Cureus

Review began 01/11/2023 Review ended 01/14/2023 Published 01/17/2023

© Copyright 2023

Waheed et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Comparison of Efficacy and Safety of Low-Dose Versus High-Dose Dexamethasone in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients: A Meta-Analysis

Muhammad Daniyal Waheed 1 , Aimen Shaikh 2 , Shazaf M. Sidhu 3 , Salwan Ahmad 4 , Tehreem Sikander 5 , Aizaz R. Chaudhry 6 , Izza Iftikhar 7 , Tanveer Ahamad Shaik 8

1. Internal Medicine, Foundation University Medical College, Islamabad, PAK 2. Medicine, Foundation University Medical College, Islamabad, PAK 3. Medicine, Jinnah Medical and Dental College, Karachi, PAK 4. Internal Medicine, Shifa College of Medicine, Shifa Tameer-e-Millat University, Islamabad, PAK 5. Internal Medicine, Jinnah Medical and Dental College, Karachi, PAK 6. Emergency Department, Bahawal Victoria Hospital, Bahawalpur, PAK 7. Internal Medicine, Quaid-e-Azam Medical College, Bahawalpur, PAK 8. Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Louisville School of Medicine, Louisville, USA

Corresponding author: Muhammad Daniyal Waheed , daniyal1201@outlook.com

Abstract

The aim of this study is to compare the efficacy and safety of low-dose and high-dose dexamethasone in hospitalized coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients. The current meta-analysis was conducted in compliance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. A comprehensive literature search was carried out using PubMed, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and Embase. Outcomes assessed in the current meta-analysis included 28-day mortality, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, mechanical ventilation, length of ICU admission (days), and length of hospital stay (days). For safety, we compared hypoglycemia and the incidence of infection between the high-dose dexamethasone group and the low-dose dexamethasone group. A total of four studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were included in this meta-analysis. No significant difference was found between the two groups in terms of ICU admission (risk ratio (RR): 0.72, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.41-1.28, pvalue: 0.27), length of stay in ICU in days (mean difference (MD): -0.05, 95%CI: -3.96-3.87, p-value: 0.98, Isquare: 94%), length of hospital stay in days (MD: -0.94, 95%CI: -1.94-0.06, p-value: 0.07), need of mechanical ventilation (RR: 0.72, 95%CI: 0.36-1.48, p-value: 0.38), and 28-day mortality (RR: 0.90, 95% CI: 0.50-1.64, p-value: 0.74). The current study showed that higher doses of dexamethasone failed to enhance efficacy compared to low-dose dexamethasone. Thus, based on the findings of this meta-analysis, low-dose dexamethasone can be recommended for these patients.

Categories: Internal Medicine, Infectious Disease, Epidemiology/Public Health Keywords: sars-cov-2, corticosteroids, intensive care, coronavirus disease 2019, covid-19, low dose, high dose, metaanalysis, dexamethasone

Introduction And Background

Patients with critical coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are characterized by hypoxemia and pulmonary inflammation that often leads to the use of mechanical ventilation and high-flow oxygen [1]. The initial symptoms of COVID-19 are sore throat, headache, malaise, dyspnea, fever, and other influenza-related symptoms [2]. However, organ failure and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) are common in severe and critical cases [3]. Since the beginning of the epidemic, researchers have been vying for the best COVID-19 treatments. However, not many therapy alternatives are accessible right now [4]. Drugs such as interferons, protease inhibitors, and hydroxychloroquine were considered effective as per the initial experience. Still, they were withdrawn later from protocols because of the risk of adverse effects and lack of efficacy [5-6].

A recent meta-analysis by Sterne et al. showed improved outcomes in patients with moderate or severe COVID-19 treated with corticosteroids [7]. However, the doses (low versus high doses) and corticosteroid types used in these clinical trials were different. World Health Organization (WHO) recommended corticosteroids for patients with severe and critical COVID-19. However, the dosages used among patients with COVID-19 varied across different countries and hospitals [8]. Dexamethasone has wide effects on adaptive and innate immunity. Adaptive immunity is integral to COVID-19 immunopathology as the beginning of ARDS coincides temporally with the emergence of a particular antibody against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [9].

