Association Between Coronary Artery Disease and MicroRNA: Literature Review and Clinical Perspective
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Abstract
Background

Until recently, circulating micro-RNAs (miRNAs) have attracted major interest as novel biomarkers for the early diagnosis of coronary artery disease (CAD). This review article summarizes the available evidence on the correlation of micro-RNAs with both the clinical and subclinical coronary artery disease and highlights the necessity for exploring miRNAs as a potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarker of early CAD in an adult population.

Methods

A systematic literature analysis and retrieval online systems Public/Publisher MEDLINE/ Excerpta Medica Database /Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online,PUBMED/EMBASE/MEDLINE) search were conducted for relevant information. Search was limited to the articles published in English language and conducted on humans, January 2000 onwards. We excluded studies of heart surgery, coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), angioplasty and heart transplant. Eighteen studies met the inclusion criteria.

Results

Seven out of 18 studies were multivariate, i.e. adjusted for age, gender, body mass index (BMI), smoking, hypertension, diabetes, and blood lipid profiles, while the remaining twelve studies were univariate analysis. Different sources of miRNAs were used, i.e. plasma/serum, microparticles, whole blood, platelets, blood mononuclear intimal and endothelial progenitor cells were investigated. Fourteen out of 18 studies showed up-regulation of different miRNA in CAD patients and in vulnerable plaque disease. Four out of 18 studies showed both the up-regulation and down-regulation of miRNA in the population, while only three studies showed down-regulation of miRNA. Various sources and types of miRNA were used in each study.

Conclusion

This review gives an extensive overview of up-regulation and down-regulation of miRNA in CAD and non-CAD patients. The pattern of miRNA regulation with respect to CAD/non-CAD study subjects varies across individual studies and different parameters, which could be the possible reason for this aberrancy. We suggest further trials be conducted in future for highlighting the role of miRNA in CAD, which may improve both the diagnostic and therapeutic approaches to stratifying CAD burden in the general population.
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Introduction

Heart disease is the leading cause of death for both males and females with more than half of the deaths reported in 2009 in males [1]. Coronary heart disease is the most common type of heart disease with 370,000 annual deaths, i.e. each minute someone in the United States dies from a heart disease-related event [2]. Coronary heart disease alone each year costs the United States $108.9 billion, which includes the cost of health care services, medications, and lost productivity [3]. The total coronary artery disease (CAD) prevalence is 6.4% in US adults, which is expected to increase approximately 18% by 2030 [4]. Most individuals aged over 60 years have progressively enlarged deposits of calcium mineral in the plaques in their major arteries [5]. As atherosclerosis infiltrates the arterial wall long before it causes vessel obstruction and produces symptoms, earlier identification of this process should be part of risk prediction [6]. As such,
there is a lack of cost-effective and specific biomarkers for the early clinical diagnosis and prognosis of CAD, and there is an immense clinical demand for specific and reliable non-invasive biomarkers for CAD.

With over 1900 MicroRNA (miRNAs) discovered in humans to date, many of them have already been implicated in common human disorders. However, the pattern among the miRNA-disease association remains largely unclear for most diseases. Until recently, circulating micro-RNAs (miRNAs) have attracted major interest as novel biomarkers for the early diagnosis of CAD [7]. MiRNAs are a class of small (~22 nucleotides long), highly specific, endogenous, single-stranded, non-coding RNAs that regulate the expression of target genes by binding to the 39 untranslated regions and degrading or inhibiting the translation of messenger ribonucleic acid (RNA) (mRNAs) [8]. Studies have shown miRNAs’ involvement in the timing of cell death and cell proliferation, hematopoiesis, and other normal cellular homeostasis [9-10]. Various miRNAs are expressed in a tissue-specific manner and thus may regulate tissue-specific functions. This review article summarizes the available evidence correlating micro-RNA, clinical and subclinical CAD and further highlights the necessity for exploring the potential of micro-RNAs as useful diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for early CAD in the adult population.

