Review began 09/13/2023 Review ended 10/07/2023 Published 10/11/2023

#### © Copyright 2023

Nair et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# Assessment of the Prevalence of Infections in Pediatric Patients With Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Arun Nair  $^1$ , Ruaa Elballushi  $^2$ , Riecha Joshi  $^3$ , Sanvithi Anjanappa  $^4$ , Maksuda Akter  $^5$ , Sehrish Arif  $^6$ , Sana Rehman  $^6$ 

Pediatrics, Saint Peter's University Hospital, New Brunswick, USA
 School of Medicine, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland - Medical University of Bahrain, Busaiteen, BHR
 Pediatrics, Government Medical College, Kota, IND
 School of Medicine, Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore, IND
 School of Medicine, American International Medical University, Gros Islet, LCA
 Medicine, Fatima Memorial Hospital College of Medicine and Dentistry, Lahore, PAK

Corresponding author: Arun Nair, drarunresearch95@gmail.com

### **Abstract**

Infections cause notable treatment-related morbidity during pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (ALL/LLy) therapy. Infections are the most critical cause of morbidity and mortality in children undergoing treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Children with ALL, who are frequently underweight, are at increased risk of community-acquired pathogens, nosocomial multidrug-resistant pathogens, and opportunistic microorganisms. A weakened immune system from ALL itself and chemotherapy's side effects further worsen the prognosis. PubMed and Google Scholar articles were curated in a Google document with shared access. Discussion and development of the paper were achieved over Zoom meetings. This narrative review aims to analyze and summarize various pathogens responsible for infections in children receiving treatment for ALL and their treatment regimen and prophylaxis. The incidence of viral infection is higher in ALL patients, followed by bacterial and fungal infections. Prevention via prophylaxis and timely initiation of treatment is essential for positive outcomes.

Categories: Pediatrics, Infectious Disease, Oncology

**Keywords:** antibiotic stewardship, fungal infections, viral infections, bacterial infections, pediatrics, acute lymphoblastic leukemia

# **Introduction And Background**

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) refers to the malignancy of lymphocytes (B or T lymphoblasts) because of the uncontrolled monoclonal proliferation of lymphoid cells. The abnormal rapid growth of immature lymphocytes results in the expanded invasion of the bone marrow, blood, and other extramedullary organs. According to the World Health Organization, ALL can be categorized into two main subtypes based on lymphoid neoplasms: B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoblastic lymphoma (B-ALL/LBL) and T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoblastic lymphoma (T-ALL/LBL). Once the precursor cells expand to the blood and bone marrow, the manifestation can be classified as leukemia. Whereas if the expansion reaches extramedullary organs and tissue, the manifestation is classified as lymphoma. ALL is the most common malignancy among children, accounting for 25% of all pediatric cancers [1]. ALL is often diagnosed between the ages of two and ten, with the first peak between the ages of two to five years. It is more common in children with genetic disorders such as Bloom syndrome and Down syndrome. Pediatric ALL's outcome has significantly improved, with 90% of children witnessing a five-year survival rate improvement in 2009 compared to a 20% lower survival rate in 1974 [2]. Although the exact etiology of ALL remains unknown, several risk factors have been reported to be strong predictors of ALL in young children. Risk factors can be conservatively divided into three broad categories. Individuals with specific congenital syndromes are at an increased risk of developing ALL. Down syndrome, Ataxia telangiectasia, bloom syndrome, Fanconi anemia, and Nijmegen breakage syndrome are associated with increased ALL cases in children. Klinefelter, Neurofibromatosis, and Li-Fraumeni syndromes have also been associated with ALL in young children [3]. Congenital disorders are associated with poor outcomes and higher relapse rates after treatment. Environmental toxins have been determined to be a strong predisposing factor to most pediatric cancers. This applies to the exposure of the mother during pregnancy or directly to the child during infancy. Such toxins include hydrocarbon (found in gasoline and paint thinners) exposure, pesticide exposure, ionizing radiation, benzene, and nicotine inhalation [4]. Childhood infections and other predictive factors such as viral infections can cause permanent changes to the child's DNA. DNA methylation results in abnormal gene expression that eventually progresses to the development of ALL [5]. Although infections generally have not shown a correlation with the development of ALL within the first year of life, infections acquired afterward have shown a strong correlation. Parvovirus B19 is particularly linked to ALL development, as children infected with this virus seem to be at an increased risk of developing ALL shortly after [6]. Furthermore, human T-cell lymphoma/leukemia virus-1 (HTLV-1) and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) can also cause a rare form of ALL. HSV, CMV, and Influenza have also been seen to be associated with ALL. High

birth weight can coincide with abnormal gene expression of insulin growth factor (IGF), which can be a predictive factor of ALL [7].

