

Cross-Cultural Adaptation and Validation of the Modern Standard Arabic Versions of the Berlin Questionnaire and Epworth Sleepiness Scale

Zainab Lahrichi ¹, Imane El Bassity ¹, Zineb Serhier ², Samir Diouny ³, Adil Khoubila ², El Mehdi Jouhadi ¹

Review began 03/25/2025

Review ended 04/08/2025

Published 04/16/2025

© Copyright 2025

Lahrichi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

DOI: 10.7759/cureus.82388

1. Department of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Hassan II University of Casablanca, Casablanca, MAR 2. Clinical Neurosciences and Mental Health Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Hassan II University of Casablanca, Casablanca, MAR 3. Clinical Neurosciences and Mental Health Laboratory, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Hassan II University of Casablanca, Casablanca, MAR

Corresponding author: Zainab Lahrichi, lahrichi.zainab@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

The Berlin Questionnaire (BQ) and Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) have been validated in various studies across diverse sociocultural contexts. Despite their significant impact, there is a lack of standardized assessment tools for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) in Arabic-speaking populations. This study aimed to adapt the BQ and the ESS to Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) for use in Moroccan clinical settings and assess their psychometric properties.

Methodology

The BQ and ESS were translated into MSA using a standardized process involving forward and backward translation. The psychometric properties of the Arabic versions of the BQ and ESS were assessed in 125 Moroccan patients recruited from the University Dental Clinic Ibn Rochd in Casablanca at two time points (T1 and T2). Reliability was assessed using Cohen's kappa index, and internal consistency was measured using Cronbach's alpha. Associations between variables were analyzed using Pearson's chi-square and Fisher's exact tests, with statistical significance set at p-values <0.05.

Results

The BQ identified 50.4% (63 out of 125) of participants as being at high risk for OSAS (95% confidence interval (CI) = 41.8-59.0), while 38.4% (48 out of 125) had a positive ESS score (95% CI = 30.4-47.2). Kappa indices for the BQ ranged from 0.98 to 1.00, while the intraclass correlation coefficient for the ESS was 0.99. Cronbach's alpha values for the three categories were 0.58, 0.67, and 0.74, respectively. Statistically significant associations were observed between the risk of OSAS and obesity (p = 0.002) and menopause (p = 0.023). Additionally, 61.9% of participants identified as high-risk for OSAS reported experiencing excessive daytime sleepiness (p < 0.001).

Conclusions

The MSA versions of the BQ and ESS demonstrated strong validity and reliability for assessing and diagnosing OSAS in Arabic-speaking populations. These scales represent valuable resources for enhancing clinical practice in settings where Arabic is the primary language.

Categories: Dentistry, Otolaryngology, Pulmonology

Keywords: berlin questionnaire, cross-cultural adaptation, epworth sleepiness scale, modern standard arabic, morocco, obstructive sleep apnea, validation

Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) is a prevalent sleep disorder that affects nearly one billion individuals worldwide [1]. The disorder is characterized by episodes of a complete (apnea) or partial collapse (hypopnea) of the upper airway, accompanied by a decrease in oxygen saturation or arousal from sleep [2]. OSAS is clinically diagnosed based on polysomnographic data, with the Apnea-Hypopnea Index (AHI) serving as a key indicator. For adults, an AHI of more than five events and fewer than 15 events per hour is considered mild OSAS. Moderate OSAS is defined as 15 to 30 events per hour, while severe OSAS is characterized by an AHI of more than 30 events per hour [2]. OSAS affects both physical and mental health [3] and contributes to a range of conditions, including cardiovascular, neurological, endocrine, metabolic, and ophthalmological disorders. Additionally, it causes fragmented sleep and diminished alertness [4]. These effects collectively impair productivity, safety, and overall quality of life.

Polysomnography and respiratory polygraphy are the gold-standard diagnostic tools for sleep apnea [5].

How to cite this article

Lahrichi Z, El Bassity I, Serhier Z, et al. (April 16, 2025) Cross-Cultural Adaptation and Validation of the Modern Standard Arabic Versions of the Berlin Questionnaire and Epworth Sleepiness Scale. *Cureus* 17(4): e82388. DOI 10.7759/cureus.82388

However, for screening, several measures have been developed, including the Berlin Questionnaire (BQ) (Appendix 1) [6,7] and the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) (Appendix 2) [8], both of which have been validated in several studies across diverse sociocultural settings.