Materials And Methods
A computerized search of the Public/Publisher MEDLINE/Excerpta Medica Database/Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online/Excerpta Medica Database (PubMed/Medline/EMBASE) database was done with the keywords and medical subject headings (MESH) terms such as "micro RNA," "coronary artery disease," "cardiovascular disease (CVD)," "Subclinical CVD," "coronary artery calcium and micro RNA," "miRNA and high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP)," "miRNA and coronary intimal thickness," and "miRNA and pulse wave velocity." We included all the literature that was published from January 1, 2000, until January 1, 2017. The search was limited to articles published in the English language. Included studies were cross-sectional, case-control or prospective in design and conducted in adult populations (Figure 1). CAD subjects diagnosed by symptoms, imaging, cardiac enzymes, electrocardiogram (EKG), diagnostic angiography or stress testing were included. We excluded studies with CAD patients who have had heart surgery, coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), angioplasty, and heart transplant. We also examined the references of all studies from the initial search for additional references. Demographic data was extracted from each study and results were collaborated into tables.

![FIGURE 1: Detailed literature analysis- CAD and miRNA association](2017 Malik et al. Cureus 9(4): e1188. DOI 10.7759/cureus.1188)
Results

A total of 18 clinical studies has been included in the review after a thorough analysis of the literature. Overall, there were 1720 subjects. The majority of the studies included were done in China, which was 11 in number, while two studies from Japan, one from the USA, one from Norway, one from Netherlands, one from the UK and one from Germany were included (Table 1). All the studies had the same outcome, which was CAD. Studies were further divided into two groups of microRNA up-regulation and down-regulation. There were four studies that checked for both up-regulation and down-regulation of microRNA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial#</th>
<th>Author, Year, Study Population</th>
<th>Study Population Characteristics</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Diehl, et al., 2011 [8]; CAD (n=5), ACS (n=9)</td>
<td>CAD: A: 76±2; M: 3 (60%); ACS: A: 66±4; M: 5 (100%)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sayed, et al., 2015 [11]; CAD (n=65), Non-CAD (n=32)</td>
<td>CAD: A: 53 (49–57); M: 38 (58.4%); Non-CAD: A: 53 (49–57); M: 16 (50%)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sayed, et al., 2015 [12]; CAD (n=37), Non-CAD (n=20)</td>
<td>CAD: A: 72.97 ± 4.28; M: 18 (67.5%); Non-CAD: A: 71.7 ± 5.2; M: 10 (50%)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Zhou, et al., 2015 [13]; CAD (n=67), Non-CAD (n=67)</td>
<td>CAD: A: 64.70 ± 5.96; M: 43 (64.2%); Non-CAD: A: 63.69 ± 5.96; M: 32 (47.8%)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Liu, et al., 2015 [14]; CAD (n=90), Non-CAD (n=70)</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Han, et al., 2015 [15]; CAD (n=32), Non-CAD (n=20)</td>
<td>CAD: A: 67±11; M: 32 (100%); Non-CAD: A: 62±8; M: 20 (100%)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Zhu, et al., 2014 [16]; CAD (n=56), Non-CAD (n=54)</td>
<td>Not Given</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Li, et al., 2013 [17]; Pre-CAD (n=12), Non-CAD (n=12)</td>
<td>Age/Sex matched in pre CAD and Non CAD.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ren, et al., 2013 [18]; CAD (UA) (n=45), Non-CAD (n=37)</td>
<td>CAD (UA): A: 63±12; M: 25 (65.5%); Non-CAD: A: 59±6; M: 22 (59.4%)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Guo, et al., 2012 [19]; HLD+CAD (n=155), non-HLD (n=100)</td>
<td>HLD+CAD: A: 65.3 ± 11.0; M: 105 (67.7%); Non-CAD: A: 63.0 ± 10.7; M: 35 (70%)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Weber, et al., 2011 [20]; CAD (n=10), Non-CAD (n=15)</td>
<td>Age and sex matched</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sondermeijer, et al., 2011 [21]; Pre CAD (n=40), Non-CAD (n=40); ASCL (n=27), family (n=40)</td>
<td>Age matched and males only; controls &gt; 20 yr younger, sex unknown</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fichtlscherer, et al., 2011 [22]; CAD (n=67), Non-CAD (n=31)</td>
<td>Cohort; CAD: A: 67.69 ± 11.07; M: 25 (69.4%); Non-CAD: A: 32.18 ± 8.78, Male: 6 (35.3%); VC; CAD: A: 68.06 ± 9.66; M: 21 (68%); Non-CAD: A: 39.28 ± 17.52; M: 5 (36%)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Taurino, et al., 2010 [23]; CAD (n=12), Non-CAD (n=12)</td>
<td>CAD: A: 66±11; M: 12 (100%); Non-CAD: A: 597; M: 12 (100%)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hoesktra, et al., 2010 [24]; UAP (n=25), SAP (n=25), Non-CAD (n=20)</td>
<td>Age, sex, ethnically, smoking matched</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Takahashi, et al., 2010 [25]; Stable CAD (n=66), Non-CAD (n=33)</td>
<td>CAD: A: 66.2±9.5; M: 52 (79%); Non-CAD: A: 64.2±10.3, M: 26 (79%)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Li, et al., 2010 [26]; AO (n=104), Non-AO (n=105)</td>
<td>Age Matched</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case Control</td>
<td>CAD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Minami, et al., 2009 [27]; Stable CAD (n=44), Non-CAD (n=22)**