Signs and symptoms of ALL are those associated with changes in hematopoiesis and can be grouped into anemia and its associated symptoms, thrombocytopenia, bleeding-associated symptoms, and finally, granulocytopenia. Granulocytopenia makes patients more susceptible to infections, especially bacterial infections resulting in extreme pyrexia. Young children might initially present with flu-like symptoms from infection; however, blood tests would reflect abnormally alarming numbers that would suggest an underlying cause. Diagnosis is frequently made on an average of two weeks from initial symptom presentation through complete blood count, bone marrow examination, blood smear, and histochemical testing. Immunophenotyping and cytogenetics can also be used to determine ALL types. All are traditionally treated through chemotherapy and managed through supportive care. Children with ALL are often started with induction therapy right away which involves a combination of treatment with corticosteroids, vincristine, 1-asparaginase, and anthracycline [8]. After initial treatment, maintenance therapy has been recently adopted to maintain remission in young children by prescribing 6-mercaptopurine (or methotrexate) orally once a month. ALL treatment averages between two to three years. Depending on the type of ALL, different staging, and response to treatment, treatment protocols are often modified in style, length, and combination. For instance, in Ph+ ALL (Philadelphia positive Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia), the tyrosine kinase inhibitor is combined with systemic chemotherapy to achieve remission. Although treatment for pediatric ALL is considered to have a high survival rate for children, infections while on chemotherapy are, unfortunately, the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in children with ALL. For instance, hospital-acquired pneumonia is fatal to children with ALL if intervention is not fast and effective. Therefore, early intervention is of absolute importance to prevent complications and ensure the safety and well-being of the child while undergoing chemotherapy. In this study, we explore the different types of infections that a patient with ALL is prone to get and discuss a few factors to help with early intervention.

#### **Review**

#### Methods

A literature review format was used to assess pre-existing research articles on ALL to understand the prevalence of infections in ALL patients, the risk factors, the rate of infections, the chemotherapy associated with it, and the suggestions to prevent complications. Only the articles published after 2014 were considered in the study. Extensive searches and analyses were done from multiple PubMed and Google Scholar databases. Keywords used in identifying relevant articles include "pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia", "fungal infections", "bacterial infections", "viral infections", "antimicrobial resistance", and "clinical outcomes in pediatric ALL". The data were confirmed by the multiple investigators involved in the review.

#### Infections in ALL

**Bacterial Infections** 

Gram-positive (62%) bacterial infection was more prevalent in patients undergoing HSCT, and coagulase-negative staphylococci were most commonly encountered. Fluoroquinolones, a common prophylactic antibiotic, were not used to lower the burden of Gram-Negative strains, given the resistance to it being frequent [10].

It has been observed that in patients undergoing chemotherapy, levofloxacin (fluoroquinolone) was responsible for reducing bacterial infection (15.9%) as compared to no prophylaxis (37%), including Clostridium difficile (0%) as compared to no prophylaxis (9.8%) [10] (Table 1). In another study, children receiving chemotherapy significantly reduced bacteremia with levofloxacin prophylaxis. However, no significant reduction was seen in patients undergoing HSCT for the same [11]. In China, fluoroquinolones are not allowed in children; thus, beta-lactamase antibiotics and G-CSF after achieving remission and intravenous immunoglobulin are used as prophylaxis, which showed a reduction in septicemia [12].

Bacteria	Rate of Infection	Chemo	Antimicrobial prophylaxis	Additional comments	Reference
Staphylococcus epidermidis	22%				
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	11%				
Other coagulase- negative staphylococci	9%				

