Remote Musculoskeletal Assessment Framework: A Guide for Primary Care
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Abstract

Introduction
Remote consulting has exploded into primary care following the initial COVID-19 surge as a measure to reduce potential cross-infection (staff/patient or patient-patient). Musculoskeletal (MSK) conditions comprise up to 21% of the annual primary care caseload in England. Established techniques for MSK examination, however, rely on face-to-face attendance. Evidence-based guidance for remote MSK assessment is required to ensure the quality of care is maintained with the move from face-to-face to virtual consultations.

Method
A literature review of published evidence and current guidelines was conducted. The most appropriate remote consultation techniques and MSK examinations were identified and where there was no evidence, modified examination tests were developed from established face-to-face examination techniques. A concise, accessible framework for remote MSK assessment in primary care was then created and tested on a non-medically trained volunteer.

Results
Over 2252 papers and articles were identified by search headings, reducing to 28 sources that had relevant content. At the time of searching, there was no published evidence relating to MSK remote consultation in a primary care setting. However, evidence was found in the physiotherapy and rehabilitation literature for the efficacy and practicability of MSK teleconsultation.

MSK remote examination framework
From this literature and with the addition of modified established examinations, an MSK-assessment framework was constructed. This framework provides pre-consultation guidance and step-by-step remote examination instructions. Patient and clinician resources (including a patient information leaflet and photographic examples of examination) were created as supplementary material.

What did this add?
With 21% of primary care consultations relating to MSK conditions and limited means of performing face-to-face MSK examination due to COVID-19, there needs to be a recognised framework for assessing the MSK system remotely. To the best of our knowledge, this evidence does not exist for primary care remote MSK examination. This paper demonstrates evidence-based practical advice from non-primary care settings and modified MSK examinations to be used in a primary care MSK remote consultation.

Conclusion
Due to the framework away from face-to-face consultation, primary care clinicians have found themselves lacking an evidence base or practical guidance to support remote MSK assessment. This paper is a systematic literature review of MSK telemedicine from which practical advice and evidence-based MSK tests have been developed. Where there is no evidence, modified traditional tests are suggested to allow a complete framework for remote MSK examinations - using a system approach of ‘look, point, move’ followed by modified special tests, for use in a primary care setting as a ‘ready-to-use’ practical guide to remote MSK assessment, presented in a downloadable format.
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forward techniques use text messaging platforms to contact and monitor patients remotely.

A number of studies have taken place to establish the validity and reliability of MSK telerehabilitation with physiotherapy of the knee, elbow, shoulder, lower back and ankle [14-18]. The remote assessment of MSK disorders by physiotherapists was studied in a systematic review [19] by Mani et al. in 2017 and found to be technically feasible, with ‘good-to-excellent’ validity and reliability. Furthermore, Cottrell et al. [20], in 2016, performed a systematic review and meta-analysis, analysing the effectiveness of real-time telerehabilitation with MSK conditions when compared to standard face-to-face practice; this review demonstrated telerehabilitation to be effective in improving physical function, disability, and pain. With regard to clinical diagnostic accuracy, there are certain areas (e.g., range of movement) where telerehabilitation is superior to face-to-face consultation [21]. Patient satisfaction has also been shown to be high in telerehabilitation, with remote consultation being ‘well-received’ by participants and even preferred over conventional methods [22,23].

As in a face-to-face consultation, the instinct of the clinician should not be disregarded. It is not yet known how this key ‘sixth sense’ will evolve into the digital age of consultation. Taking a careful history is vital and awareness of MSK ‘red flag’ conditions is still essential. These include malignancy, acute neurological loss (particularly cauda equina syndrome), bone and joint infection, inflammatory arthritis, giant cell arteritis (GCA) and acute trauma. The presence of any ‘red flag’ symptoms requires consideration for further investigation, safety-netting, referral (routine or urgent), or emergency hospital admission [24] (Appendix 1.2). Remote assessment must not be seen as mutually exclusive from an additional face-to-face consultation in specific cases. Thus, teleconsultation could be used as a triaging or information-gathering tool to allow clinical decision making for further investigation or appointing – allowing the patient to be directed to the most appropriate clinician for a face-to-face examination.