- **CAD:** A 66.1±12.8; M: 36 (82%). **Non-CAD:** A: 64.5 ± 8.5, M: 17 (77%)

2. **Stable CAD (n=44), Non-CAD (n=22)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial #</th>
<th>Name of Author; Year of Study</th>
<th>MicroRNA (miR or miRNA)</th>
<th>MicroRNA: Regulation</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Strength of Association (Odds ratio, Relative Risk or Regression Analysis)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Diehl, et al., 2012 [8]</td>
<td>miR 19 21, miR 146 155 223</td>
<td>Up-regulated: miR 19 21, miR 146 155 223</td>
<td>QRT-PCR MP from plasma</td>
<td>ACS vs CAD miR 21 P=0.042 miR 146a P=0.003</td>
<td>Univariate Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sayed, et al., 2015 [11]</td>
<td>miR149 424, miR 765</td>
<td>Up-regulated: miR 765</td>
<td>QRT-PCR Serum/Plasma</td>
<td>Non-CAD vs. CAD (Adjusted) miR 149 95% CI 0.894 to 0.983 p=0.001 miR 424 95% CI 0.863 to 0.975 p=0.0001 miR 765 95% CI 0.939 to 0.996 p=0.0001</td>
<td>Adjusted for age, gender, TC, TAG, LDL-C, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, AST, ALT, creatinine, LVEF, DM, smoking, HTN and medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sayed, et al., 2015 [12]</td>
<td>miR 149 765</td>
<td>Up-regulated: miR 765</td>
<td>QRT-PCR Serum/Plasma</td>
<td>Stable/unstable CAD vs Non-CAD (Adjusted) miR 765 p=&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Adjusted for subjects with similar age, gender, total cholesterol, total glyceride, HDL, LDL, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, AST, ALT, creatinine, cardic troponinI, CK-MB, LDH, LVEF, DM, smoking, HTN, and medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Zhou, et al., 2015 [13]</td>
<td>miR206 574/5p</td>
<td>Up-regulated: miR 206 574/5p</td>
<td>QRT-PCR Plasma</td>
<td>CAD vs Non-CAD (Adjusted) miR 206 95% CI: (0.508-0.706) miR 574/5p = 95% CI: (0.609-0.787)</td>
<td>Multivariate analysis (no significant differences between two groups including HTN, DM, smoking history, age, gender, HDL-C, TAG, LDL-C and TC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5        | Liu, et al., 2015 [14]      | miR 2961 2313b 191/1313 | Up-regulated: miR 133a/3p 134 miR191/3p 191/3p miR133a/3p 1229/5p | QRT-PCR Serum/Plasma | Unadjusted miR 133a/3p 95% CI 0.55-0.82 p = 0.0096 miR 134 95% CI 0.54-0.82 p=0.015 miR 191/3p 95% CI 0.58-0.83 p=0.0046 miR 223 95% CI 0.47-0.74 p=0.13 miR 1229/5p 95% CI 0.54-0.83 p=0.015 miR 286195% CI 0.61-0.87 p=0.001 miR 313595% CI 0.61-0.86 p=0.001 | Univariate Analysis Matched for sex, DM, and age. A key feature in vasculature calcification is the osteogenic transition of SMCs miR 2861 might function as an enhancer of osteogenic differentiation of SMCs. The increased circulating miR 2861 level may... **TABLE 1: Demographics table**