Enterococcus spp.	16%	Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation	high-efficiency particulate air filters during the neutropenic period and received a low bacterial diet.	found to be associated with increased risk of severe infections given the use of intensified Graft	[9]
Streptococcus viridans group	7%		amphatariain D and azalaa	versus host disease prophylaxis that consisted of Anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) and methotrexate	
Escherichia spp.	25%				
Pseudomonas spp	19%				
Enterobacter spp.	9%				
Klebsiella spp	6%				
	0		Levofloxacin	minimized the use of treatment antibiotics and drastically reduced C. difficile infection.	
Clostridium difficile	9.8%	Induction therapy for ALL	No prophylaxis		[10]
	37		No prophylaxis		
	15.9		Levofloxacin		[10]
Bacterial			Intravenous cefepime alone or		
infection			vancomycin plus oral cephalosporin, oral ciprofloxacin, or intravenous cefepime		
Bacteremia		Stem cell transplant	Levofloxacin	Levofloxacin prophylaxis did not reduce the risk of bacteremia.	[11]
Septicemia	12.9%				
Coagulase- negative staphylococci	20.1%			For treatment, 2010 IDSA guidelines recommend monotherapy with betalactams, piperacillin, and carbapenem. In case of a resistant strain, addition of vancomycin is advised.	
Staphylococcus epidermidis	14.6%				[12]
E. coli	11.5%				
Klebsiella pneumoniae	8%	Multi-agent			
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	7%	chemotherapy			
Staphylococcus aureus	5.6%				
Streptococcus mitis	3.2%				
Streptococcus pneumoniae	3%				
salmonella	2%				
Febrile neutropenia (causing increased risk of infections, especially bacterial)		Vincristine and dexamethasone (as a replacement for prednisone) pulses in continuation phase of chemotherapy	Routine antifungals, antibacterials, and colony stimulating factors were NOT administered. Patients were advised to wear a particulate filtration mask during induction and re-induction phases	Dexamethasone decreases ANC and increases risk of infections.	[13]
		Dexamethasone		Substitute dexamethasone with prednisone to	

	chemotherapy	trimethoprim 160 mg/sulfamethoxazole 800 mg	prophylactic and other supportive care measures to improve clinical outcomes.	
	lymphodepletion	ANC was <500 cells per mm3, and	therapy is critical for developing effective	
	after	fluconazole 400 mg daily while the	are temporally coincident with CD19 CAR T-cell	
infections	administered	levofloxacin 750 mg daily and	Understanding the infectious complications that	
viral, or fungal	(CAR-T) cells	after CAR-T-cell infusion,	are common after CD19 CAR T-cell therapy.	[14,15]
Any bacterial,	modified T	lymphodepletion until ≥3 months	Infections in adult patients with relapsed B-ALL	
	receptor-	individuals starting on the day of	increased risk of CRS and neurotoxicity	
	chimeric antigen	or varicella zoster virus seropositive	CAR-T-cell doses are associated with an	
	CD19-targeted	mg twice a day for herpes simplex	received a higher CAR-T-cell dose, and high	
		Acyclovir 800 mg or valacyclovir 500	Incidence of infection was higher in patients who	
	therapy			
Sepsis	in induction	N/A	reduce the incidence of sepsis.	[13]

TABLE 1: Outline of implicated bacterial infections identified in pediatric ALL patients

ALL: Acute lymphoblastic leukemia

Replacement of dexamethasone with prednisone in the induction and continuation phase of chemotherapy has been found to reduce rates of febrile neutropenia and reduce the incidence of septicemia [13]. Close monitoring and some modification in chemotherapy can be explored as an addition to prophylactic antibiotics to prevent bacterial infections.

Immunotherapy with CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor-modified T (CAR-T) cells is a novel treatment for patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell malignancies, including ALL. Because patients are exposed to prior chemotherapies, their immunity is poor. Thus, they are more prone to infections. Antibiotic prophylaxis and IV immunoglobulin repletion have effectively prevented and treated infection [14].

#### Viral Infections

The cumulative incidence of infections was the highest for viruses compared to bacterial and fungal infections. The most critical viruses responsible for infections in patients after HSCT are EBV, cytomegalovirus (CMV), and adenovirus (AdV). In a study conducted in 2017, screening was done for these three viruses every week starting the day after the transplant. If the screening was positive, CMV was treated with ganciclovir, EBV with rituximab, and AdV with cidofovir. CMV prophylaxis is especially required for transplant recipients with a seropositive CMV IgG. Ganciclovir has been found effective as a prophylactic agent for CMV reactivation [9].

Although non-fatal in the average population, influenza A and B viruses can be lethal in ALL chemotherapy patients (Table 2) [16]. Neuraminidase inhibitors such as oseltamivir and zanamivir have effectively treated the same. Although preventive vaccination is considered to be the best way to prevent Influenza-associated illness, it was found in a study that the use of trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (TIV) didn't protect against Influenza as compared to unvaccinated individuals, as 71% of the patients receiving the TIV still were reported with Influenza-related illness [17]. Respiratory syncytial virus was adequately treated with palivizumab [16].

