The Origin of the N-Localizer for Stereotactic Neurosurgery

Russell A. Brown¹, James A. Nelson²

1. Principal Engineer, A9.com 2. University of Washington School of Medicine

Corresponding author: Russell A. Brown, russ.brown@yahoo.com Disclosures can be found in Additional Information at the end of the article

Abstract

More than three decades after the invention of the N-localizer, its origin remains misunderstood. Some are unaware that a third-year medical student invented this technology. This historical vignette provides an accurate chronicle of the origin and early history of the N-localizer.

Categories: Medical Physics, Radiation Oncology, Neurosurgery **Keywords:** stereotactic radiosurgery, image guidance, stereotactic neurosurgery, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, n-localizer

Introduction And Background

Russell A. Brown invented the N-localizer more than thirty years ago, when he was a third-year medical student and during a research elective under the supervision of James A. Nelson at the University of Utah [1]. Since that time, the N-localizer has achieved widespread use in image-guided stereotactic neurosurgery and radiosurgery. The N-localizer produces two circles and one ellipse in sectional images that are obtained via computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging (Figure 1). The relative spacing between the ellipse and the two circles precisely determines the location of the image section relative to the N-localizer [1-2]. The simplicity and accuracy of the N-localizer render it an important tool for modern neurosurgery and radiosurgery. Ironically, however, the accuracy of the N-localizer does not appear to be mirrored by a consistently accurate understanding of its origin.

Lunsford, et al. have claimed [3] that "During the subsequent years of training, the senior author had an opportunity to work with an innovative neuroradiologist, Arthur Rosenbaum, M.D., and an engineer, John Perry, Ph.D., who then headed the imaging division of Pfizer Medical Instruments. Together, we developed an image-guided stereotactic system using the now well-known N-localizer technology. This elegant solution was proposed by Perry, et al. [4] and Rosenbaum, et al. [5] independently and virtually simultaneously as publications from Brown [2] and Roberts and Brown [6] of Utah."

In the preceding statement, the intended antecedent of "elegant solution" could be either "image-guided stereotactic system" or "N-localizer technology". Perry, et al. did propose an image-guided stereotactic system [4] several months after Brown, et al. proposed the Brown-Roberts-Wells (BRW) image-guided stereotactic system [7]. However, the historical record shows that none of the above-mentioned individuals, with the exception of Brown, invented the N-localizer. Instead, Perry adopted the N-localizer after Brown disclosed it to him. The documents that corroborate these facts have remained preserved in the archives of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for the past 26 years. The following discussion, which is based on

How to cite this article

Brown R A, Nelson J A. (September 27, 2013) The Origin of the N-Localizer for Stereotactic Neurosurgery. Cureus 5(9): e140. DOI 10.7759/cureus.140

Received 09/19/2013 Review began 09/19/2013 Published 09/27/2013

© Copyright 2013

Brown et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

these archives, recounts Perry's research related to image-guided stereotactic surgery and reveals the events that led to his adoption of the N-localizer.



FIGURE 1: N-localizer and its interaction with the computed tomography (CT) scan section

 $(\mathbf{A})~$ Side view of the N-localizer. The CT scan section intersects two vertical rods and one diagonal rod. $(\mathbf{B})~$ CT scan image. The intersection of the CT scan section with the N-localizer produces two circles and one ellipse. The relative spacing between the ellipse and the two circles varies according to the height at which the CT scan section intersects the diagonal rod. Measuring this spacing permits calculation of the location of the CT scan section relative to the N-localizer.

Review

Several researchers had described a method for estimating the position of the computed tomography (CT) scan section [8-9]. This method used a plate into which were milled vertical slots of different lengths, such that the tops of the slots lay along a diagonal line (Figure 2). The slotted plate produced a variable number of notches in the CT scan image. The number of notches depended on the height at which the CT scan section intersected the plate. Counting the number of notches that were visible in the CT scan image allowed estimation of the location of the CT scan section relative to the slotted plate.

Lunsford discovered that the attachment of two slotted plates to a stereotactic frame permitted determination of the height of the CT scan section relative to the base of the frame [10]. Perry, et al. extended this concept via the attachment of a third slotted plate to the stereotactic frame; the third plate allowed calculation of the orientation of an arbitrarily oriented CT scan section relative to the base of the frame [11]. In principle, this slotted-plate technique that utilized three slotted plates could create the same spatial information that was created by three N-localizers [1-2].