Studies showed that overwhelming inflammation is linked with critical and severe cases of COVID-19 [10]. A higher dose of dexamethasone has been utilized for ARDS not related to COVID-19 [11]. Pharmacodynamic investigations have found a dose-dependent glucocorticoid impact on biomarkers of glucocorticoid receptor agonism [12]. However, increased doses of corticosteroids enhance the risk of adverse events, especially infections and hyperglycemia [11]. Recently, few clinical trials have been conducted that compared the

How to cite this article

Waheed M, Shaikh A, Sidhu S M, et al. (January 17, 2023) Comparison of Efficacy and Safety of Low-Dose Versus High-Dose Dexamethasone in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients: A Meta-Analysis. Cureus 15(1): e33884. DOI 10.7759/cureus.33884 efficacy and safety of dexamethasone in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 as, currently, it is unclear what would be the optimal dose of dexamethasone. The aim of this meta-analysis is to compare the efficacy and safety of low-dose and high-dose dexamethasone in hospitalized COVID-19 patients.

Review

Methodology

The current meta-analysis followed the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement.

Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was performed by two authors (AS and SA) independently using PubMed, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and Embase. No restrictions were placed on language and year of publication. The search terms used to identify relevant studies included "COVID-19", "dexamethasone", "high dose", "low dose", and "efficacy".

Study Selection and Data Extraction

In the first stage, title and abstract screening of all articles were conducted by two authors (MW and TS) independently to assess eligibility criteria. In the second stage, full texts of all eligible articles were retrieved and screening was done according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Any disagreement during the study selection process was resolved through discussion. For data extraction, a data collection sheet was designed in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, United States). Data extraction was performed by two authors (AS and II) independently. Data extracted included author name, year of publication, study groups, sample size, dexamethasone dosage, patients characteristics (mean age in years and number of males), and measured outcomes.

Eligibility Criteria

We included all randomized control trials (RCTs) comparing high-dose and low-dose dexamethasone in hospitalized COVID-19 adult patients. We excluded observational studies, non-randomized trials, case series, case reports, and reviews. The inclusion criteria for low-dose dexamethasone was ≤ 10 mg/day, while any dose higher than low-dose dexamethasone was considered high-dose dexamethasone.

Outcome and Risk-of-Bias Assessment

We assessed 28-day mortality, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, mechanical ventilation, length of ICU admission (days), and length of hospital stay (days) in the present meta-analysis as efficacy outcomes. For safety, we compared hypoglycaemia and the incidence of infection between the high-dose dexamethasone group and the low-dose dexamethasone group.

Risk-of-bias assessment was carried out using the Cochrane Risk-of-Bias Assessment Tool by two authors (SA and AC) who independently included an assessment of performance bias, selection bias, attrition bias, detection, bias, reporting bias, and other potential bias sources. Any disagreement during the risk-of-bias assessment was resolved through discussion.

Statistical Analysis

Review Manager, version 5.4.0 (The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark) was used for data analysis. Continuous outcomes were presented as mean difference (MD) along with 95% confidence interval (CI), while categorical outcomes were presented as risk ratio (RR) with their 95% CI. A p-value less than 0.05 were considered significant. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using I-square statistics. A fixed-effect model was used if heterogeneity was less than 50% in any of the outcomes; otherwise, the random-effect model was used.

Results

Literature Search

A total of 519 records were selected by online database searching, with 62 records being duplicates; 432 articles were removed based on the abstract and title screening. The full text of 25 potential articles was retrieved for inclusion and exclusion criteria. A total of four studies were included in the current meta-analysis based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The process of selection of studies is shown in Figure *1*.



FIGURE 1: PRISMA flowchart of selection of studies

PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

Basis Characteristics and Quality Assessment

The characteristics of the four included RCTs are shown in Table *1*. The studies included 1383 patients (689 patients received low-dose dexamethasone and 693 patients high-dose dexamethasone) including three single-center studies and one multi-center study. The mean age of included studies ranged from 57 years to 64.5 years and most participants were males. Figure *2* shows the results of the quality assessment of the included studies. Among all included studies, two studies were single-blind, while one was unblinded.