Although there is an abundance of research in MSK telerehabilitation in the field of physiotherapy, to the best of our knowledge, there is a deficiency of MSK teleconsultation research and advice targeted at primary care providers. Given the time-constraint of 10- to 15-minute appointments, a succinct approach to MSK remote assessment is vital. Our aim was to produce a pragmatic, yet efficient method for patients to perform self-tests using specific teleconsultation instructions and example images to allow the ‘virtual examination’ of a patient’s MSK system remotely.

**Materials And Methods**

A structured search and review of the current literature in this field was performed in July 2020. Literature regarding telemedicine, MSK conditions and primary care, using both academic and non-academic search engines was identified. The search engines used were PUBMED, Google Scholar, YouTube and Google, in addition to primary care medical education resources. The search strategy used the medical subject headings (MeSH) listed in Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Online consultation’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Teleconsultation’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Zoom consultation’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Teams consultation’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Telemedicine’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Meta-analysis’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Systematic review’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Review’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1: Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)**

This produced 2232 matches which were screened as per Figure 1, initially through title and then abstract, before complete paper review for relevant publications. The remaining relevant 28 publications were used as the available evidence base at the time of the study.
A list of commonly used MSK examination tests was then devised and limited to tests applicable in a primary care setting. When there was evidence for a particular test remotely, it was included, but when not available, modifications of face-to-face techniques were made, using the experience of a practicing GP (GM) and orthopaedic consultant (JM). Careful command sentences were then constructed to achieve clear instructions for a patient remotely. Photographs were then taken of each test.

The modified examinations were presented in a uniform way using bullet point format alongside photographic demonstrations of normal tests and abnormal tests where appropriate. These adapted examinations were tested on a non-medically trained volunteer (TM) to check the efficacy of the suggested verbal and photographic instructions.

**Results**

Following the literature search and review, a lack of information regarding MSK remote examination was identified. This is displayed in Figure 2, which shows the relevant published literature for MSK telemedicine within different sectors and highlights the lack thereof in primary care.

**Evidence-based practical advice for MSK teleconsultation**

**Pre-Consultation**

In advance of the remote consultation, patients should be sent any relevant consent information as per all remote consultations [25]. Where possible, it is advised that patients are provided with information before the examination regarding the practicalities of their teleconsultation including appropriate devices (laptop or tablet rather than a desktop computer or mobile phone), lighting (directed towards the patient, not the camera), location (quiet and safe for examination) and clothing (allowing appropriate joint visualisation without exposure of intimate areas) [26]. This presents a potential opportunity to discuss the requirement of a chaperone for a certain examination. It has already been proven that factors including bandwidth limitations, low camera resolution and bad lighting, have all been associated with poor validity [15]. Other suggestions include providing pre-prepared photographs or videos to demonstrate MSK tests that may be used (Appendix 1.3).

A detailed explanation of these key ideas has been summarised in Table 2. It may be useful to provide this information to patients with a similar checklist upon booking an MSK teleconsultation [26]. Potentially, this may be instigated during a phone consultation - gathering information - highlighting the need for further investigation. Thus, the patient may be sent this guidance to optimise their next remote (video) assessment when a more specific examination would be performed (demonstrative materials are illustrated in the figures).
Before your appointment

Please read any information sent to you in readiness for your remote consultation. This may include details about consent, accessing your remote consultation, or how to use the video software. If your internet bandwidth is limited, you may consider asking others for priority use of the internet during your consultation or plan the consultation from an alternative internet connection, e.g., at friend or relative’s house. The use of a tablet or laptop rather than a desktop computer or mobile phone is recommended to allow ease of movement and optimal screen size. Ensure that the device to be used is fully charged. Please ensure that your correct telephone number is known to the healthcare provider. If the video connection is lost, a telephone call may be required to complete the consultation.

Where should you be for the consultation?

You will need to be located in a quiet room, with adequate space for movement tests. You should be positioned with an uncluttered background and good front lighting but ensure not to position the light source to shine directly at the camera. It may be helpful for a trusted volunteer to assist with camera positioning. The movement of the camera during the consultation should be avoided unless requested by the clinician.

Spine, Shoulder, Elbow, Wrist, Hand - position the camera at dining table height. Ensure there is space to stand 6 ft (180 cm) away. You may need to stand, sit, or lie on the floor with legs fully extended and to sit on a chair.

