worker exposure
Training and drills	First aid, chemical spill response	Quicker, more confident emergency reactions
Monitoring and audits	Check PPE integrity, track near-misses	Identify failures and improvement areas
Leadership engagement	Allocate resources, model safe behavior	Reinforce a culture of safety

TABLE 6: Key risk management steps in occupational settings

PPE: personal protective equipment

Managers set the tone by investing in equipment and enforcing standards. Technological tools (e.g., sensors and drones) can detect hazards early [60]. However, technology must be maintained and staff trained. Hands-on simulations and refresher courses ensure that procedures remain fresh in workers’ minds [61].

Occupational emergencies can affect nearby communities if chemicals escape the work site. Infectious outbreaks can spread from workplace to household [62]. Clear communication with public health authorities ensures a coordinated response if a crisis grows beyond the facility. Global operations must adapt to varying safety regulations, supply chains, and cultural norms [64]. Unified company policies still rely on the same fundamental steps of hazard control and rapid response.

Thorough reviews of emergencies highlight technical failures, human errors, and leadership gaps [65]. A blame-free environment encourages honesty and drives improvement. Sharing findings with industry partners helps others avoid similar incidents. Keeping strong safety measures in place also cuts costs over time, since preventing accidents is cheaper than handling their aftermath [66].

Occupational health teams—physicians, nurses, industrial hygienists—bridge clinical care and workplace operations. They track patterns, update protocols, and advocate for worker well-being [67]. Psychosocial aspects also matter. High-strain settings raise the risk of errors or near-misses [68]. Future research may refine best practices and explore emerging technology, but strong fundamentals remain vital: training, routine maintenance, and fast clinical response [69].

Environmental concerns, such as oil spills or waste disposal, carry secondary exposure risks for workers [70]. Safety engineers, industrial hygienists, and health experts must cooperate to protect both employees and the environment. Conflicts can arise over resource allocation, but all must agree on safeguarding human health. Modern companies may hire specialized roles (e.g., ergonomists or occupational psychologists) to address specific hazards [71]. Communication systems must also include backups for power outages or network failures [72].

Economic downturns can push employers to cut safety budgets, raising accident risk. Global crises may disrupt supply chains, limiting access to PPE [73]. Flexible, well-planned occupational health policies can handle these pressures. Community partnerships and active participation from leadership keep the workplace resilient.

Occupational emergencies span trauma, burns, chemical exposures, respiratory distress, infectious hazards, psychological crises, and more. Rapid identification, immediate care, and coordinated efforts with EMS are critical. Return-to-work programs, targeted training, and a culture of safety can significantly support recovery and reduce repeat incidents. Ongoing research, new technology, and collaboration among stakeholders will continue to shape best practices. Yet the core principles remain consistent: prevention, early detection, and prompt medical intervention.

Conclusions

Many occupational emergencies can be prevented with systematic hazard assessments, targeted training, and consistent use of protective equipment. Rapid recognition of urgent symptoms, along with standardized triage protocols, helps clinicians stabilize injuries and reduce complications. Quick evacuation in cases of chemical leaks or fires also lowers the risk of secondary harm. Evidence shows that supportive workplace cultures encourage near-miss reporting, which reveals unseen threats and promotes proactive interventions. Early involvement of mental health services protects workers from lasting stress-related disorders. Rehabilitation programs and phased return-to-work plans reduce disability and support long-term recovery. Progress also relies on updated regulations and technology to detect and manage new hazards as industries evolve. Coordination among clinicians, employers, safety experts, and policymakers remains key to ensuring safer work environments.

Additional Information

Author Contributions

All authors have reviewed the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Concept and design: Joshua A. Jogie

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Joshua A. Jogie, Jeremy Jogie, Amrita P. Ramharacksingh, Nyeil C. Ali

Drafting of the manuscript: Joshua A. Jogie

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Joshua A. Jogie, Jeremy Jogie, Amrita P. Ramharacksingh, Nyeil C. Ali

