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Abstract
Radiosurgery is an emerging and interesting treatment option for several types of drug-resistant epilepsies. Particularly, CyberKnife radiosurgery may represent a therapeutic approach for mesial temporal lobe epilepsies, as well as epilepsies due to hypothalamic hamartomas and arteriovenous malformations. There are many reports in the literature, but precise patient selection, dosimetry, target volume, and expected short- and long-term outcomes are still under investigation. We provide an overview of current and emerging radiosurgical technology, to discuss the biological mechanisms and the clinical applications, and general results of radiosurgery for the treatment of drug-resistant epilepsies. Despite encouraging preliminary results, definitive evidence to support the role of radiosurgery in the treatment of drug-resistant epilepsy is missing and more prospective trials are needed to identify predictors for treatment success in the different types of medically intractable epilepsy.

Introduction And Background
Radiosurgery is an attractive approach for the treatment of medically intractable epileptogenic foci. Invasive mapping using depth or subdural electrodes is viewed by many experts in the field as an essential step to localize precisely the focus of seizure onset, especially if a neocortical localization is involved. This approach requires in most cases repeated craniotomies and can be associated with serious complications, such as brain damage, haemorrhage, and infections. Cases of failed seizure focus localization or overlap of the focus with eloquent cortex are not uncommon, leading to an aborted resection despite the invasiveness of the entire process. There is an increasing interest toward non-invasive seizure focus mapping using functional neuroimaging techniques, such as single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), positron emission tomography (PET), functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), and magnetoencephalography (MEG). The ability to non-invasively map a focus and then proceed to a radiosurgical treatment is a novel and rather attractive avenue for the treatment of drug-resistant epilepsy, replacing an invasive and risky approach with a thoroughly non-invasive treatment. Drug-resistant seizures associated with mesial temporal sclerosis (MTS) do not commonly require invasive monitoring, providing a logical target to a non-invasive radiosurgical treatment. Radiosurgery is also an attractive treatment option when the seizure focus is located in eloquent cortex or in surgically challenging brain regions (such as the hypothalamus).

Current radiosurgical experience in the treatment of focal epilepsy is mainly based on the Gamma Knife treatment of lesionsal epilepsy related to arteriovenous malformations (AVM), cavernomas, and tumors. Growing radiosurgical experience is available for the treatment of epilepsy associated with mesial temporal sclerosis and hypothalamic hamartoma [1-2]. Most of the literature is based on retrospective uncontrolled studies. Precise patient selection, dosimetry, target volume, and expected short- and long-term outcomes are still under investigation. Despite the limits of current understanding, the results obtained so far are quite promising. The aim of this paper is to provide an overview of current and emerging radiosurgical technology, to review the biological basis of the radiosurgical treatments for epilepsy, and to discuss the clinical applications and general results of radiosurgery for the treatment of drug-resistant epilepsy.

Review
Stereotactic radiosurgery: an overview
Radiosurgery involves the application of focused radiation to a brain target visible on dedicated neuroimaging. Initially conceptualized by Leksell for use in functional neurosurgery, radiosurgical treatment is now considered for a greatly expanding number of neoplastic and vascular indications [3-4]. In fact, radiosurgery allows the neurosurgeon to deliver a precise and accurate amount of radiation to a selected lesion volume without affecting nearby normal parenchyma, and with minimal risk to neurological function [5-7]. Radiosurgery has been historically delivered using the Gamma Knife, a device originally...
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Despite the limitations associated with these studies, positive results in terms of good seizure outcomes in extratemporal lesions and yielded encouraging results in terms of improved seizure control and freedom.

The studies included 23 patients with temporal lobe lesions and 24 patients with extratemporal lesions and yielded encouraging results in terms of improved seizure control and freedom. Despite the limitations associated with these studies, positive results in terms of good seizure outcomes in association with very low complication rates aroused interest in the possible role of radiosurgery for the treatment of lesional epilepsy, especially for lesions located in challenging or inoperable brain regions.

Clinical applications of radiosurgery for epilepsy

In 1994, Barcia, et al. published the first dedicated report showing the effect of radiosurgery on seizure control. The study reported 11 patients who had epileptic foci treated with doses ranging from 10 to 20 Gy [21]. In the late 1990s, two papers reported seizure reduction after Gamma Knife radiosurgery of brain tumors [22-23]. The studies included 23 patients with temporal lobe lesions and 24 patients with extratemporal lesions and yielded encouraging results in terms of improved seizure control and freedom.

Hypothalamic hamartomas

Hypothalamic hamartomas (HH) are rare, deeply located lesions surrounded by a delicate vascular and neuronal network. They are associated with precocious puberty, cognitive delay, and gelastic epilepsy [24-
Patients may experience gelastic or, more rarely, dacrystic seizures as well as dyscognitive seizures, with or without secondary generalization. Disease evolution is generally unfavourable because of the occurrence of several types of seizures, worsening of electroencephalographic (EEG) features, and mental impairment. Micorsurgical resection of HHs has been reported to improve the control of gelastic seizures, but the difficulties in reaching the deep lesions have resulted in a high risk of complications, such as oculomotor nerve palsy, hemiparesis, visual field deficit, and metabolic disturbances related to hypothalamic damage [24-28]. Therefore, alternative treatments, including radiofrequency ablation or implantation of radioactive seeds, have been developed.