Virus	Rate of Infection	Chemo	Antimicrobial prophylaxis	Additional comments	Reference
HBV		Anti-CD20/or CD52 therapy	Entecavir, tenofovir, lamivudine, adefovir, telbivudine	Advised to use in combination with other drugs such as adefovir.	[16]
HCV		Transplantation, anti-CD20 therapy	Ledipasvir/simeprevir and sofosbuvir, paritaprevir and ritonavir, ombitasvir and dasabuvir		[16]
HIV		Chemotherapy	Integrase inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors	Successfully treated with antiretroviral agents	[16]
Influenza A/B		Chemotherapy	Oseltamivir, zanamivir	Can reduce the duration of uncomplicated influenza A and B illness by approximately 1 day when administered within 48 hours of illness onset compared with placebo	[16]
AVD				Trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine had	

	73%	TOTALXVI protocol	Trivalent Inactivated Influenza Vaccine	inadequate protection compared to unvaccinated individuals	[17]
RSV		Chemotherapy	Palivizumab,	Resistance to palivizumab rare but possible	[16]
Norovirus		HSCT	Ribavirin, Interferons, Immunoglobulins	A need for a vaccine has been described in the literature for this virus	[16]
		HSCT	Nitazoxanide		[18]
Adenovirus		Chemotherapy	Cidofovir	No FDA-approved treatments for adenovirus have been described but there are reports of Cidofovir being used in immunocompromised patients	[16]
EBV	24%				
CMV	17%		Rooms with reverse isolation and high risk for any time of infections in the preparticulate matter air filters required during engraftment period and for viruses,	Transplantation associated with increased	
BKV	20%	Allogeneic		engraftment period and for viruses,	
Adenovirus	14%	hematopoietic stem cell	periods of neutropenia. If screening tests for these viruses were positive, patients received	patients received transplantation which may be explained by	[9]
Others such as Varicella and various Herpes	28%	transplantation	ganciclovir, cidofovir or rituximab, respectively as prophylaxis.		
Modest risk: CMV, PJP, HBV reactivation		BCR-ABL TK inhibitors (imatinib, dasatinib, nilotinib, bosutinib, ponatinib)	No clear benefit from routine prophylaxis Screen for HBV infection	Avoid with azole Monitor QTc	[19]
HSV, VZV, CMV, PJP, PML, fungal per NCCN		Anti-CD19 bispecific T-cell engager (blinatumomab)	ACV and PJP prophylaxis		[19]
COVID 19	CAR-T cell therapy	CAR-T cell therapy	NA		[20]
RVI	HSCT	HSCT	NA		[21]
H1N1		chemotherapy	Broad-spectrum antibiotics (meropenem and vancomycin) and antifungal drug (liposomal amphotericin 2: Broad-spectrum antibiotics (cilastatin, imipenem, and vancomycin), an antifungal drug (liposomal amphotericin B) and granulocyte colony growth factor were administered. Additionally, intravenous immunoglobulin was also administered		[22]

## TABLE 2: Outline of implicated viral infections identified in pediatric ALL patients

HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; RSV: respiratory syncytial virus; EBV: Epstein-Barr virus; CMV: cytomegalovirus; ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia

Norovirus is an important non-bacterial cause of gastroenteritis in immunocompromised patients due to its ability to break down mucosal barriers and cause sepsis. It is uncertain how beneficial ribavirin, immunoglobulins, and interferon have been in preventing infection. Thus, further research is required to find an effective vaccine for the same. Nitazoxanide is found to be effective in the treatment of Norovirus in

patients with HSCT [18].

Immunosuppression in ALL patients undergoing chemotherapy can give rise to the reactivation of various infections, including Hepatitis B virus. Lamivudine is considered effective as a prophylactic to prevent reactivation of HBV. However, prolonged use can lead to resistance; thus, a combination with adefovir has been recommended [16]. It was observed that entecavir and tenofovir are less likely to develop resistance, so they can be used as monotherapy; however, the use of both is not indicated unless the viral load is exceptionally high. Cidofovir, a drug with significant toxicity, is still considered important for treatment because of its potent antiviral action. Brincidofovir, which is a lipid conjugate of cidofovir, is found to be less nephrotoxic as compared to the latter, given the fact that it doesn't get concentrated in the proximal convoluted tubule like cidofovir [18]. Thus, further research is required to see the effects of brincidofovir as prophylaxis. The reactivation of hepatitis C is prevented by prophylaxis using ledipasvir/simeprevir and sofosbuvir, paritaprevir and ritonavir, ombitasvir, and dasabuvir.