**Up-regulation and microRNA**

A total of 15 studies reported up-regulation of micro RNAs in patients with CAD. Ten studies out of the 15 used plasma as the source while two studies used peripheral blood mononuclear cells as the source, one study used microparticles (MP) from plasma, one study used endothelial progenitor cells (EPC) and one study used platelets. The majority of the studies employed quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (QRT-PCR) for microRNA analysis while only one study employed Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) method for microRNA analysis (Li, et al. 2013). All studies reported that there is up-regulation of specific miRNA in relationship to CAD. Univariate analysis was done in nine of the 15 studies, while remaining studies adjusted for age, sex, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), hypertension HTN etc in their analysis (Table 2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>miRs</th>
<th>Expression Pattern</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>CAD vs. Non-CAD</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Han, et al., 2015 [15]</td>
<td>miR 21a, miR 23a, miR 34a</td>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>Serum/Plasma</td>
<td>CAD vs Non-CAD</td>
<td>0.49-0.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li, et al., 2013 [16]</td>
<td>miR 526b</td>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>Serum/Plasma</td>
<td>Pre CAD vs Non-CAD</td>
<td>0.00072, 0.003215</td>
<td>Univariate Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ren, et al., 2013 [18]</td>
<td>miR 21, miR 25, miR 92a, miR 106b, miR 126, miR 451, miR 590/5p</td>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>Serum/Plasma</td>
<td>CAD (UA) vs Non-CAD</td>
<td>Univariate Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guo, et al., 2012 [19]</td>
<td>miR 122, miR 370</td>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>Serum/Plasma</td>
<td>HLD+CAD vs Non-CAD</td>
<td>1.08, 1.16</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sondermeijer, et al., 2011 [21]</td>
<td>miR 340, miR 624</td>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Pre CAD vs Non-CAD</td>
<td>Univariate Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fichtlscherer, et al., 2010 [22]</td>
<td>miR 17, 92a, 133a, 145 miR, 208a</td>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>Plasma/ Serum</td>
<td>CAD vs Non-CAD</td>
<td>Univariate Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokestra, et al., 2010 [24]</td>
<td>miR 135, miR 147</td>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>PBMCs</td>
<td>CAD vs Non-CAD</td>
<td>Univariate Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi, et al., 2010 [25]</td>
<td>miR146a/b</td>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>PMBC</td>
<td>CAD vs Non-CAD</td>
<td>Univariate Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li, et al., 2010</td>
<td>miR 21, miR 27b</td>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>Vessel Intima</td>
<td>AO vs Non-AO</td>
<td>0.16, 0.29</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reference**


**Note:** OR reflects CAC progression.
Various different microRNA subtypes were studied with overlapping of the similar subtypes across the studies. Sayed, et al. did two different studies in two different age groups namely 40-60 and geriatric population. MicroRNA 756 was significantly up-regulated in both the middle-aged and elderly with a potential to be considered as a non-invasive biomarker in this population. Regulation of microRNA 223 was studied by Liu, et al. in 2015 and Diehl, et al. in 2012 in two case-control studies in China. Both the studies were not able to find a significant relationship between CAD and MiR 223, respectively. MiR 21 upregulation was tested in three of the studies: by Ren, et al. 2013, Diehl, et al. 2012 and Li, et al., 2010. Ren, et al. reported that the relationship of MiR 21 to CAD had the OR 2.488 CI 95% (1.175-5.277) p =0.017, while Diehl, et al. reported it to be p =0.042 and Li, et al. reported it to be p =0.05. MiR 92a and 126 were under study by two authors, namely, Fichtlscherer, et al. (2010) and Ren, et al. (2013). Ren, et al. reported that miR 92a and 126 when compared with CAD and non-CAD subjects had the OR 2.611; CI 95% (1.110-6.144) p =0.028 and OR 1.882; CI 95% (1.140-3.108) p =0.013, respectively. Fichtlscherer, et al. reported MiR 92a and 126 up-regulation had no significant relationship with CAD when compared with non-CAD patients. MiR 146a was also studied by two authors Takahashi, et al. and Diehl, et al. Both the authors were able to find a relationship of the up-regulation of miR 146a. Lastly, miRNA 155 was also studied by two authors, namely, Fichtlscherer, et al. and Diehl, et al. Neither studies found conclusive results for the significance of this biomarker with CAD subjects when compared with non-CAD subjects.