What to wear?

Shoulder, Elbow, Spine – clothing above the waist will need to be removed. Women should wear a vest/crop top or bra. Wrist and Hand – wear short sleeves and remove any wrist/hand jewellery. Hip, Knee, Ankle – wear shorts and feet should be barefoot.

What to expect on the day?

The teleconsultation will begin with a brief introduction, confirmation of identity, verification of privacy in your location and confirmation of consent for remote consultation. There will then be a discussion about your problem and any previous treatment or requested by the clinician.

What to expect on the day?

The teleconsultation will begin with a brief introduction, confirmation of identity, verification of privacy in your location and confirmation of consent for remote consultation. There will then be a discussion about your problem and any previous treatment or requested by the clinician.

Types of consultation (Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint</th>
<th>Camera Height</th>
<th>Space</th>
<th>Additional Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spine, Shoulder, Elbow, Wrist, Hand</td>
<td>Dining table height</td>
<td>6 ft (180 cm) away</td>
<td>Stand, sit, or lie on the floor with legs fully extended and sit on a chair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip, Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>Chair or coffee table height</td>
<td>6 ft (180 cm) away</td>
<td>Stand, sit, or lie on the floor with legs fully extended and sit on a chair.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient Teleconsultation Advice Sheet

Patient Environment and Attire

It is advisable that the patient is located in a quiet room of adequate size for a range of movements tests. The patient should be positioned with an uncluttered background and appropriate front lighting to avoid glare into the camera. A number of references also suggested the benefit of a trained volunteer being available to help with camera positioning in order to maximise consultation efficiency by positioning the device (ideally laptop or tablet) to view the required examination joint; this may be particularly relevant with elderly patients or those with a disability, e.g., visual impairment.

The requirement for appropriate attire to allow visual access for remote examination is vital [20]. Patients should be advised to be mindful of this when dressing for their consultation - outlined in Table 1.

Technical Set-Up

While online platforms may vary between practices (Appendix 1.3), it is strongly recommended that information detailing the function of the chosen software is sent to patients in advance. This should include information on how to access the teleconsultation. It is essential that this information is clear and coherent perhaps in the form of a pictorial diagram or a link to an explanatory video; the technical set-up can have a significant effect on the accuracy of teleconsultation [23,24].

The creation of a frequently asked question list is also recommended [25]. Where there is a personal call-back link for the patient’s teleconsultation, it is important that this link is sent to the patient’s device being used for the consultation, e.g., send the link via email, text or both. With elderly patients, third-party input may be helpful, so consider sending the link to a friend or relative (with the appropriate consent in place) if the patient does not have an appropriate internet connection. Similarly, a third party may also be beneficial where visualisation is needed from an awkward angle or for taking photographs - as has been found with dermatological telemedicine.

Share Screen Function

A share screen function is a tool that allows the meeting host to present a document or image so that others can view it. This could be useful in a primary care setting using pre-prepared photos or videos [26,27], e.g., to allow patients how to perform MSK tests which may otherwise be complicated to describe. Examination photos that may be helpful to demonstrate this for patients are discussed later under remote MSK examination.

Contingency Plan

Although technological developments are rapid, there is still a potential risk of technical failure. Therefore, having a plan to manage this is recommended, such as changing to an audio consultation via telephone [15]. At the start of the teleconsultation, this contingency plan should be agreed upon.

Patient Teleconsultation Advice Sheet

An information sheet for patients, summarising important information, which could be sent to patients in advance of their consultation, can be found in Table 1.

Advice for the Practitioner

As a practitioner, it is important to ensure that the video consultation still feels personal for the patient. Methods such as a ‘virtual handshake’ and making eye contact with the camera whilst introducing yourself help to strengthen the doctor-patient relationship. It is also important to recognise the potential for audio delays, so giving time for the patient to reply is vital. Pacing cues such as gestures can also be useful for this. A number of authors also recognise the need to summarise the consultation at the end; this gives the patient the opportunity to ask questions that can be difficult to ask during the consultation [24,25,26,27] (Appendix 1.4 and 1.5).