In practice, however, the slotted-plate technique was susceptible to error as a result of the discrete or quantized nature of the slots. Perry observed that it was necessary to manually count carefully the numerous notches that were visible in the CT scan image because any miscount would give rise to errors in the subsequent calculation of the orientation of the CT scan section [11]. Moreover, the partial volume effect [12-13] that derives from the finite thickness of the CT scan section impeded accurate counting of the notches because any slot that passed into but not entirely through the CT scan section could produce an only faintly visible notch. For these reasons, the slotted-plate technique was vulnerable to human error and hence was unsuitable for clinical use. The N-localizer avoids these quantization problems and the attendant possibility of computational errors by virtue of the continuous nature of the N-localizer's rods.



FIGURE 2: Slotted plate and its interaction with the computed tomography (CT) scan section

(A) Side view of the slotted plate. The CT scan section intersects the plate into which are milled vertical slots. The tops of the slots lie along a diagonal line. (B) CT scan image. The intersection of the CT scan section with the slotted plate produces a variable number of

notches. The number of notches depends on the height at which the CT scan section intersects the plate. Counting the number of notches permits estimation of the location of the CT scan section relative to the slotted plate.

Perry's earliest report of the slotted-plate technique, and indeed the earliest record of his involvement with image-guided stereotactic surgery, was in his letter dated January 15, 1979 addressed to his collaborators, Dade Lunsford, Arthur Rosenbaum, and David Zorub of the University of Pittsburgh [11]. Perry's letter described the attachment of three slotted plates to a

stereotactic frame and provided instructions for using computer software in conjunction with these slotted plates to calculate the spatial orientation of the CT scan section relative to the frame. Well before that date, Brown had already invented the N-localizer [14], built his prototype stereotactic frame [15], and presented his results to the Western Neurological Society and the American Academy of Neurological Surgery [16]. Moreover, on January 29, 1979, Brown submitted for publication the second [2] of his two journal articles that introduced the N-localizer [2, 16].

On January 25, 1979, Brown spoke by phone with one of Perry's coworkers at Pfizer Medical Systems and learned that Perry's research involved image-guided stereotactic surgery [17]. The following day, another of Perry's coworkers at Pfizer Medical Systems sent to a patent attorney a letter that described the slotted-plate technique and that provided several photographs of a stereotactic frame to which three slotted plates were attached [18].

A few days thereafter, Brown spoke by phone with Perry and disclosed the N-localizer to him. Prior to this discussion with Brown, Perry had been unaware of the concept of the N-localizer [19]. Perry may have apprised Rosenbaum of some aspects of this discussion with Brown. Nelson affirms that, during a conversation with Rosenbaum concerning the N-localizer, Rosenbaum revealed his awareness of Brown's previous discussion with Perry [19].

Several months following his discussion with Perry, Brown was surprised to witness a talk wherein Perry presented the N-localizer [19]. When Perry, et al. subsequently proposed an image-guided stereotactic system that comprised N-localizers instead of slotted plates [4], they cited one [16] of Brown's two journal articles that had introduced the N-localizer more than one year previously [2, 16]. Several months before Perry, et al. proposed their image-guided stereotactic system, Brown, et al. had already proposed the BRW image-guided stereotactic system [7].

Perry's earliest description of the N-localizer was cursory and limited to only two sentences in his application to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office dated April 13, 1979; this same patent application devoted detailed explanations and five drawings to a thorough description of the slotted-plate technique [20]. Upon challenge by Brown, Perry failed to provide any evidence whatsoever of having invented the N-localizer. Consequently, Perry conceded "priority of invention" to Brown [21], and the Patent Office awarded patent protection for the N-localizer to Brown [22]. The documents [11, 14-18, 21] that the Patent Office examined prior to awarding patent protection to Brown instead of Perry are a matter of public record. These documents may be obtained from the patent office by requesting a copy of the folder for Interference No. 101267. In order to facilitate access to these documents, we have included copies in the appendices (labeled as "figures") to this paper.