Disclosures

Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Rempel DM, Harrison RJ, Barnhart S: Work-related cumulative trauma disorders of the upper extremity. *JAMA*. 1992, 267:838-42.
2. Felknor SA, Streit JM, Chosewood LC, McDaniel M, Schulte PA, Delclos GL: How will the future of work shape the OSH professional of the future? A workshop summary. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020, 17:4-6. [10.3390/ijerph17197154](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197154)
3. Debelu D, Mengistu DA, Tolera ST, Aschalew A, Deriba W: Occupational-related injuries and associated risk factors among healthcare workers working in developing countries: a systematic review. *Health Serv Res Manag Epidemiol*. 2023, 10:4-6. [10.1177/23333928231192834](https://doi.org/10.1177/23333928231192834)
4. Russi M, Buchta WG, Swift M, Budnick LD, Hodgson MJ, Berube D, Kelafant GA: Guidance for occupational health services in medical centers. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2009, 51:1e-18e. [10.1097/JOM.0b013e3181bb0d7c](https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e3181bb0d7c)
5. Rosenstock L, Cullen M, Fingerhut M: Occupational Health. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank, Washington, DC; 2006.
6. Badea D, Darabont D, Ivan I, Ciocirlea V, Stepa R, Chivu O: Workers' exposure to chemical risk in small and medium-sized enterprises: assessment methodology and field study. *Sustainability*. 2024, 16:6308. [10.3390/su16156308](https://doi.org/10.3390/su16156308)
7. Hu K, Rahmandad H, Smith-Jackson T, Winchester W: Factors influencing the risk of falls in the construction industry: a review of the evidence. *Constr Manag Econ*. 2011, 29:397-416. [10.1080/01446193.2011.558104](https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2011.558104)