Cureus

| Author Name | Year | Setting | Group | Dose | Sample Size | Mean age (Years) | Males (%) | | | |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|------|--------|
| Munch et al. | ch et al. Multi- | Multi- | Low dose | 6 mg | 485 | 0.1.5 | 68.94% | | | |
| [13] | 2021 | center | High dose | 12 mg | 497 | 64.5 | | | | |
| Taboada et al. | Single- | Single- center | Single- | Single- | Single- | Low dose | 6 mg | 102 | 64 3 | 61 80% |
| [14] | 2021 | | High dose | 20 mg for first five days and 10 mg daily for last five days | 98 | 04.0 | 01.0070 | | | |
| Toroghi et al. | et al. | Single- center | Single- | Low dose | 8 mg | 47 | 57 5 | 63 1/1% | | |
| [15] | 2022 | | High dose | 24 mg 46 | | 51.5 | 00.74 /0 | | | |
| We at al. [16] | 2022 | Single- center | Low dose | 6 mg | 55 | 57 | 54 20% | | | |
| wu et al. [16] | 2022 | | center High dose | 20 mg for first five days and 10 mg daily for last five days | 52 | 57 | 54.20% | | | |

TABLE 1: Characteristics of included studies



FIGURE 2: Risk-of-bias assessment

Outcome Meta-analysis

Two RCTs [14,15] compared the incidence of ICU admission between 149 low-dose dexamethasone patients and 144 high-dose dexamethasone patients. No significant difference was found between the two groups in terms of ICU admission (RR: 0.72, 95%CI: 0.41-1.28, p-value: 0.27). Low heterogeneity was found among study results (I-square: 0%). For those patients who were admitted to ICU, no significant difference in length of stay in the ICU in days was found between the two study groups (MD: -0.05, 95%CI: -3.96-3.87, p-value: 0.98, I-square: 94%). Two studies evaluated the effects of the dose of dexamethasone on the length of hospital stay in days. Overall analysis showed that the mean length of hospital stay was lower in patients randomized to the low-dose dexamethasone group but the difference was statistically insignificant (MD: - 0.94, 95%CI: -1.94-0.06, p-value: 0.07) with no heterogeneity (I-square: 0%) (Figure *3*).

a) ICU Admission

| Study or Subgroup | Low de Events | ose Total | High d Events | ose Total | Weight | Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | | Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|------|----------------------------------|-----|
| Taboada et al., 2021 [14] | 13 | 102 | 15 | 98 | 62.7% | 0.83 [0.42, 1.66] | | | |
| Toroghi et al., 2022 [15] | 5 | 47 | 9 | 46 | 37.3% | 0.54 [0.20, 1.50] | | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 149 | | 144 | 100.0% | 0.72 [0.41, 1.28] | | • | |
| Total events | 18 | | 24 | | | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.46, Test for overall effect: Z = 1. | df = 1 (P 11 (P = 0 | = 0.50) 1.27) | ; I ² = 0% | | | | 0.01 | 0.1 1 10 Low dose High dose | 100 |

b) Length of ICU Stay in Days



c) Length of Hospitalization in Days

| | Low dose | | High dose | | Mean Difference | | Mean Difference | | |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Mean | SD | Total | Mean | SD | Total | Weight | IV, Fixed, 95% CI | IV, Fixed, 95% CI |
| Taboada et al., 2021 [14] | 8.5 | 5.3 | 102 | 9 | 5.4 | 98 | 45.2% | -0.50 [-1.98, 0.98] | |
| Toroghi et al., 2022 [15] | 5.7 | 3 | 47 | 7 | 3.6 | 46 | 54.8% | -1.30 [-2.65, 0.05] | |
| Total (95% CI) | | | 149 | | | 144 | 100.0% | -0.94 [-1.94, 0.06] | |
| Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.61, | df = 1 (F | | | | | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 1. | .84 (P = | 0.07) | | | | | | | Low Dose High Dose |

FIGURE 3: Forest plot comparing the effect of low-dose versus highdose dexamethasone on (a) ICU admission, (b) length of ICU stay, and (c) length of hospitalization