In patients undergoing anti-CD19 bispecific T-cell engager (blinatumomab) chemotherapy, Acyclovir has been recommended to prevent Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) and Varicella Zoster Virus (VZV) infections [19]. AH1N1 infection was found to be effectively treated by oseltamivir, and the study highlighted the importance of early initiation of antiviral therapy and empirical treatment, given the ineffectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccine in immunocompromised patients of ALL [22]. During the COVID-19 pandemic, immunosuppression in ALL patients contributed to increased viremia [20]. It was also seen that the patients who developed COVID during chemotherapy had more significant morbidity due to acute respiratory distress syndrome requiring oxygen therapy and greater mortality (case fatality rate=0.418) [23].

#### Fungal Infections

The most common fungal infections were Aspergillus spp. (48%) and Candida spp. (38%). Invasive fungal infections (IFIs) are a significant cause of concern in the case of immunocompromised patients undergoing chemotherapy for ALL. It is advised to start prophylaxis when the absolute neutrophil count (ANC) is  $\leq$  500/ul. It has been observed that posaconazole (18%) reduced infections compared to no prophylaxis (45%) (Table 3). Fluconazole also had an increased infection rate (72%), more than in cases where no prophylaxis was given [24].

Fungi	Rate of Infection	Chemo	Antimicrobial prophylaxis	Additional comments	Reference
	45%		No prophylaxis	Considering that posaconazole suspension	
IFA (invasive fungal	18%		Posaconazole	formulation is highly variable, all patients took this agent with food to ascertain that patients	
infection): Candida and Aspergillus	72%		Fluconazole	had adequate exposure. Patients in either group were permitted to receive amphotericin B or other systemic agents as empirical antifungal therapy for a suspected invasive fungal infection.	[24]
	5% to 16%	Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation	No prophylaxis		[25,26]
	0.08%		TMP/SMX		
	0% to 1.3%		Pentamidine		[27]
Pneumocystis pneumonia			trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole,dapsone, pentamidine, atovaquone,	1) Consider administering systemic antifungal prophylaxis to children and adolescents with newly diagnosed and relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia at high risk for IFD. 2) Do not routinely administer systemic antifungal prophylaxis to children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia at low risk for IFD. 3) Do not routinely administer systemic antifungal prophylaxis to children and adolescents with cancer at low risk for IFD, such as most pediatric patients with lymphomas and solid tumors. 4) Administer systemic antifungal prophylaxis to children and adolescents undergoing allogeneic HSCT pre-engraftment	

			clindamycin and primaquine in combination	and to those receiving systemic immunosuppression for the treatment of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD). 5) We suggest that systemic antifungal prophylaxis not be used routinely in children and adolescents undergoing autologous HSCT. 6) If systemic antifungal prophylaxis is warranted, administer a mold-active agent. 7) In choosing a moldactive agent, administer an echinocandin or a mold-active azole. 8) Do not use amphotericin routinely as systemic antifungal prophylaxis. 9) If systemic antifungal prophylaxis is warranted, consider administration during periods of observed or expected severe neutropenia [17].	
Aspergillus spp.	48%	Allogeneic	rooms with reverse isolation and high- efficiency particulate air filters during the neutropenic period.	Transplantation from MUD was associated with an increased risk for any type of SI in the pre-engraftment period, and for viruses	
Candida spp. Other fungi including	38% 14%	hematopoietic stem cell transplantation	Amphotericin B and acyclovir	between day +30 and + 100 after HSCT. This could be explained by an intensified GvHD prophylaxis consisting of serotherapy with ATG and administration of methotrexate for	[9]
mucormycetes Aspergillus and		Chemotherany	Posaconazole, Ketoconazole	recipients of MUD transplants	
Candida		Chemotherapy	Posaconazoie, Retoconazoie		
IFI		Chemotherapy	voriconazole, amphotericin B, caspofungin, or posaconazole alone or in combination. The 2 patients with orbitocereb	All patients were given secondary prophylaxis with oral voriconazole, itraconazole, or posaconazole. The median time for secondary prophylaxis was 90 days (range: 39-429 days). Reactivation of IFI occurred in 4 patients as pulmonary IFI; all of them were cured completely after treatment	[28]
Mucormycosis		Chemotherapy	liposomal amphotericin B and posaconazole therapy in combination for a long duration.	Posaconazole has been reported to be effective in patients with mucormycosis who are refractory to or intolerant of liposomal amphotericin B or who need maintenance treatment	[29]
Candida		HSCT	Micafungin	Micafungin has shown to be effective in the treatment of IFI in patients with febrile neutropenia	[30]
Mold infections		HCST	Broad spectrum azoles	Genetically targeted antifungal prophylaxis would be particularly useful in patients undergoing intensive chemotherapy for acute leukemia, to whom universal prophylaxis is not always given, even though invasive aspergillosis remains more frequent in such patients than in solid organ transplant recipients.	[31]
IFI			L-AmB and		
(Mucormycosis, candidiasis, cryptococcosis,		Induction chemotherapy	Voriconazole,	Patients with mucormycosis were successfully treated with a combination of surgery and prolonged antifungal treatment with L-AmB	[32]
and invasive aspergillosis)			Posaconazole	and posaconazole.	