Conclusions

Brown invented the N-localizer that has become an important neurosurgical tool and has achieved widespread use in image-guided stereotactic neurosurgery and radiosurgery. Lunsford invented the attachment of two slotted plates to a stereotactic frame. Perry, et al. extended this concept via the attachment of a third slotted plate, but the slotted-plate technique never achieved clinical use [23]. Perry abandoned the slotted plate and adopted instead the N-localizer after Brown disclosed it to him. Several months after Brown, et al. proposed the BRW image-guided stereotactic system that comprised N-localizers, Perry, et al. proposed an image-guided stereotactic system that also comprised N-localizers. However, Perry's inclusion of the N-localizer in an image-guided stereotactic system did not occur independently of Brown's discovery of the N-localizer. To the contrary, Perry's inclusion of the N-localizer from Brown's prior research. The historical documents that confirm these facts are a matter of public record and remain accessible at the archives of

the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Appendices



FIGURE 3: Appendix 1: John Perry Letter, pp. 1-3, January 15,

1979

at properties of the derivative head frame using moder timels in the pear future. Neglashy 5/0/78 Read and senderstore 17 may 1978 Fooderlands Alia 5/24/78 E4/18 A radition to the proposed had been used allow determining its; barbon in barbon ason. This mathemation of your similar to those someway have allowed device. One shorts with a hard from, the bar of child is and the barbon of child is n $1 \rightarrow not'$ 袋 T y ¥1 lina V dia directio 10 EXEIDIT A one the adds for sourcing only some 27 cosspices which are recovered following sourcing to allow pleasant of the horizontal bars dissribed earliers - s nock direction a) K 熑 붠 Z 4 et son slice direction A can parallel to the y-axis will then show the following 6 circles where it interests the disposed roots and the estimation of the seture on the diagonal vodes (next peter page); 'Z8 to to the description of the transfer of the terminal rod The solid off the is properliand to the height of the elice as measured along the xears in the diagram. It the frame is robuble doct the event, that is, no larger pualled to the y-aris the dilawing san will results 200 ho do ho Ċ the frame is rotated about the x-axis e-following diagram will result: ΞĘ A0 05 1 0 ah. haven't figured onthou to deted The may be possible to assume the may be possible to assume the no robbin about the x- and y-axes if the frame is placed on a flat comfor, that is, a candor page orthogonal to the plane as the skee, Wedneddy 5/24/78 < 34 5/24/78 Specific placed as follows would allow strattion of rotation about the y-axis: The rotation would be detected in the same manner as rotation about The same on ... the z-axis, wednesday = [24/78 State of Contraction of the second state of th

FIGURE 4: Appendix 2: Russell Brown Notebook 1, pp. 26-30,

May 24, 1978

80: Frame built should have 3 diagonals equally suspaced around its perimeter:

There would think be a rod at each 60° increment around the frame. This arrangement avoid previde the greatest accuracy in use of diagonals. 3/17/28

ς_____

8/4E/7B

BRE778 I have finished the necessary pignoming to allow simulated surgery. The noticed desribed on page 75-00 of this poteback showing how to use the chappents to p map and scon allow the the trans continues apten works benefitivity. The reason that

I an any this is as follows: I draw the pad and diagonal antonious on the picture system as they are mapped into the forther and diagonals, I also draw on autilize of the frame model as a allogher of 2 circles, 2 arches, peds and diagonals, I chopes representing the displacement of the spheres lateril from the hiddle of the arches, a defed line indicating the direction of poble insertion, and a proble. This is illustrated lateril:



The diagonal likes pass through the center of and ellipse along the diagonal likes. This indicates that the scan slives are correctly mapped into the frame aerollinate system. I have been applied by the tip of the simplated probe at : the edge of the various lucitle appore contours and recording the edge of the various lucitle appore contours and recording the edge of the various lucitle appore insertion of the simulated trave as well as the depth of probe insertion to nearest 100, probe insertion to nearest man apply these settings to

nearest min.) I then apply these settings to the frame and pass the a hudto road as a probe. In all as of far the the rod is within 2 mm tip of Hp of the road is intracting that its, it from being "an farget", that its, it is usually below and to the left of the intended point of anticit