It is advisable that health care providers wear smart or professional attire. As with patients, plain background with adequate lighting is essential (Appendix 1.3). It is also recommended to sit about 2-3 feet (60 cm) away from the camera, placing yourself centrally to the screen. Gestures that are commonplace in a face-to-face consultation, for example, leaning in, can reduce the clarity on screen due to changes in focus. The clinician should try and maintain a constant 2 feet (60 cm) distance from the camera.

With the new implementation of face masks in face-to-face consultations, the remote assessment may allow practitioners to be more perceptive to any patient reactions which may be hidden by a mask. Thus, there is a possibility that teleconsultation may become the preferred option for assessment in the future if the...
requirement for face-coverings continues.

**MSK remote examination framework**

With the implementation of a framework, it is less likely the clinician will miss pathology during teleconsultation. Thus, we have adapted the traditional triad of ‘look, feel, move’ to ‘look, point, move’ for remote MSK examination (Figure 5). This can be distilled to LOOK at the affected area, ask the patient to POINT to the site of any pain and then observe how the patient MOVEs.

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 3: Look, Point, Move**

A dermatome map is included in Figure 4.

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 4: Dermatome Reference for Primary Care Musculoskeletal Medicine**

Instructions for specific joint examinations and example images can be found in Figures 5-17 and are intended as a clinician resource. Normal tests are shown in green, with abnormal tests superimposed in red where applicable.

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 5: Shoulder (Global) Clinician Resource**

1. Look for any asymmetry e.g. squaring of the shoulder joint, muscle wasting or crepitus/clunking (AC) bony prominence
2. Ask the patient to point to the site of any pain/discomfort, red = AC (usually 1 finger localised pain point) green = deltoid region (rotator cuff or glenohumeral pathology)
3. Move (see specific tests below)

**Shoulder (Glenohumeral) joint assessment**

1. Ask the patient to "sink your elbows into your body and flex your arms at right angles with your palms facing each other like a robot" – neutral position.
2. Ask the patient "keeping your elbows tucked in, show your palms to the camera by turning your arms out" – external shoulder rotation.
3. Finally ask, "show the back of your hands to the camera by bringing your arms across your body, keeping your elbows tucked into your side" – internal shoulder rotation.
4. Ablent or reduced rotation suggests glenohumeral arthritis or a frozen shoulder.

![Images](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Shoulder Neutral, External, Internal Rotation**

---

Modified Scuff Test - AC pathology

1. Ask the patient to "place the hand of your painful arm on the opposite shoulder."
2. Then "using your other hand, apply gentle pressure to the bent elbow" (in the direction of the blue arrow) to further abduct the shoulder, thus compressing the AC.
3. Increased pain in the AC (red) region suggests AC pathology. Most commonly this is due to injury (acute or chronic instability) or degeneration.

Modified Hawkins Test

1. Ask the patient "put up your arm as though holding a bus grab handle, so your forearm is vertical".
2. Then "keeping your elbow still, move your forearm down so your flat passes in front of your face until your forearm is horizontal" (as shown by the blue arrow).
3. Pain over the deltoit (green) region on the image during movement suggests damage to the supraspinatus tendon - the most common rotator cuff injury.

FIGURE 6: Shoulder (Specific) Clinician Resource

Elbow

1. Look for any asymmetry e.g. instability to fully straighten one arm.
2. Ask the patient to guide to the site of any pain/discomfort e.g. localised pain around the medial epicondyle (golfer's elbow) or lateral epicondyle (tennis elbow).
3. Move (see specific tests below)

Range of Movement Assessment

1. Ask the patient "put your hands behind your head then straighten your arms to make a T shape".
2. Inability to bend or straighten suggests elbow joint arthritis or post trauma stiffness.

FIGURE 7: Elbow Clinician Resource
**Wrist and Hand**
1. Look for any asymmetry e.g. reduced range of movement or bony prominence.
2. Ask the patient to point to the site of any pain/discomfort.
3. Move (see specific tests below).

**Wrist Dislocation Assessment**
1. Ask the patient to "form a ‘proper’ or ‘namaste’ sign, keeping your elbows as high as possible".
2. Move "radial deviation" (a "proper" or "namaste" sign with the back of your hand touching each other. Does this bring an any tingling or numbness?" = pain or tingling in the hand (ulnar, index or middle fingers) suggests occult dorsal synechiae.
3. Reduced range of wrist movement or other positive signs arthritis or joint intraosseous stiffness.