### Down-regulation and microRNA

A total of seven studies worked on the down-regulation of microRNA. All of the studies employed QRT-PCR as the method of analysis for detection of microRNA. Three studies used plasma as the source. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were used by two studies. Two studies used whole blood as the source and one study used pericardial fluid and coronary arteries intima. All the studies reported down-regulation of microRNA in patients with CAD compared with non-CAD patients. The adjusted parameters were same as the up-regulation studies used.

MicroRNA subtypes which overlapped in the reviewed studies are miR 149, miR 155, and miR 145. MicroRNA 149 was studied by Sayed, et al. in 2015, in two different studies on two different age groups, which were 40-60 and a geriatric population. MicroRNA 149 was found to be down-regulated in both middle-aged and the geriatric patients. MicroRNA 155 was studied by four different authors namely, Hao, et al. 2014, Zhu, et al. and Diehl, et al. 2010 and Fichtlscherer, et al. (2010) and Ren, et al. (2013). Ren, et al. reported that miR 92a and 126 were not able to find a significant relationship between CAD and non-CAD patients had the OR 2.611; CI 95% (1.110-6.144) p =0.028 and OR 1.882; CI 95% (1.140-3.108) p =0.013, respectively. Fichtlscherer, et al. reported that MiR 92a and 126 when compared with non-CAD patients had no significant relationship with CAD. MiR 146a was also studied by two authors Takahashi, et al. and Diehl, et al. Both the authors were able to find a relationship of the up-regulation of miR 146a. Lastly, miRNA 155 was also studied by two authors, namely, Fichtlscherer, et al. and Diehl, et al. Neither studies found conclusive results for the significance of this biomarker with CAD subjects when compared with non-CAD subjects (Table 3).

### Table 2: MicroRNA up-regulated studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Author; Year of Study</th>
<th>MicroRNA (miR or miRNA)</th>
<th>MicroRNA: Regulation</th>
<th>MicroRNA Analysis</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Strength of Association (Odds ratio, Relative Risk or Regression Analysis)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sayed, et al., 2015 [11]</td>
<td>miR149, miR 424 miR 765</td>
<td>Down Reg: miR 149</td>
<td>QRT-PCR</td>
<td>Serum/ Plasma</td>
<td>Non-CAD vs. CAD (Adjusted) miR149 95% CI (0.894-0.983) p=0.0001 miR 424 95% CI (0.863-0.975) p=0.0001 miR 765 95% CI (0.939-0.996) p=0.0001</td>
<td>Adjusted for age, gender, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, AST, ALT, creatinine, LVEF, DM, smoking, HTN and medications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Discussion**

MiRNAs have been known to have an association with the physiological and pathological processes involved in the development of CADs such as endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, apoptosis, angiogenesis,

Adjusted for subjects with similar age, gender, total cholesterol, total glyceride, HDL, LDL, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, AST, ALT, creatinine, cardiac troponin, CK-MB, LDH, LVEF, DM, smoking, HTN, and medications.

**TABLE 3: Down-Regulated microRNA studies**

|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------|

2. Sayed, et al., 2015 [12] miR149 miR 765 Down Reg: miR 149 QRT-PCR Serum/Plasma CAD vs Non CAD (Adjusted) miR 149 p=0.001

3. Zhu, et al., 2014 [16] miRNA 155 Down Reg: miR 155 QRT-PCR PBMC CAD vs Non-CAD miR 155 95% CI (9.06-10.97,5.42-8.29) p=0.001 miR 145 95% CI (9.48-11.30,7.07-9.75) p= 0.008 miR 150 p= 0.006 miR 155 p= 0.002 miR 222 p= 0.001 miR 342 p=0.001 miR 378 p= 0.001 miR 584 p= 0.036

4. Weber, et al., 2011 [20] miR 19a miR 29a miR 30e/5p miR 145 miR 155 miR 181d miR 222 miR 342 miR 378 miR 584 miR 378 Down Reg: CAD vs Non-CAD miR 19a p= 0.012 miR 29a p=0.012 miR 30e/5p miR 145 p= 0.008 miR 155 p= 0.006 miR 150 p= 0.002 miR 222 p= 0.001 miR 342 p=0.001 miR 378 p= 0.001 miR 584 p= 0.036