As a result of being able to deliver conformal high-dose radiation with steep gradients without exceeding tolerance of nearby critical structures, radiosurgery seems to offer an excellent chance in the treatment of patients with HHs in terms of seizure freedom without hypothalamic and cranial nerve damage. Regis, et al. treated 10 patients affected by medically refractory epilepsy associated with HH by means of Gamma Knife radiosurgery [2]. All patients had improved seizure control after radiosurgery. The study showed a correlation between efficacy and dose. The marginal dose was more than 17 Gy for all patients in the successful group and less than 15 Gy for all patients in the improved group. The observation that seizure control is strictly dependent on the marginal dose has been confirmed by Unger, et al. who used low marginal doses (12-14 Gy) that resulted in decreased seizure frequency and intensity but no seizure freedom [29]. Dunoyer and coworkers described two children aged four and five years with seizures associated with HHs, treated with Gamma Knife radiosurgery and receiving 11 Gy to the 85% and 14 Gy to the 45% isodose. The patients showed, respectively, a 90% reduction in seizure frequency and complete seizure freedom [30]. Recently, De Salles, et al. studied the efficacy of radiosurgery for gelastic seizures. Three patients were treated with 15-18 Gy doses. Two patients became seizure-free and the third patient experienced a substantial reduction in seizure frequency [31]. The results of these studies suggest that radiosurgery is a safe and effective option in the treatment of seizures associated with HHs. This treatment option has a much more benign neurological complication pattern compared to transcranial surgery and therefore should be recommended as first line treatment. Using Gamma Knife radiosurgery, 17 Gy or higher marginal doses are required to achieve seizure control. CyberKnife radiosurgery, providing a frameless approach of great additional value in the treatment of children, has been recently described as a novel treatment option for HH [32].

Mesial temporal lobe epilepsy

Mesial temporal lobe epilepsy (MTLE) associated with hippocampal sclerosis (HS) is perhaps the most well-defined epilepsy syndrome that is responsive to surgical treatment, with surgical cure expected in 65-99% of patients [33-40]. Recently, radiosurgery has been explored as an alternative to open resective surgery for MTS-associated MTLE. In 1995, Regis, et al. first reported selective amygdala-hippocampal radiosurgery for MTLE [41]. This experience has been recently expanded with the results of a prospective multicentric trial. Patients were selected for the Gamma Knife procedure according to the same criteria used for micrasurgical amygdalohippocampectomy, including the presence of hippocampal sclerosis and the absence of space-occupying lesions. The results demonstrated the same seizure reduction efficacy rates (65%) for radiosurgery and for conventional surgery at two years of follow-up [1]. Using a marginal dose of 24 Gy, the study again demonstrated that radiosurgery may be used as an alternative to resective surgery to treat MTLE associated with MTS and to improve quality of life with favourable rates of morbidity and mortality. In the US, a multicentric pilot trial is under way to evaluate the safety of the Gamma Knife procedure in patients with MTLE and to determine the appropriate radiation dose in a larger phase III trial. After two years' follow-up, 85% of patients treated with 24 Gy (to the 50% isodose line) to the medial temporal lobe were seizure-free with minimal morbidity (unpublished data). Quality-of-life assessments at two to three years showed improvements that parallel freedom from seizure. This trial was not designed to define the true efficacy of radiosurgery in MTLE versus open surgery. A phase III trial for patients with clinically and radiographically-defined MTS has been planned. All studies on the efficacy of radiosurgery showed that the beneficial effects do not appear immediately. In fact, most patients achieved seizure reduction at nine to 12 months and complete cessation of seizures occurred between 18 and 24 months after radiosurgical treatment. Recent studies in small numbers of patients suggested that a lower marginal dose of 20 Gy may be less effective in reducing seizures. Cmelak, et al. reported unsuccessful seizure reduction with radiosurgery using a 15 Gy dose [42]. Kawai, et al. also reported two cases of unsuccessful treatment with an 18 Gy dose [43]. Finally, Srikiiviilakul, et al. reported on a series in which Gamma Knife radiosurgical treatment with a 20 Gy marginal dose failed in seizure control [44]. Clearly, larger numbers of patients followed over a longer period of time would be required to determine the true percentage of seizure-free outcomes with this dose. Successful radiosurgical treatment has also been shown to be target-related. Regis, et al. radiosurgically targeted the mesial temporal lobe structures (including amygdala, anterior hippocampus, and nearby cortex) in a series of patients, whereas Kavay, et al. confined their treatment to the amygdala or hippocampus [1, 43-46]. The results of each series show that targeting the entire mesial temporal lobe may be a more effective method of reducing seizures. Although target definition may be variable among different neurosurgeons, radiosurgery for MTS-associated MTLE represents an attractive option because of its low morbidity and mortality.