**Hand Movements and Grip Strength Assessment**
1. Ask the patient to "form a fist, grappling a pen with both hands and try to pull your hands away from each other". If one hand pulls away, this demonstrates asymmetry of grip strength. This test rules out grade finger and thumb weakness.
2. Reduced grip strength suggests joint pathology (NCOS or IP) or weakness of the long fingers of the forearm.
3. Next assess the ability to "pinch each finger with your thumb - do they have normal feeling?", and "Hold a key".
4. Reduced palpable lift reaction suggests finger joint damage (DIP). Number of hyperflexions suggests a neurological cause e.g. cervical nerve root impairment or peripheral nerve pathology such as carpal tunnel syndrome or ulnar nerve compression.

![Hand Movement and Grip Strength Assessments](image1)

**Figure 8: Wrist and Hand Clinician Resource**

**Cervical Spine**
1. Look (from side) and observe any abnormal curvature (e.g. an increased kyphosis).
2. Ask the patient to point to the site of any pain/discomfort.
3. Move (see specific tests below).

**Range of Movement Assessment**
1. Ask the patient "look up to the ceiling" - extension, "down to the floor" - flexion, "left and right over your shoulders" - cervical rotation.
2. Then "bending your head forwards, touch your ear to your shoulder and repeat to the other side" - cervical tilt.
3. Instead pain in the trapezius muscle is the most common cause of neck symptoms. Reduced range of neck movement with arm pain or paresthesia, however, suggests cervical pathology.

![Cervical Extension & Flexion](image2) ![Cervical Rotation](image3) ![Cervical Tilt](image4)

**Figure 9: Cervical Spine Clinician Resource**
HIP

1. Look for any asymmetry when the patient stands with hands on hips e.g. muscle wasting or pelvic lift (indicated by the hands not being level). Then, observe whether the pelvis slips during a single leg stance (modified Trendelenburg test) illustrated by hands on hips not being level.

2. Ask the patient to point to the site of any pain/discomfort.

- Red (finger point) = hip joint pathology
- Green (hand around the hip in a “C” shape) = hip joint pathology
- Yellow (trunk bent, bent, or “sided hip pain” = should alert the clinician to look for a hip or lower limb biomechanical abnormality.

3. Move (see specific tests below).

Hip Abduction/Adduction Assessment

1. Ask the patient to “stand on one leg facing the camera and lift the other leg out to the side, keeping your leg straight”. If needed the patient can use support (e.g. back of a chair) - hip abduction (normal = 45°)

2. “Now bring your leg down and without the foot touching the floor, cross it in front of your standing leg, as far as possible” – hip adduction (normal = 15°)

3. Reduced range of movement, stiffness or pain suggests hip joint degeneration or muscular damage.

FIGURE 10: Hip Clinician Resource (Abduction/Adduction Assessment)

Hip Flexion/Extension Assessment

1. Ask the patient “standing sideways to the camera lift one knee up in front of you as high as possible”. Observe the angle between the thigh and opposite leg – hip flexion (normal = 90°)

2. Then, “keeping the same knee bent, move your leg down and backwards and push your foot up and back”. Observe the angle between the thigh and other leg – hip extension (normal = 30°)

3. Reduced range of movement, stiffness or pain suggests hip joint degeneration or hamstring injury.

FIGURE 11: Hip Clinician Resource (Flexion/Extension and Rotation Assessment)

Hip Rotation Assessment

1. Ask the patient “standing facing the camera lift one knee up in front of you to form a right angle. Now move your leg out to the side as far as possible, like the ‘open the gate’ exercise, so your inner thigh faces the camera” – external hip rotation.

2. “Now keeping the knee at a right angle bring your bent leg back across the body in front of the standing leg, as far as possible” – internal hip rotation.

3. Minimal range of movement, stiffness or pain suggests hip joint degeneration.
**FIGURE 12: Knee Clinician Resource (Squat Test)**

1. **Squat Test**
   - Ask the patient to "face the camera and squat down until you feel any discomfort – point to where you feel pain?"
   - "Now standing side on to the camera, squat again until you feel any discomfort". This demonstrates maximum active knee flexion under body weight load.
   - Reduced flexion (<140°) or leaning to the opposite side (to-offload weight) identifies a painful or stiff knee.