5. Fichtlscherer, et al., 2010 [22] miR 17 miR 92a miR 126 miR 133a miR 145 miR 155 miR 208a miR 133a Down Reg: CAD vs Non-CAD miR 17 p= 0.001 miR 92a 95% CI (9.06-10.97,5.42-8.29) p=0.001 miR 126 95% CI (9.48-11.30,7.07-9.75) p= 0.008 miR 133a 95% CI (9.86-12.02,6.21-9.03) p=0.001 miR 145 95% CI (3.87-5.57, 4.81-5.90) p= 0.16

6. Taurino, et al., 2010 [23] miR140/3p miR182 Down Reg: CAD vs Non-CAD miR140-3p control vs. CAD p=0.002 miR182 control vs. CAD p= 0.001 miR 92a/b Down Reg: CAD vs Non-CAD miR 92a/b control vs. CAD p=0.01

7. Hoesktra, et al., 2010 [24] miR 135 miR 147 miR 135 miR 147 Down Reg: CAD vs Non-CAD miR 135 p<0.01 miR 147 p=0.01

7. Hoesktra, et al., 2010 [24] miR 135 miR 147 miR 135 miR 147 Down Reg: CAD vs Non-CAD miR 135 p<0.01 miR 147 p=0.01

Univariate Analysis As whole blood samples were studied, thus miRNA profile likely reflects intracellular and extracellular miRNAs levels, in contrast to exclusively extracellular miRNAs that would be detected in plasma.

Univariate Analysis In patients with stable coronary artery disease, vascular-derived miRNAs were significantly down-regulated, whereas muscle derived miRNAs tended to be higher.
atherosclerosis, and neointimal hyperplasia or restenosis [7,29-31]. Our systematic review summarizes 18 articles comparing miRNAs in CAD patients. Ten studies found miRNAs that were up-regulated (21-3135b), five studies showed miRNAs that were both up-regulated (21-765) and down-regulated (17-222), and three studies showed down-regulated miRNAs (19a-584). miRNAs derived from plasma/serum, microparticles, whole blood, platelets, blood mononuclear intimal and endothelial progenitor cells were investigated. Circulating miRNAs (plasma or serum) exhibit remarkable stability and are highly resistant to plasma ribonuclease (RNase) activity due to internalization in macro vesicles and the formation of protein-miRNA complexes and can be used as a reliable marker for both the early diagnosis and prognosis of CAD. Therefore, the levels of individual cardiac-enriched circulating miRNAs are related to the diagnosis and prognosis of heart diseases. Recent studies have shown the involvement of miRNAs in atherosclerosis, ranging from endothelial dysfunction to plaque rupture suggesting the use of miRNA as potential biomarkers in early diagnosis of CAD [32]. miRNA upregulation or downregulation is either related to the atherosclerotic disease process or the inflammatory compensation [21].

Sayed, et al. in elderly and Zhu, et al in middle-aged documented that miRNAs (149, 424 and 155, respectively) were down-regulated in CAD patients, Zhu, et al. postulated that miRNA 155 was downregulated because of the feedback mechanism that controls the overactivation of immune cells and thus it is negatively correlated with coronary stenosis. Similarly, Fichtlscherer, et al. found miRNA 155 to be downregulated in patients with CAD. Fichtlscherer suggested that atherosclerotic lesions uptake circulating miRNAs, thereby decreasing their levels in circulation and causing downregulation. miRNA 155 is noteworthy as it was found to be downregulated in multiple studies which used different sources (serum plasma, whole blood, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PMBC). Previously, Sondermeijer, et al. have reported miRNA 624 and 340 to be down-regulated in the premature CAD patients [33].