8. Chi CF, Chang TC, Ting HI: Accident patterns and prevention measures for fatal occupational falls in the construction industry. *Appl Ergon*. 2005, 36:391-400. [10.1016/j.apergo.2004.09.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2004.09.011)
9. Grandjean CK, McMullen PC, Miller KP, Howie WO, Ryan K, Myers A, Dutton R: Severe occupational injuries among older workers: demographic factors, time of injury, place and mechanism of injury, length of stay, and cost data. *Nurs Health Sci*. 2006, 8:103-7. [10.1111/j.1442-2018.2006.00260.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-2018.2006.00260.x)
10. Wolkin AF, Martin CA, Law RK, Schier JG, Bronstein AC: Using poison center data for national public health surveillance for chemical and poison exposure and associated illness. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2012, 59:56-61. [10.1016/j.annemergmed.2011.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2011.08.004)
11. Leong FTL, Eggerth D, Flynn M, Roberts R, Mak S: Occupational health disparities among racial and ethnic minorities. *Res Occup Stress Well Being*. 2012, 10:267-310. [10.1108/S1479-3555\(2012\)0000010011](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-3555(2012)0000010011)
12. Alfalayw KH, Al-Otaibi ST, Alqahtani HA: Factors associated with needlestick injuries among healthcare workers: implications for prevention. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2021, 21:1074. [10.1186/s12913-021-07110-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-07110-y)
13. Swinker M: Occupational infections in health care workers: prevention and intervention. *Am Fam Physician*. 1997, 56:2291-300.
14. Collins AS: Preventing health care-associated infections. *Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD; 2008. 4:52-4.
15. Fan LJ, Liu S, Jin T, Gan JG, Wang FY, Wang HT, Lin T: Ergonomic risk factors and work-related musculoskeletal disorders in clinical physiotherapy. *Front Public Health*. 2022, 10:1083609. [10.3389/fpubh.2022.1083609](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1083609)
16. Petrie K, Milligan-Saville J, Gayed A, et al.: Prevalence of PTSD and common mental disorders amongst ambulance personnel: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2018, 53:897-909. [10.1007/s00127-018-1539-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-018-1539-5)
17. Boffa JW, Stanley IH, Hom MA, Norr AM, Joiner TE, Schmidt NB: PTSD symptoms and suicidal thoughts and behaviors among firefighters. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2017, 84:277-83. [10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.10.014)
18. Queirós C, Passos F, Bártolo A, et al.: Job stress, burnout and coping in police officers: relationships and psychometric properties of the Organizational Police Stress Questionnaire. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020, 17:32-4. [10.3390/ijerph17186718](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186718)
19. Smith DJ, Ferranti EP, Hertzberg VS, Mac V: Knowledge of heat-related illness first aid and self-reported hydration and heat-related illness symptoms in migrant farmworkers. *Workplace Health Saf*. 2021, 69:15-21. [10.1177/2165079920934478](https://doi.org/10.1177/2165079920934478)
20. Usoro A, Mehmood A, Rapaport S, et al.: A scoping review of the essential components of emergency medical response systems for mass casualty incidents. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep*. 2023, 17:e274. [10.1017/dmp.2022.235](https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2022.235)
21. Godderis L, Luyten J: Challenges and opportunities for occupational health and safety after the COVID-19 lockdowns. *Occup Environ Med*. 2020, 77:511-2. [10.1136/oemed-2020-106645](https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2020-106645)
22. Nordlöf H, Wiitavaara B, Högberg H, Westerling R: A cross-sectional study of factors influencing occupational health and safety management practices in companies. *Saf Sci*. 2017, 95:92-103. [10.1016/j.ssci.2017.02.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2017.02.008)
23. Liang CJ, Cheng MH: Trends in robotics research in occupational safety and health: a scientometric analysis and review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2023, 20:14-6. [10.3390/ijerph20105904](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20105904)
24. Ramos D, Almeida L: Managing nanomaterials in the workplace by using the control banding approach. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2023, 20:16-8. [10.3390/ijerph20116011](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20116011)
25. You JJ, Downar J, Fowler RA, et al.: Barriers to goals of care discussions with seriously ill hospitalized patients and their families: a multicenter survey of clinicians. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2015, 175:549-56. [10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.7732](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.7732)
26. Palmer KT, Harris EC, Coggon D: Chronic health problems and risk of accidental injury in the workplace: a systematic literature review. *Occup Environ Med*. 2008, 65:757-64. [10.1136/oem.2007.037440](https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2007.037440)
27. Fan ZJ, Bonauto DK, Foley MP, Silverstein BA: Underreporting of work-related injury or illness to workers' compensation: individual and industry factors. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2006, 48:914-22. [10.1097/01.jom.0000226253.54138.1e](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.jom.0000226253.54138.1e)
28. Van Eerd D: Knowledge transfer and exchange in health and safety: a rapid review. *Policy Pract Health Saf*. 2019, 17:54-77. [10.1080/14773996.2018.1508116](https://doi.org/10.1080/14773996.2018.1508116)
29. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). The NIOSH Occupational Exposure Banding Process for Chemical Risk Management. (2019). Accessed: December 22, 2024: <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2019-132/default.html>.
30. Azaroff LS, Levenstein C, Wegman DH: Occupational injury and illness surveillance: conceptual filters explain underreporting. *Am J Public Health*. 2002, 92:1421-9. [10.2105/ajph.92.9.1421](https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.92.9.1421)
31. Lillywhite B, Wolbring G: Risk narrative of emergency and disaster management, preparedness, and planning (EDMPP): the importance of the 'social'. *Sustainability*. 2023, 15:387. [10.3390/su15010387](https://doi.org/10.3390/su15010387)
32. Characteristics of health care personnel with COVID-19 - United States, February 12-April 9, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020, 69:477-81. [10.15585/mmwr.mm6915e6](https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6915e6)
33. Woźniak Z, Hoła B: Analysing near-miss incidents in construction: a systematic literature review. *Appl Sci*. 2024, 14:7260. [10.3390/app14167260](https://doi.org/10.3390/app14167260)
34. Minna S, Leena H, Tommi K: How to evaluate first aid skills after training: a systematic review. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2022, 30:56. [10.1186/s13049-022-01043-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13049-022-01043-z)
35. Landman AB, Spatz ES, Cherlin EJ, Krumholz HM, Bradley EH, Curry LA: Hospital collaboration with emergency medical services in the care of patients with acute myocardial infarction: perspectives from key hospital staff. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2013, 61:185-95. [10.1016/j.annemergmed.2012.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2012.10.009)
36. Hepp U, Schnyder U, Hepp-Beg S, Friedrich-Perez J, Stulz N, Moergeli H: Return to work following unintentional injury: a prospective follow-up study. *BMJ Open*. 2013, 3:e003635. [10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003635](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003635)
37. Lipscomb HJ, Pompeii LA, Myers DJ, Schoenfisch AL, Dement JM: Systematic reviews of workplace injury interventions: what are we missing?. *Med Lav*. 2009, 100:247-57.
38. Engineering controls and industrial applications for ergonomics. (2016). Accessed: December 22, 2024:

- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311269267_Engineering_controls_and_industrial_applications_for_ergonomics.
39. Tsou C, Robinson S, Boyd J, et al.: Effectiveness of telehealth in rural and remote emergency departments: systematic review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021, 23:e30632. [10.2196/30632](https://doi.org/10.2196/30632)
40. Song YK, Choi B, Oh JM, Kwak A, Kim K: Employee perspectives on onsite health clinics in semiconductor company in South Korea. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022, 19:23-5. [10.3390/ijerph19031433](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031433)
41. Glazer JL: Management of heatstroke and heat exhaustion. *Am Fam Physician*. 2005, 71:2133-40.
42. Flouris A, Dinas P, Ioannou L, Nybo L, Havenith G, Kenny G, Kjellstrom T: Workers' health and productivity under occupational heat strain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2018, 2:e521-e531. [10.1016/S2542-5196\(18\)30237-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30237-7)
43. Hunter ES: Electronic health records in an occupational health setting--part I. A global overview. *Workplace Health Saf*. 2013, 61:57-60. [10.1177/216507991306100202](https://doi.org/10.1177/216507991306100202)
44. Lyu L, Bagchi M, Markoglou N, An C: Innovations and development of sustainable personal protective equipment: a path to a greener future. *Environ Syst Res (Heidelb)*. 2024, 13:22. [10.1186/s40068-024-00350-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40068-024-00350-x)
45. Schulte PA: A global perspective on addressing occupational safety and health hazards in the future of work. *Med Lav*. 2020, 111:163-5. [10.23749/mdl.v111i3.9735](https://doi.org/10.23749/mdl.v111i3.9735)
46. Galvagno SM Jr, Nahmias JT, Young DA: Advanced Trauma Life Support® update 2019: management and applications for adults and special populations. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2019, 37:13-32. [10.1016/j.anclin.2018.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2018.09.009)
47. Larraga-García B, Quintana-Díaz M, Gutiérrez Á: Simulation-based education in trauma management: a scoping review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022, 19:32-4. [10.3390/ijerph192013546](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192013546)
48. Borys D, Else D, Leggett S: The fifth age of safety: the adaptive age? *J Health Saf Res Pract*. 2009, 1:19-27.
49. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. Knee Disorders Guideline. (2015). Accessed: January 4, 2025: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/MTUS/ACOEM-Guidelines/Knee-Disorders-Guideline.pdf>.
50. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. Shoulder Disorders Guideline. (2016). Accessed: January 4, 2025: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/MTUS/ACOEM-Guidelines/Shoulder-Disorders-Guideline.pdf>.
51. Hegmann KT, Weiss MS, Bowden K, et al.: ACOEM practice guidelines: opioids for treatment of acute, subacute, chronic, and postoperative pain. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2014, 56:e143-59. [10.1097/JOM.0000000000000352](https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000000352)
52. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. Hand, wrist and forearm disorders guideline. (2016). Accessed: January 4, 2025: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/MTUS/ACOEM-Guidelines/Hand-Wrist-and-Forearm-Disorders-Guideline.pdf>.
53. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. Introduction to the Workplace Mental Health Guideline. (2019). Accessed: January 4, 2025: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/dwcpropregs/MTUS-Evidence-Based-Updates-April2019/Mental-Health.pdf>.
54. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. Ankle and Foot Disorders Guideline. (2015). Accessed: January 4, 2025: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/MTUS/ACOEM-Guidelines/Ankle-and-Foot-Disorders-Guideline.pdf>.
55. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. Low Back Disorders Guideline. (2016). Accessed: January 4, 2025: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/mtus/acoem-guidelines/low-back-disorders-guideline.pdf>.
56. Hegmann KT, Hoffman HE, Belcourt RM, et al.: ACOEM practice guidelines: elbow disorders. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2013, 55:1365-74. [10.1097/JOM.0b013e3182a0d7ec](https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e3182a0d7ec)
57. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. Initial Approaches to Treatment Guideline. (2017). Accessed: January 4, 2025: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/MTUS/ACOEM-Guidelines/Initial-Approaches-to-Treatment-Guideline.pdf>.
58. Descatha A, Schunder-Tatzber S, Burgess J, et al.: Emergency preparedness and response in occupational setting: a position statement. *Front Public Health*. 2017, 5:251. [10.3389/fpubh.2017.00251](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00251)
59. Antony J, Brar R, Khan PA, et al.: Interventions for the prevention and management of occupational stress injury in first responders: a rapid overview of reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2020, 9:121. [10.1186/s13643-020-01367-w](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-020-01367-w)
60. Halawi MJ, Morwood MP: Acute management of open fractures: an evidence-based review. *Orthopedics*. 2015, 38:e1025-33. [10.3928/01477447-20151020-12](https://doi.org/10.3928/01477447-20151020-12)
61. Volpin G, Pfeifer R, Saveski J, Hasani I, Cohen M, Pape HC: Damage control orthopaedics in polytraumatized patients- current concepts. *J Clin Orthop Trauma*. 2021, 12:72-82. [10.1016/j.jcot.2020.10.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcot.2020.10.018)
62. Bosch X, Poch E, Grau JM: Rhabdomyolysis and acute kidney injury. *N Engl J Med*. 2009, 361:62-72. [10.1056/NEJMr0801327](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMr0801327)
63. Gurdak C: Thermal injuries in the workplace. *AAOHN J*. 1990, 38:492-6.
64. Foncerrada G, Culnan DM, Capek KD, et al.: Inhalation injury in the burned patient. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2018, 80:S98-S105. [10.1097/SAP.0000000000001377](https://doi.org/10.1097/SAP.0000000000001377)
65. Chacko B, Peter JV: Antidotes in poisoning. *Indian J Crit Care Med*. 2019, 23:S241-9. [10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23310](https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23310)
66. Shi Q, Ba G, Xia Z, Mao Z, Sun H, Zhang J: The value of toxicological analysis in acute poisoning patients with uncertain exposure histories: a retrospective and descriptive study from an institute of poisoning. *World J Emerg Med*. 2024, 15:98-104. [10.5847/wjem.j.1920-8642.2024.022](https://doi.org/10.5847/wjem.j.1920-8642.2024.022)
67. Gorguner M, Akgun M: Acute inhalation injury. *Eurasian J Med*. 2010, 42:28-35. [10.5152/eajm.2010.09](https://doi.org/10.5152/eajm.2010.09)
68. Artika IM, Ma'roef CN: Laboratory biosafety for handling emerging viruses. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed*. 2017, 7:483-91. [10.1016/j.apjtb.2017.01.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtb.2017.01.020)
69. McDaniel CJ, Cardwell DM, Moeller RB Jr, Gray GC: Humans and cattle: a review of bovine zoonoses. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2014, 14:1-19. [10.1089/vbz.2012.1164](https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2012.1164)
70. Psarros C, Theleritis C, Kokras N, et al.: Personality characteristics and individual factors associated with PTSD in firefighters one month after extended wildfires. *Nord J Psychiatry*. 2018, 72:17-23. [10.1080/08039488.2017.1368703](https://doi.org/10.1080/08039488.2017.1368703)
71. Bush DM, Lipari RN: Substance use and substance use disorder by industry. *CBHSQ Rep*. 2013, 4:26-8.