**FIGURE 13: Knee Clinician Resource (PFJ Crepitus Assessment and Modified Thessaly Test)**

**Patella Femoral Joint (PFJ) Crepitus Assessment**
1. Ask the patient to "sit sideways to the camera and put your hand over the front of your knee. Now straighten your leg.”
2. "Do you feel any crunching or clicking (crepitus)? Is this associated with any pain?"
3. Crepitus over the front of the knee shown in orange suggests mechanical overload or PFJ degenerative change.
4. This test can also be used to assess range of flexion if the patient is unable to squat.

**Modified Thessaly Test for Medial Pathology**
1. Ask the patient "stand side on to the camera and hold on to the back of a chair which is placed in front of you so the camera can see your legs. Now, slightly bend your knees. 20° is ideal.
2. Then, "keeping your knees bent, stand on one leg and twist your body from side to side, turning to face over each shoulder in turn. Point to any pain felt.”
3. Pain during external rotation (lateral joint line) suggests a lateral meniscal tear.
   - Pain during internal rotation (medial joint line) suggests a medial meniscal tear.
Modified Assessment of the Medial Collateral Ligament (MCL)

1. Ask the patient to "stand or sit next to a table and push against the table leg with the instep (inner side of foot) of your painful leg".
2. "Does this cause any pain around your knee?"
3. Medial pain in the red triangular region is suggestive of an MCL injury when there has been a history of trauma.

**FIGURE 14: Knee Clinician Resource (Modified Assessment of MCL)**

MCL: medial collateral ligament.

---

Foot and Ankle

1. Look for any asymmetry, loss of the medial arch, swelling, bony deformities.
2. Ask the patient to "stand up and walk on your heels."
3. "Now walk on your tip-toes. Look for reduced range of movement, asymmetry or instability to complete these tasks.
4. "Now sit down and put your hand over your foot. Now squeeze the bases of your toes together."
5. Pain in the red zone on squeezing suggests instability of the metatarsophalangeal joints due to inflammation, degeneration or neurosis formation.

**FIGURE 15: Foot and Ankle Clinician Resource**

Modified Symonds-Thompson Test

This should be performed if a patient presents with a sudden pain in the back of their calf as if they have "been shot" or "hit by a ball" suggesting a possible Achilles tendon rupture.

1. Ask the patient to "kneel on a chair placed side on to the camera and squeeze your calf with your hand."
2. Observe foot movement during the squeeze.
3. An absence of foot movement during the calf squeeze is an abnormal and suggests a tendo-achilles rupture. An urgent fracture clinic referral should be made.

**FIGURE 15: Modified Symonds-Thompson Test**
Thoraco-Lumbar Spine and Sacro-Iliac Joint (SIJ)

1. Look at the entire spine from the back and the side to identify abnormalities e.g. pelvic or shoulder tilt, asymmetry or abnormal curvature of the spine.
2. Ask the patient to point to the site of any pain or discomfort.
3. Move (see specific tests below).

### Flexion / Extension

1. Ask the patient "facing away from the camera, running your hands down the front of your legs, bend forward as far as you can" – spinal flexion.
2. Observe for any abnormal curvature, or pain during movement or reduced range of movement. Pain on forward flexion may indicate disc pathology and possible nerve root impingement.
3. "Now lean back towards the camera" – spinal extension.
4. Observe for any reduced or painful movement. Pain on spinal extension is more consistent with mechanical lumbar causes e.g. poor core stability or degenerative facet joints.
5. This could be repeated facing sideways to observe natural curvatures and range of flexion/extension.

Corresponding images of tests for demonstration purposes (e.g., with a share screen function) to show the specific movements can be found in Figures 18–23.

---

Modified Slump Test

1. Ask the patient "sit on a chair that is sideways to the camera. Sit ‘with bad posture’ slumping forwards. Put your chin on your chest."
2. "Now straighten the leg on the painful side and pull up your toes towards your body. Keep the knee straight, lift your feet off the floor. Does this bring on your leg and/or back pain?" By creating a straight leg raise (SLR) the sciatic nerve is stretched.
3. Finally "keeping your leg out straight, step in the slumped forwards posture, lift up your chin off your chest. Does this ease the thigh/pit/pain?"
4. Pain in the lower limb is likely to be sciatic in nature if the lower limb pain is relieved when the chin is lifted up. This distinguishes from poor pelvic tilt and hamstring tightness.