Sayed, et al., Hoekstra, et al., and Ren, et al. showed an up-regulation of miRNA 765, 134-370 and 21-5905p, respectively, in the middle-aged population of unstable CAD patients as well. Ren, et al. stated that miRNA (21-5905p) that are involved in the pathogenesis of vulnerable plaque are mostly unregulated. In these patient populations, miRNA can be used as novel biomarkers in the diagnosis of disease. The severity of the disease can be potentially seen by aberrancy of miRNA in form of either up-regulation or down-regulation. Prior studies have shown that the levels of miRNA are linked with disease severity. The up-regulated miRNA leads to the evolution of plaque towards growth, instability, and rupture [35]. Fichtlscherer, et al. in a prospective case control trial studied miRNA derived from endothelial, cardiac, skeletal, and smooth muscle cells. Interestingly, in CAD patients endothelial and smooth muscle miRNAs were up-regulated and in cardiac and skeletal muscle cells miRNA 133a/208a/155 were down-regulated in the same patient population. Prior studies have also documented the differential role of miRNA in skeletal, smooth and cardiac muscle [34]. The reason for this asymmetric regulation is an uptake of miRNAs in cardiac muscles by atherosclerotic lesions in apoptotic bodies and endothelial cells are exposed to inflammatory cells that can increase miRNA due to cellular stressors (Fichtlscherer, et al). miRNA can be specifically monitored in certain disease processes and used as prognostic markers as seen in CAD patients with diabetes, miRNA 145 being reduced compared to those without DM (Fichtlscherer, et al). For instance, Sondermeijer, et al. showed that the platelet-derived miRNA can potentially fine-tune the expression of specific gene products that may be involved in governing platelet reactivity. Therefore, a dysfunctional miRNA-based regulatory system could lead to the development of serious platelet-related cardiovascular diseases (Sondermeijer, et al).

Studies by Zhou, et al. and Li, et al. in CAD patients reported an upregulation of plasma-derived miRNA (140-3p/182, 206/574-3p, 134-3135b respectively). Taurino, et al. showed downregulation of whole blood-derived miRNA 92-182 at the genetic level. Interestingly, Guo, et al. and Minami, et al. in statin therapy CAD patients documented a significant downregulation of miRNA 122/370 and 221/222 levels that were previously up-regulated. Endothelial progenitor cells play an important level and in the regulation of miRNA after treatment with lipid therapy on lipometabolism related miRNA (Minami, et al.). Similarly, Takahashi, et al. noted a decrease in the miRNA 146 a-b levels in CAD patients in post-statin and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker (ACEI/ARB) therapy as well. In a cross-sectional study by Weber, et al., miRNA 19a-584 was also reported to be significantly down-regulated in CAD patients post ACE/ARB therapy. The response of miRNA to medications may provide an insight into the prognostic value of miRNA. Pharmacological therapy has been known to have an effect through gene signaling pathway, which further influences miRNA levels [7]. Diehl, et al. examined microparticles derived miRNA 19-223 and documented an upregulation in acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients. Certain miRNA are shown to be involved in cardiac hypertrophy and angiogenesis and shown to be up-regulated in microparticles (Diehl, et al). Interestingly, Li, et al. observed upregulation of miRNA 21-210 in the intima of atherosclerosis obliterans (ASO) patients. miRNA has been shown to be pro-angiogenic through its effects at the genetic level (Li, et al).

Limitations

Firstly, criteria for CAD participant enrolment across the studies were not standardized. Secondly, the study cohorts were not age and sex matched. Thirdly, the population size of different trials was very small. Fourthly, none of the included studies prospectively analyzed a specific miRNA regulation derived from one source or from different sources. Even specific miRNA regulation can be varied when compared across different studies and sources of origin. The pre and post CAD miRNA levels were not mentioned by any of
the studies. Large randomized double-blinded studies are needed to eliminate population bias and increase the statistical power of each study. Prospective case-control trials with longer follow-up periods may play a role in deciphering the prognostic potential for the miRNA markers in CAD patients. Lastly, studies included did not mention specifically the number of patients on ACE/ARBs or statins.

Conclusions
miRNA expression profile is associated with several human cardiovascular diseases, suggesting their role as a novel class of biomarkers as well as potential treatment targets for cardiovascular diseases. This systematic review provides a potential insight on miRNA regulations in subjects with and without CAD and highlights the different parameters which could be the reason for aberrant miRNA expression. Further understanding of miRNA expression may help to delineate their role in improving both the diagnostic and therapeutic approaches to stratifying CAD burden in the general population.

Additional Information
Disclosures

Human subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve human participants or tissue.
Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue.

Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

10.1371/journal.pone.0025946