Epilepsy related to arteriovascular malformations
The analysis of seizure outcome is extremely complex in patients with epilepsy related to arteriovascular malformations (AVM) because of the inadequate and retrospective design of most studies. We review here some major relevant reports, even though it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions regarding efficacy of radiosurgery treatment. Studies carried out over the past 25 years on seizure outcome after radiosurgery with Gamma Knife, LINAC and photon beams are most notable for the wide range of reported seizure outcomes, and show improvement rates varying from 85% to 20%, or no improvement at all [12, 47-48]. In some cases, radiosurgery induced a deterioration of seizure control, while recent papers showed good seizure outcomes [11-15, 49-53]. The reduction of seizure frequency and intensity in patients with AVM undergoing surgery seems to be independent of nidus obliteration. Steiner, et al. reported that 41 of 59 patients with seizures became seizure-free or showed significant improvement after radiosurgery. AVM obliteration was not needed to achieve seizure freedom [11]. In a series of 100 patients with AVMs treated with LINAC radiosurgery, Eisenshenk, et al. found that 59 patients were seizure-free and 19 had a substantial reduction in frequency [13]. Again, there was no association between thrombosis of the nidus and seizure outcome, which confirmed the observations made by Stainer. A report by Kurita, et al. also seems to confirm this speculation [50]. Kida, et al studied 462 patients with cerebral AVMs treated with Gamma Knife radiosurgery with a marginal dose of 19.8 Gy. The overall results indicate that seizures improved in 85% of cases, remained unchanged in 12%, and worsened in 3% [12]. Radiosurgery was effective in seizure control even before complete occlusion of the nidus. Another retrospective study by Hadyipanayis, et al. reporting the outcome of Gamma Knife surgery for precentral gyrus AVM showed that good seizure outcome and low morbidity are possible when treating high-risk lesions. The median dose to the margin was 20 Gy. After a follow-up of 4.5 years, 63% of patients were seizure-free, while the remaining 37% continued to have seizures at a frequency not higher than that observed in radiosurgery [52]. Schauble, et al. identified 70 patients with seizures associated with AVM who had been treated with Gamma Knife radiosurgery [53]. Average prescribed dose was 18 Gy. Sixty-five patients were followed up for one year and 51 patients for three years. At one year and at three years, seizure rates were 45% and 51%, respectively. One patient died due to radiation-induced edema. Overall seizure improvement is quite common in patients with AVM treated with radiosurgery and rivals the results yielded by microsurgical resection. The limited morbidity and good outcomes associated with the radiosurgical treatment of epileptogenic AVM located close to or within the eloquent cortex makes radiosurgical treatment a valid alternative option.

Cavernous malformation

A cavernous malformation (CM) is a congenital vascular abnormality that can cause haemorrhage or neurological deficit but more commonly manifests as recurring seizures [54-55]. Although open microsurgical treatment of CM remains the standard efficacious therapy, a recent study by Regis, et al. suggested a role for radiosurgery in the treatment of seizures associated with CM near the "highly functional cortex", a location that may preclude open resection [54]. Using a mean dose of 19 Gy, 53% of 49 patients with refractory seizures became seizure-free and 20% of treated ones improved at two years [54]. These results demonstrate that epilepsy associated with CM located near the eloquent cortex may be treated with radiosurgery to reduce seizure frequency. However, deeply-seated CM carries a high risk of clinically evident bleeding associated with a poor neurologic outcome [56], and therefore the role of radiosurgery in these cases is still unclear. Without clear evidence of the effect of radiosurgery on the risk of bleeding, microsurgical resection remains the standard therapy for CM.

Risk profile of stereotactic radiosurgery

Radiosurgery is, in most cases, a well-tolerated procedure with minimal morbidity. Case selection, imaging and dose protocols, training, and experience of the team involved in the treatment are essential to maintain this low risk profile. Short to intermediate-term risks of radiosurgery are modest. The long-term risks of vascular complications and secondary neoplasms are low but difficult to quantify. One analysis estimated the risk of secondary neoplasms at zero to three per 200,000 patients; however, other authors suggested that the prevalence might be somewhat higher [57-59]. Since radiation-induced neoplasms require decades to develop, long-term investigations are required. The long-term risks and outcomes specifically for epilepsy are not known yet.

Conclusions

Radiosurgery is an emerging treatment option for several types of medically-intractable epilepsy, including MTLE, as well as epilepsy due to HH and AVM. CyberKnife radiosurgery may represent a therapeutic leap forward thanks to its ability to provide a frameless but still highly conformal and accurate treatment with the additional protection given by hypofractionation in selected cases. Despite encouraging preliminary results, definitive evidence to support the role of radiosurgery in the treatment of drug-resistant epilepsy is missing. Prospective trials with larger numbers of patients are required in order to establish radiosurgery as a standard therapy in the different types of epilepsy.
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