TABLE 3: Fungal infections in acute lymphoblastic leukemia

In patients undergoing HSCT, the use of voriconazole has been observed to decrease IFIs, while fluconazole  $\,$ 

was responsible for breakthrough fungal infections. However, since azoles block cytochrome P-450 and thus slow the metabolism of vinca alkaloids, When ALL patients were treated with vincristine (vinca alkaloids), voriconazole was replaced by micafungin (echinocandins), which was also found to be effective in reducing IFI [20].

Invasive mold infections have significantly increased mortality in oncohematologic patients. Although prophylaxis is recommended, the associated problems of the considerable number needed to treat resistance and significant adverse effects prove to be concerning. Genetic testing for pentraxin-3 polymorphism has been associated with invasive mold infections among ALL patients undergoing chemotherapy [22]. Thus, genetic testing can be a possible way to curb invasive mold infections in ALL patients to whom universal prophylaxis isn't always given.

Pneumocystis jirovecii is a ubiquitous fungus that usually affects immune-compromised individuals, such as the ones with HSCT, Chemotherapy, and malignancies, by usually causing Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP). Guidelines suggest prophylaxis for six months post-transplant. It has been observed that the infection rate is 0.08% with trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and 0% to 1.3% with pentamidine as compared to 5% to 16% when no prophylaxis was given [25-27]. However, since PCP is relatively rare, and prophylactic drugs are associated with significant adverse effects; it should be used after carefully analyzing the risk-benefit. Rare cases of mucormycosis have been found in children undergoing the BFM-ALL protocol. The treatment is liposomal amphotericin B and posaconazole combined with surgical debridement [29].

#### **Discussion**

ALL affects the body's immune defense mechanism and weakens it by disallowing the differentiation of lymphocytes responsible for keeping microorganisms from causing infection. Furthermore, chemotherapy for ALL consists of high-dose systemic methotrexate, asparaginase, and dexamethasone, which significantly impacts the body's immune system primarily through myelosuppression. Infection is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality during treating children with ALL. Various bacterial, fungal, and viral pathogens can cause this.

The most common infections encountered in patients with ALL are viral infections, followed by bacterial infections and fungal infections. The most prevalent viral infections were found to be: CMV, AdV, and EBV. At the same time, coagulase-negative Staphylococcus species were found to be most common among bacteria. Currently, cefepime alone or Vancomycin-containing regimes control bacterial infections according to a study conducted in 2020 [33]. According to a study conducted in 2014, fluoroquinolones have been found to reduce bacterial infection in patients with ALL undergoing chemotherapy. However, the effect of fluoroquinolones on patients with ALL undergoing HSCT was negligible [25]. Another study conducted in 2015 concluded that levofloxacin can reduce the mortality and morbidity of pediatric patients with ALL [34].

The most common fungal infections were Aspergillus spp. (48%) and Candida spp. (38%). Invasive mold infections significantly cause mortality in oncohematological patients. Fungal infections are relatively lower [9], which may be attributed to primary prophylaxis with azoles and amphotericin B. Invasive mold infections seem to cause mortality in oncohematological patients significantly. Azoles and amphotericin B can be used for prevention; however, problems with high cost due to huge NNT, resistance, and significant adverse effects cause a hindrance. Genetic testing for pentraxin-3 polymorphism can detect patients likely to get invasive mold infections during the treatment for ALL [31].

Amongst the viral infections, the most commonly found organisms after HSCT are EBV, CMV, and AdV. Using serology to monitor CMV is necessary to start prophylaxis with antivirals. Ganciclovir is an effective drug for the prophylaxis and treatment of CMV infection. According to a study conducted in 2017, the HSCT recipient showed seropositivity, Ganciclovir was used for the prevention of CMV, rituximab for EBV, and cidofovir for AdV. CMV prophylaxis is especially required for transplant recipients with a seropositive CMV IgG [9].