M-H: Mantel-Haenszel

Three studies [13-16] showed that there is no significant difference in 28-day mortality between the two groups (RR: 0.90, 95%CI: 0.50-1.64, p-value: 0.74). Moderate heterogeneity was found among the study results (I-square: 51%). Two RCTs reported mechanical ventilation in 149 low-dose dexamethasone patients and 144 high-dose dexamethasone patients. In this meta-analysis, no significant difference was reported in terms of the incidence of mechanical ventilation between the two study arms (RR: 0.72, 95%CI: 0.36-1.48, p-value: 0.38). No heterogeneity was reported between the study results (I-square: 0%) (Figure 4).

a) 28-Days Mortality

| | Low dose | | Low dose High dose | | Risk Ratio | | Risk Ratio |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% Cl | M-H, Random, 95% Cl |
| Munch et al., 2021 [13] | 155 | 480 | 133 | 491 | 57.2% | 1.19 [0.98, 1.45] | - |
| Taboada et al., 2021 [14] | 6 | 102 | 6 | 98 | 20.0% | 0.96 [0.32, 2.88] | |
| Wu et al., 2022 [16] | 5 | 55 | 11 | 52 | 22.9% | 0.43 [0.16, 1.15] | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 637 | | 641 | 100.0% | 0.90 [0.50, 1.64] | - |
| Total events | 166 | | 150 | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.15; | Chi ² = 4. | | | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 0. | .33 (P = 0 | .74) | | | | | Low dose High dose |

b) Need for Mechanical Ventilation



FIGURE 4: Forest plot comparing the effect of low-dose versus highdose dexamethasone on (a) 28-day mortality and (b) need for mechanical ventilation

M-H: Mantel-Haenszel

Three studies [14-16] assessed the risk of infection and hypoglycemia in patients receiving low-dose and high-dose dexamethasone. No significant difference was found in the incidence of hypoglycemia and incidence of infection between the two study arms as shown in Figure *5*.

a) Hypoglycemia

| | Low dose | | ow dose High dose | | Risk Ratio | | Risk Ratio |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | CI M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
| Taboada et al., 2021 [14] | 49 | 102 | 47 | 98 | 66.4% | 1.00 [0.75, 1.34] | 4] — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — |
| Toroghi et al., 2022 [15] | 14 | 47 | 22 | 46 | 30.8% | 0.62 [0.37, 1.06] | 5] |
| Wu et al., 2022 [16] | 1 | 55 | 2 | 52 | 2.8% | 0.47 [0.04, 5.06] | 5] |
| Total (95% CI) | | 204 | | 196 | 100.0% | 0.87 [0.68, 1.12] | 2] |
| Total events | 64 | | 71 | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Chi# = 2.68, | = 0.26) | F = 25% | | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 1. | .28) | | | | | Low Dose High Dose | |

b) Infection

| | Low dose | | Low dose H | | High dose | | | Risk Ratio | Risk Ratio |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------|-------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% Cl | | |
| Taboada et al., 2021 [14] | 10 | 102 | 10 | 98 | 41.8% | 0.96 [0.42, 2.21] | | | |
| Toroghi et al., 2022 [15] | 1 | 47 | 4 | 46 | 18.6% | 0.24 [0.03, 2.11] | | | |
| Wu et al., 2022 [16] | 16 | 55 | 5 | 52 | 39.6% | 3.03 [1.19, 7.67] | | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 204 | | 196 | 100.0% | 1.17 [0.37, 3.71] | - | | |
| Total events | 27 | | 19 | | | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.65; | Chi ² = 5. | 95, df= | | | | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 0. | .27 (P = 0 | .79) | | | | Low Dose High Dose | | | |

FIGURE 5: Forest plot comparing the effect of low-dose versus highdose dexamethasone on (a) risk of hypoglycemia and (b) risk of infection

M-H: Mantel-Haenszel

Discussion

The current meta-analysis compared the safety and efficacy of high-dose and low-dose dexamethasone in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis comparing the safety and efficacy of low-dose versus high-dose dexamethasone in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. No significant difference was reported in ICU admission, length of ICU stay, need for mechanical ventilation, length of hospital stay, 28-day mortality rate, and incidence of infection rate and hyperglycemia between

the patients randomized in low-dose and randomized high-dose dexamethasone groups.