FIGURE 5: Appendix 3: Russell Brown Notebook 1, pp. 80-83,

August 28, 1978

54 \$ The square root of the sums of the square's of the three individual errors is taken to be the error for a probe placement. This is possible because. These 3 errors are approximately orthogonal , to one another. For the 20 probe placements documented on the preceding page the mean error is 2.03 min) and the standard deviation is 0.47 mm. 1/20/78 I was present for a number of these tests, wit nessed same, and located my hand calculator m of the mean 2/8/25 I have spoken with Brian Heightman (sp?) 1/25/79 of Pfizer Medical, Inc. about my stereotactic project. He says that John ferry of Pfizer is working on a similar project and has found) that the officer, reconstruction, algorithm is unaffected (or minimally algorithm is unaffected (or minimally affected) by aluminum. I would, of muse, prefer to use aluminum for the attacere prefer to use aluminum for t ourse, prefer to use aluminum for t france abrication because it is more Jurable than plastik. 1/25/79 ٧, - - / -

FIGURE 6: Appendix 4: Russell Brown Notebook 2, p. 54, January 25, 1979



FIGURE 7: Appendix 5: Richard Matthews Letter, pp. 1-7,

January 26, 1979

99

14/1/4 I articipate some trouble. Reduct claims, both the localizing red system. and the concept of a trans which allows process of a probe to any point inside the trans from any direction. through a hemisphore. The pressons that I articipate trouble de as follows:

10/14/74

) John terry of Philos began working on a localizing system, according to him in the fall of 1998. This system, as I understand it, emisted of 3 pupple having vertical grooves in them: 3 pol plates

|--|

During a telephone conversation with John Keny (I think in January & or February of 1979) I pointed out to John the meets of a simple fragonal red bounded by 2 vertical rols. He

appeal that this was a befor loadicing aspect that this was a befor loadicing that this was a befor loadicing that the ASNR meeting John presented a frame with such dimpnal rods. He did not boucher actnowledge to his addiment that advised him to use diagonal rods. Since that time Art Rosenbaum has denied, once to Jim Nelson and none to Tront Wells that I ame John Perny any ideas the simply has said that John ferry was working on a bealizing exten be susted to extend the subst the sustem he was working on was the plate, not the diagonal red. 2) Art Rosenbaum fold Tient Wells bed

2) Art Rosonbaum told Treat wells lost) Art Asserbaum told Theat Wells 144 wak at the CNS meeting in Las Vegas that he was Involved in and at the point of building 20 frames of some design

but that after seeing the ¹⁰¹ Brown Roberts- wells frame he could promise Trent that he would bey 20 Brown Roberts- wells frames instead. He (Rosenbaum) stated that the concept of passing a proble to any - 1.1 1 - 1.1. (Kounham) stated that the concept of passing a probe to any point inside the frame from any direction through a herrisphere and quite different than the frame he was planning to build. Apparently, from Theat's description of that frame, it allows prohe insention to a target point through a pyramidal set of pathways:

Trent says this type of geometry is like the old Horsely - Clark sterestaric frame 108

In addition, losenbaum was very interested in interchangebbe backing red and arch systems which bal onto the head nowthing ring in the same manner. It is frame apparently class not have such interchangeable systems. Rosarbaum took a few pictures of the frame Snown-Reberts wells from. Trent reminded him that the trave is protected by petent claims.

10/14/79 Real discussion 4.

FIGURE 8: Appendix 6: Russell Brown Notebook 3, pp. 99-102,

October 14, 1979

			PATENT	
	IN THE UNITED STATES	PATENT	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	RECEIVED
Russell	L A. Brown, Junior Party,)	D Patent Interference _{BC}	EC 6 - 198 DARD OF APPE
John H.	v. Perry,))	No. 101,267 AM	ID INTERFER
	Senior Party.)		
	CONCESSI	ON OF P.	RIORITY Wilching Doulouand	
		Los .	Angeles, California 9	0017
Commiss and Washing	ioner of Patents I Trademarks Iton, D. C. 20231			
Sir:	. •			
	Based on an exchan	nge of i	nformation herein, th	ie
undersi	gned hereby concedes	priorit	y with regard to the	
subject	matter of this inter	ference	. Specifically, this	;
constit	utes a concession of	priority	y with regard to the	
subject	matter of Counts 1 t	hrough	18 in the interference	e.
Dated:	Nev. 27, 1985		John H. Perry	
	Consent to concess	ion of p	priority by Assignee:	
Dated:_	<u></u>	<u>1</u>	Russell A. Brown	
	200 3 1000-	1	Respectfully submitte	eđ,
Dated:_	J, (485	j	B. G. Nilsson Registration No. 17,3	50

FIGURE 9: Appendix 7: John Perry Concession of Priority, November 27, 1985

Additional Information

Disclosures

Conflicts of interest: The authors have declared that no conflicts of interest exist.