Despite prophylactic treatment and inoculation of vaccines and immunoglobulins, infections are still common among ALL patients. Due to a limited number of studies conducted and published, the formulation of newly updated guidelines has not been successful. Specific tests like genetic testing are expensive to run. Poverty-related factors like low parental literacy, suboptimal living conditions, and lack of accessibility to healthcare in developing countries further hinder the care of infectious complications; thus, the aspect of increased financial burden and feasibility comes as a barrier [34]. Effective prevention and management of infections require timely access to diagnostics and antimicrobials by improving healthcare infrastructure.

## **Conclusions**

Due to the immunocompromised status of patients with ALL, they are more disposed to developing bacterial, viral, and fungal infections. Among all the infections these patients encounter, viral infections are most prevalent, followed by bacterial infections and fungal infections. Despite the inoculation of vaccines and the use of prophylactic treatments, the rate of development of infections in ALL patients is high. To decrease the risk of infections in ALL patients, it is required to reduce the duration of poor innate host

defenses against infection, improve acquired immunity through vaccines or Igs, practice good hygiene, and use antibiotic prophylaxis for specific at-risk patients. Steps should be taken to overcome the challenges, including an insufficient number of healthcare personnel trained in infection care and prevention, inadequate microbiologic infrastructure, poor healthcare infrastructure, lack of basic medical supplies, equipment, and trained personnel, and insufficient institutional policies with poor organizational structure and forging public and private alliances to support cancer care. Furthermore, more research must be conducted to revise the guidelines frequently for effective treatment.

## **Additional Information**

#### **Disclosures**

Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

#### References

- Bhojwani D, Yang JJ, Pui CH: Biology of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2015, 62:47-60. 10.1016/j.pcl.2014.09.004
- Terwilliger T, Abdul-Hay M: Acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a comprehensive review and 2017 update. Blood Cancer J. 2017, 7:e577. 10.1038/bcj.2017.53
- Khalade A, Jaakkola MS, Pukkala E, Jaakkola JJ: Exposure to benzene at work and the risk of leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Health. 2010, 9:31. 10.1186/1476-069X-9-31
- Rahmani M, Talebi M, Hagh MF, Feizi AA, Solali S: Aberrant DNA methylation of key genes and acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Biomed Pharmacother. 2018, 97:1493-500. 10.1016/j.biopha.2017.11.033
- Vasconcelos GM, Christensen BC, Houseman EA, et al.: History of parvovirus B19 infection is associated with a DNA methylation signature in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Epigenetics. 2011, 6:1436-43. 10.4161/epi.6.12.18464
- Timms JA, Relton CL, Rankin J, Strathdee G, McKay JA: DNA methylation as a potential mediator of environmental risks in the development of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Epigenomics. 2016, 8:519-36. 10.2217/epi-2015-0011
- Annino L, Vegna ML, Camera A, et al.: Treatment of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL): long-term follow-up of the GIMEMA ALL 0288 randomized study. Blood. 2002, 99:863-71. 10.1182/blood.v99.3.863
- Pichler H, Lawitschka A, Glogova E, et al.: Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation from unrelated donors is associated with higher infection rates in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia-a prospective international multicenter trial on behalf of the BFM-SG and the EBMT-PDWP. Am J Hematol. 2019. 94:880-90. 10.1002/ajh.25511
- Wolf J, Tang L, Flynn PM, et al.: Levofloxacin prophylaxis during induction therapy for pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Clin Infect Dis. 2017. 65:1790-8. 10.1093/cid/cix644
- Alexander S, Fisher BT, Gaur AH, et al.: Effect of levofloxacin prophylaxis on bacteremia in children with acute leukemia or undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2018, 320:995-1004. 10.1001/jama.2018.12512
- 11. Zhu Y, Yang R, Cai J, et al.: Septicemia after chemotherapy for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia in China: a multicenter study CCCG-ALL-2015. Cancer Med. 2020, 9:2113-21. 10.1002/cam4.2889
- Inaba H, Pei D, Wolf J, et al.: Infection-related complications during treatment for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Ann Oncol. 2017. 28:386-92. 10.1093/annonc/mdw557
- Hill JA, Li D, Hay KA, et al.: Infectious complications of CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor-modified T-cell immunotherapy. Blood. 2018, 131:121-30. 10.1182/blood-2017-07-793760
- 14. Park JH, Romero FA, Taur Y, Sadelain M, Brentjens RJ, Hohl TM, Seo SK: Cytokine release syndrome grade as a predictive marker for infections in patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with chimeric antigen receptor T cells. Clin Infect Dis. 2018, 67:533-40. 10.1093/cid/ciy152
- Moschovi M, Adamaki M, Vlahopoulos SA: Progress in treatment of viral infections in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Oncol Rev. 2016, 10:300.
- Sykes A, Gerhardt E, Tang L, Adderson EE: The effectiveness of trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine in children with acute leukemia. J Pediatr. 2017, 191:218-224.e1. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.08.071
- Morris J, Morris C: Nitazoxanide is effective therapy for norovirus gastroenteritis after chemotherapy and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2015, 21:255-6.
   10.1182/blood.V122.21.4581.4581
- Logan C, Koura D, Taplitz R: Updates in infection risk and management in acute leukemia . Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2020, 2020:135-9. 10.1182/hematology.2020000098
- Bachanova V, Bishop MR, Dahi P, et al.: Chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy during the COVID-19 pandemic. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2020, 26:1239-46. 10.1016/j.bbmt.2020.04.008
- Lin B, Kennedy B, McBride J, et al.: Long-term morbidity of respiratory viral infections during chemotherapy in children with leukaemia. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2019, 54:1821-9. 10.1002/ppul.24456
- Zawitkowska J, Lejman M, Drabko K, Zaucha-Prażmo A: Diagnostic difficulties of AH1N1 influenza infection in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: two case reports. Medicine (Baltimore). 2020, 99:e22790. 10.1097/MD.0000000000022790
- 22. Lee LY, Cazier JB, Starkey T, et al.: COVID-19 prevalence and mortality in patients with cancer and the effect of primary tumour subtype and patient demographics: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Oncol. 2020,