The findings of our meta-analysis are consistent with the meta-analysis conducted by Tan et al. [8] and Cano et al. [17] comparing high-dose corticosteroids and low-dose corticosteroids. Our meta-analysis has focused on low-dose dexamethasone and high-dose dexamethasone. As a small number of studies compared low-dose and high-dose dexamethasone and the non-superiority of high-dose dexamethasone in the current meta-analysis, we cannot claim that high-dose dexamethasone is more effective. Thus, future studies need to be conducted including a larger sample size to evaluate the safety and efficacy of various doses of dexamethasone in the management of hospitalized COVID-19 patients.

Low-dose corticosteroids like dexamethasone commonly are used in surgery for minimizing vomiting and nausea and as an adjunct to part of the multimodal analgesia. The corticosteroids used for surgery have side effects that depend on the dosage, including an increased risk of hyperglycemia, surgical site infections, myocardial infarctions, and mortality [18]. We assessed the rate of infection and hyperglycemia and did not find any significant difference between low-dose and high-dose dexamethasone patients. In this trial, new infections, and hyperglycemia were comparable in both groups despite the possibility that increased doses of corticosteroids would be linked with more complications. This is consistent with earlier studies that did not find an increased risk of adverse events with corticosteroids in patients with ARDS with or without COVID-19 [19,20].

Despite corticosteroids' beneficial anti-inflammatory effects, there is conflicting evidence about their safety when used in patients with COVID-19 [21,22]. The Coronavirus Dexamethasone (CoDEX) clinical trial did not show a significant difference in terms of risk of bacteremia, new infection, or any other insulin use for hyperglycemia in the 20 mg dexamethasone group compared to a standard care group [23]. The meta-analysis showed that high-dose dexamethasone was not associated with an increased risk of adverse events. Because of the limited availability of data, we only extracted data for the incidence of infection rate and hyperglycemia between the low-dose and high-dose dexamethasone groups. The study conducted by Mondero et al. reported that moderate to high-dose corticosteroids (1 mg/kg/d methylprednisolone or 0.12 mg/kg/d dexamethasone) was not linked with an increased risk of infection or medical complications [19].

Certain steps need to be taken to understand the impacts of dexamethasone in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Firstly, more studies on endogenous immune response and viral clearance after and before the administration of dexamethasone can provide insight into the possible benefits and harms of dexamethasone. Deficiencies in dysregulated endogenous proinflammatory responses and interferon responses [24], which could be assessed in circulating blood samples both before and following dexamethasone therapy, have been linked to COVID-19 mortality.

The current meta-analysis has certain limitations. Firstly, the number of included studies is only four and due to this, we did not assess publication bias. Secondly, the outcomes of long-term follow-up for low-dose and high-dose dexamethasone COVID-19 survivors are required in order to determine any complication of dexamethasone in the relapse of pneumonia or ARDS. In addition, we were not able to perform subgroup analysis based on the COVID-19 severity because of limited data available.

Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis comparing the safety and efficacy of different doses of dexamethasone in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. The current meta-analysis found no significant difference in ICU admission, length of ICU stay, length of hospital stay, 28-day mortality, need for mechanical ventilation, and adverse events. The current study showed that higher doses of dexamethasone failed to enhance efficacy compared to low-dose dexamethasone. Thus, based on the findings of this meta-analysis, low-dose dexamethasone can be recommended for these patients. In the future, larger studies need to be conducted including a larger sample size to assess the optimum dose of dexamethasone in COVID-19 hospitalized patients.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

 Haase N, Plovsing R, Christensen S, et al.: Characteristics, interventions, and longer term outcomes of COVID-19 ICU patients in Denmark-a nationwide, observational study. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2021, 65:68-75. 10.1111/aas.13701

- Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, Peacock SJ, Prescott HC: Pathophysiology, transmission, diagnosis, and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a review. JAMA. 2020, 324:782-93. 10.1001/jama.2020.12839
- Wang T, Du Z, Zhu F, Cao Z, An Y, Gao Y, Jiang B: Comorbidities and multi-organ injuries in the treatment of COVID-19. Lancet. 2020, 395:e52. 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30558-4
- Swain SM, Baselga J, Kim SB, et al.: Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2015, 372:724-34. 10.1056/NEJMoa1413513
- Pan H, Peto R, Henao-Restrepo AM, et al.: Repurposed antiviral drugs for Covid-19 interim WHO solidarity trial results. N Engl J Med. 2021, 384:497-511. 10.1056/NEJMoa2023184
- Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, et al.: Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021, 384:693-704. 10.1056/NEJMoa2021436
- Sterne JA, Murthy S, Diaz JV, et al.: Association between administration of systemic corticosteroids and mortality among critically ill patients with COVID- 19: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2020, 324:1330-41. 10.1001/jama.2020.17023
- Tan RS, Ng KT, Xin CE, Atan R, Yunos NM, Hasan MS: High-dose versus low-dose corticosteroids in COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2022, 36:3576-86. 10.1053/j.jvca.2022.05.011
- Johnson RM, Vinetz JM: Dexamethasone in the management of covid -19. BMJ. 2020, 370:m2648. 10.1136/bmj.m2648
- Lucas C, Wong P, Klein J, et al.: Longitudinal analyses reveal immunological misfiring in severe COVID-19. Nature. 2020, 584:463-9. 10.1038/s41586-020-2588-y
- 11. Steinberg KP, Hudson LD, Goodman RB, et al.: Efficacy and safety of corticosteroids for persistent acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2006, 354:1671-84. 10.1056/NEJMoa051693
- Fleishaker DL, Mukherjee A, Whaley FS, Daniel S, Zeiher BG: Safety and pharmacodynamic dose response of short-term prednisone in healthy adult subjects: a dose ranging, randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2016, 17:293. 10.1186/s12891-016-1135-3
- Munch MW, Myatra SN, Vijayaraghavan BK, et al.: Effect of 12 mg vs 6 mg of dexamethasone on the number of days alive without life support in adults with COVID-19 and severe hypoxemia: the COVID STEROID 2 randomized trial. JAMA. 2021, 326:1807-17. 10.1001/jama.2021.18295
- Taboada M, Rodríguez N, Varela PM, et al.: Effect of high versus low dose of dexamethasone on clinical worsening in patients hospitalised with moderate or severe COVID-19 pneumonia: an open-label, randomised clinical trial. Eur Respir J. 2022, 60:2102518. 10.1183/13993003.02518-2021
- Toroghi N, Abbasian L, Nourian A, et al.: Comparing efficacy and safety of different doses of dexamethasone in the treatment of COVID-19: a three-arm randomized clinical trial. Pharmacol Rep. 2022, 74:229-40. 10.1007/s43440-021-00341-0
- Wu H, Daouk S, Kebbe J, Chaudry F, Harper J, Brown B: Low-dose versus high-dose dexamethasone for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 pneumonia: a randomized clinical trial. PLoS One. 2022, 17:e0275217. 10.1371/journal.pone.0275217
- 17. Cano EJ, Fonseca Fuentes X, Corsini Campioli C, et al.: Impact of corticosteroids in coronavirus disease 2019 outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. Chest. 2021, 159:1019-40. 10.1016/j.chest.2020.10.054
- Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). (2023). Accessed: March 5, 2020: https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus.
- Tomazini BM, Maia IS, Cavalcanti AB, et al.: Effect of dexamethasone on days alive and ventilator-free in patients with moderate or severe acute respiratory distress syndrome and COVID-19: the CoDEX randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2020, 324:1307-16. 10.1001/jama.2020.17021
- Hadjadj J, Yatim N, Barnabei L, et al.: Impaired type I interferon activity and inflammatory responses in severe COVID-19 patients. Science. 2020, 369:718-24. 10.1126/science.abc6027
- Fatima SA, Asif M, Khan KA, Siddique N, Khan AZ: Comparison of efficacy of dexamethasone and methylprednisolone in moderate to severe covid 19 disease. Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2020, 60:413-6. 10.1016/j.amsu.2020.11.027
- Monreal E, Sainz de la Maza S, Natera-Villalba E, et al.: High versus standard doses of corticosteroids in severe COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2021, 40:761-9. 10.1007/s10096-020-04078-1
- Monedero P, Gea A, Castro P, et al.: Early corticosteroids are associated with lower mortality in critically ill patients with COVID-19: a cohort study. Crit Care. 2021, 25:2. 10.1186/s13054-020-03422-3
- 24. Villar J, Ferrando C, Martínez D, et al.: Dexamethasone treatment for the acute respiratory distress syndrome: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2020, 8:267-76. 10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30417-5