References

- Brown RA, Nelson JA: Invention of the N-localizer for stereotactic neurosurgery and its use in the Brown-Roberts-Wells stereotactic frame. Neurosurgery. 2012, 70(2 Suppl Operative):173-76. doi:10.1227/NEU.0b013e318246a4f7
- 2. Brown RA: A stereotactic head frame for use with CT body scanners. Investigative Radiology. 1979, 14:300-4. doi:10.1097/00004424-197907000-00006
- 3. Lunsford LD, Niranjan A, Kassam A, Khan A, Amin D, Kondziolka D: Intraoperative imaging: Evolutions, options, and practical applications. Clinical Neurosurgery. 2008, 55:76-86.
- Perry JH, Rosenbaum AE, Lunsford LD, Swink CA, Zorub DS: Computed tomography-guided stereotactic surgery: Conception and development of a new stereotactic methodology. Neurosurgery. 1980, 7:376-81. 10.1227/00006123-198010000-00011
- 5. Rosenbaum AE, Lunsford LD, Perry JH: Computerized tomography guided stereotaxis: A new approach. Applied Neurophysiology. 1980, 43:172-73. 10.1159/000102252
- 6. Roberts TS, Brown R: Technical and clinical aspects of CT-directed stereotaxis . Applied Neurophysiology. 1980, 43:170-71. 10.1159/000102251
- Brown RA, Roberts TS, Osborn AE: Stereotaxic frame and computer software for CT-directed neurosurgical localization. Investigative Radiology. 1980, 15:308-12. 10.1097/00004424-198007000-00006
- 8. Lee SH, Villafana T, Lapayowker MS: CT intracranial localization with a new marker system . Neuroradiology. 1978, 16:570-71. 10.1007/BF00395364
- Villafana T, Lee SH, Lapayowker MS: A device to indicate anatomical level in computed tomography. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography. 1978, 2:368-71. 10.1097/00004728-197807000-00028
- 10. Lunsford LD: Email message to Brown RA. 2012, 1.
- 11. Perry JH: Letter to Lunsford D, Rosenbaum A, and Zorub D. Available in Appendix 1 and U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Interference folder 101267.. 1979, 1-3.
- 12. Dohrmann GJ, Geehr RB, Robinson F, Allen WE 3rd, Orphanoudakis, SC: Small hemorrhages vs. small calcifications in brain tumors: Difficulty in differentiation by computed tomography. Surgical Neurology. 1978, 10:309-12.
- 13. Schultz E, Felix R: Phantom measurements of spatial resolution and the partial-volume-effect in computer tomography. Rofo. 1978, 129:673-78. 10.1055/s-0029-1231185
- 14. Brown RA: Notebook 1. Available in Appendix 2 and U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Interference folder 101267.. 1978, 26-30.
- 15. Brown RA: Notebook 1. Available in Appendix 3 and U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Interference folder 101267.. 1978, 80-83.
- 16. Brown RA: A computerized tomography-computer graphics approach to stereotaxic localization. Journal of Neurosurgery. 1979, 50:715-20. 10.3171/jns.1979.50.6.0715
- 17. Brown RA: Notebook 2. Available in Appendix 4 and U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Interference folder 101267.. 1979, 54.
- 18. Matthews RS: Letter to Nixon LS. Available in Appendix 5 and U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Interference folder 101267.. 1979, 1-7.
- 19. Brown RA: Notebook 3. Available in Appendix 6.. 1979, 99-102.
- 20. Perry JH: Stereotactic surgery apparatus and method. U.S. patent 4341220.. 1982, 1-10.
- 21. Perry JH: Concession of Priority. Available in Appendix 7 and U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Interference folder 101267.. 1985, 1.
- 22. Brown RA: System using computed tomography as for selective body treatment . U.S. patent 4608977.. 1986, 1-12.
- 23. Lunsford LD: Email message to Brown RA. 2012, 1.