- 21:1309-16. 10.1016/\$1470-2045(20)30442-3
- Zhang T, Bai J, Huang M, Li R, Liu Y, Liu A, Liu J: Posaconazole and fluconazole prophylaxis during induction therapy for pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2021, 54:1139-46. 10.1016/j.jmii.2020.07.008
- Stern A, Green H, Paul M, Vidal L, Leibovici L: Prophylaxis for Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP) in non-HIV immunocompromised patients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014, 2014:CD005590.
   10.1002/14651858.CD005590.pub3
- Caselli D, Petris MG, Rondelli R, et al.: Single-day trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis for Pneumocystis pneumonia in children with cancer. J Pediatr. 2014, 164:389-92.e1. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2013.10.021
- Quinn M, Fannin JT, Sciasci J, et al.: Pentamidine for prophylaxis against Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia in pediatric oncology patients receiving immunosuppressive chemotherapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018, 62:10.1128/AAC.00173-18
- Tüfekçi Ö, Yılmaz Bengoa Ş, Demir Yenigürbüz F, Şimşek E, Karapınar TH, İrken G, Ören H: Management of invasive fungal infections in pediatric acute leukemia and the appropriate time for restarting chemotherapy. Turk J Haematol. 2015, 32:329-37. 10.4274/tjh.2014.0035
- Kebudi R, Kızılocak H, Hafız G, Erturan Z: Successful outcome of mucormycosis in a child with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Turk Pediatri Ars. 2020, 55:207-9. 10.14744/TurkPediatriArs.2019.12129
- Yeh TC, Liu HC, Hou JY, Chen KH, Huang TH, Chang CY, Liang DC: Severe infections in children with acute leukemia undergoing intensive chemotherapy can successfully be prevented by ciprofloxacin, voriconazole, or micafungin prophylaxis. Cancer. 2014, 120:1255-62. 10.1002/cncr.28524
- Brunel AS, Wójtowicz A, Lamoth F, et al.: Pentraxin-3 polymorphisms and invasive mold infections in acute leukemia patients receiving intensive chemotherapy. Haematologica. 2018, 103:e527-30. 10.3324/haematol.2018.195453
- Duy Nguyen B, Thi Thanh Vo H, Dinh Thi Thanh M, et al.: Epidemiological characterization of pityriasis versicolor and distribution of Malassezia species among students in Hai Phong city, Vietnam. Curr Med Mycol. 2020, 6:11-7. 10.18502/CMM.6.2.2838
- Inaba H, Gaur AH, Cao X, et al.: Feasibility, efficacy, and adverse effects of outpatient antibacterial prophylaxis in children with acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer. 2014, 120:1985-92. 10.1002/cncr.28688
- 33. Lehrnbecher T, Fisher BT, Phillips B, et al.: Guideline for antibacterial prophylaxis administration in pediatric cancer and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Clin Infect Dis. 2020, 71:226-36.
- Caniza MA, Odio C, Mukkada S, et al.: Infectious complications in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated in low-middle-income countries. Expert Rev Hematol. 2015, 8:627-45.
   10.1586/17474086.2015.1071186