Modified Quadrant Test

1. Ask the patient "stand sideways to the camera with your hands on your hips. Now slowly slide one hand down the back of your leg on the same side, twisting over your shoulder, until you reach the back of your knee. Hold this for 3 seconds". This shows side bending and rotation.
2. Pain in the lower back or dimples of Venus (this in green) suggests facet/joint or SIJ pathology whereas pain in the leg suggests nerve root irritation.
3. Reduced range of movement or pain during rotation in the red zone, suggests thoracic degeneration.

---

Corresponding images of tests for demonstration purposes (e.g., with a share screen function) to show the specific movements can be found in Figures 18–23.
FIGURE 18: Shoulder Patient Resource

FIGURE 19: Elbow, Wrist and Hand Patient Resource
FIGURE 20: Cervical Spine Patient Resource

FIGURE 21: Hip Patient Resource
FIGURE 22: Knee Patient Resource

FIGURE 23: Thoraco-Lumbar Spine and SIJ Patient Resource

Discussion
Summary
Strengths and limitations
In addition to a standard literature review, websites (e.g., Google and YouTube) and education websites were also targeted. There is strong evidence for the use of teleconsultation in MSK physiotherapy and secondary care practice. The gaps in this evidence have been filled by the experience of a practising GP and orthopaedic surgeon.

The primary limitation is the lack of validation for these remote MSK examinations performed in a primary care setting. Whilst assessments of the modified examinations were conducted to check the efficacy of the suggested verbal instructions, further research must be performed to compare teleconsultation with face-to-face MSK examinations to establish validity and diagnostic reliability.

Comparison with existing literature
Whilst there is a lack of published evidence regarding primary care MSK teleconsultation, there is evidence from physiotherapy and orthopaedic literature to support the efficacy of remote consultation in MSK conditions. This highlights the demand and benefits of MSK telemedicine in non-primary care settings. The current MSK telemedicine literature demonstrates its validity, reliability, diagnostic accuracy and patient satisfaction in other settings that are likely to transfer into primary care.

Implications for research and practice
Using this MSK examination framework, primary care practices should be able to conduct MSK video consultation more effectively and efficiently. This may be achieved by downloading the above figures where there is a clinician (Figures 2–14) and patient (Figures 15–21) information. Table 3 offers a pre-consultation information sheet ideal to send to patients prior to the e-consult, which should enhance the efficiency of a consultation. In the clinician resource (Figures 2–14), the images of specific examinations are partnered with clear suggested verbal instructions for clinician use. The corresponding patient resource (Figures 15–21) may be helpful to demonstrate examinations to patients, using a share screen function or by prior sending.

This framework could also be used as a reference resource for students when learning MSK examination in both remote and face-to-face environments.

Abnormal findings should prompt the clinician's standard management. This may involve an additional face-to-face examination, by the same clinician or another GP, to confirm the MSK examination, a physiotherapist, the local MSK triage service or a secondary care MSK specialist; the exact referral pathway will depend on the local healthcare system.

Conclusions
With 21% of primary care consultations relating to MSK conditions and limited means of performing face-to-face MSK examination due to COVID-19, there needs to be a recognised framework for assessing the MSK system remotely. To the best of our knowledge, this evidence does not exist for primary care remote MSK examination. This paper demonstrates evidence-based practical advice (from non-primary care settings) and a proposed modified MSK remote examination framework for use in a primary care setting.

Appendices
Additional information sources
1.1 NHS Advice on using video consultation systems. https://digital.nhs.uk/services/gp-it-futuresystems/approved-consultation-systems

1.2 Red Whale MSK Remote Consultation Webinar. https://www.gp-update.co.uk/webinars/201910302212_umi_media-embed-facebook_campaign-Peak%203%20Season%20Remote%20Assessment%2030%20October%202019

1.3 The Telemedicine Musculoskeletal Examination (video) - Mayo Clinic - YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U3AswzGgDS8&feature=share
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