72. Popkin BM, D'Anci KE, Rosenberg IH: Water, hydration, and health. *Nutr Rev.* 2010, 68:439-58. [10.1111/j.1753-4887.2010.00304.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.2010.00304.x)
73. Ross P: Confined space entry: mitigating risk in general industry . *AAOHN J.* 2007, 55:245-9. [10.1177/216507990705500605](https://doi.org/10.1177/216507990705500605)
74. Barnard E, Sheaffer K, Hampton S, Measel ML, Farag A, Shaw C: Ergonomics and work-related musculoskeletal disorders: characteristics among female interventionists. *Cureus.* 2021, 13:e18226. [10.7759/cureus.18226](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.18226)
75. Davie G, Samaranayaka A, Derrett S: The role of pre-existing comorbidity on the rate of recovery following injury: a longitudinal cohort study. *PLoS One.* 2018, 13:e0193019. [10.1371/journal.pone.0193019](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193019)
76. Manoj BS, Hubenko Baker A: Communication challenges in emergency response . *Commun ACM.* 2007, 50:51-3. [10.1145/1226736.1226765](https://doi.org/10.1145/1226736.1226765)
77. Cullen KL, Irvin E, Collie A, et al.: Effectiveness of workplace interventions in return-to-work for musculoskeletal, pain-related and mental health conditions: an update of the evidence and messages for practitioners. *J Occup Rehabil.* 2018, 28:1-15. [10.1007/s10926-016-9690-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-016-9690-x)