Despite their widespread use, there is a significant lack of validated Arabic versions of these scales for Arabic-speaking adults. This study aimed to fill that gap. The main objectives of the present study were two-fold: (1) to adapt the BQ and the ESS to Modern Standard Arabic (MSA), and (2) to test the psychometric properties of these adapted versions. The results obtained will have implications for early detection and clinical intervention for individuals with OSAS.

Materials And Methods

To address the linguistic and cultural differences between English and Arabic, the BQ and ESS were adapted into MSA following Beaton et al.'s (2000) five-stage process [9]. The first step involved forward translation, where two bilingual translators independently translated the BQ and ESS into MSA. Next, an expert committee reviewed and compared both translations, synthesizing them into a single consensus version. In the back-translation phase, the MSA versions were translated back into English by two independent bilingual translators to ensure consistency with the original versions. The expert committee then carefully reviewed the original and back-translated versions to verify accuracy, cultural relevance, and conceptual equivalence. Finally, the pre-testing phase involved piloting the finalized MSA versions on six patients at the CCTD Ibn Rochd in Casablanca to assess their comprehension of all items and response options. Once the final Arabic versions of the BQ and ESS (Appendices 3 and 4) were produced, the clinical validation phase was initiated through an epidemiological survey conducted over one month, from the beginning to the end of March 2022, at the CCTD Ibn Rochd in Casablanca.

In our study, one interviewer was present to conduct the interviews. This interviewer received comprehensive training to ensure consistency and reliability in data collection. The survey instrument was an anonymous questionnaire consisting of 28 questions aimed at collecting data for the study. It was structured into the following four sections: the first section focused on patient characteristics, the second section screened patients at high and low risk of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) (BQ), the third section assessed excessive daytime sleepiness (ESS), and the fourth section identified risk factors for OSA.

The BQ consists of 10 questions, along with information on height and weight arranged in the following three categories: (1) snoring and cessation of breathing (five questions), high risk in Category 1 is defined as persistent symptoms in two or more snoring-related questions; (2) excessive daytime sleepiness (four questions), high risk in Category 2 is determined by persistent daytime sleepiness, drowsy driving, or both; and (3) body mass index (BMI) and hypertension (one question), high risk in Category 3 is defined as a history of hypertension or a BMI greater than 30 kg/m². A positive score in two or more categories indicates a high risk for OSA [6].

The ESS consists of eight items representing more or less soporific situations [10,11], namely, describing hypothetical situations such as sitting and reading, watching television, sitting inactive in a public space, as a passenger in a car for an hour without a break, lying down to rest in the afternoon when circumstances permit, sitting and talking to someone, sitting quietly after a lunch without alcohol, and in a car while stopped for a few minutes in traffic [8,12]. Scores range from 0 to 3 (0 = none; 1 = slight; 2 = moderate; 3 = high), resulting in a total score ranging from 0 to 24 points [8,12]. According to Johns [8], a higher score suggests a higher propensity to fall asleep. Conventionally, scores above or equal to 10 may indicate sleep disorders.

The study included 125 patients attending the CCTD Ibn Rochd in Casablanca who agreed to voluntarily answer the questionnaire. Participants had to be over 30 years of age and have attained at least a primary school education. Patients previously diagnosed with OSA, those with facial trauma, and those with bruxism were excluded from the study. Pregnant women, patients with occlusion disorders, and those working at night were also excluded. The study's objective was explained to the patients before they completed the questionnaire, following which they provided verbal consent. After completion, the BQ and ESS scores were calculated in the patient's presence. Patients completed the questionnaire once (T1) and a second time (T2), either an hour later for some or a week later for those with a follow-up appointment.

The psychometric properties of the final MSA versions of the BQ and ESS were evaluated based on reliability (internal consistency and test-retest reliability) and validity. Internal consistency was measured using Cronbach's alpha, with a threshold of 0.6 set to determine scale reliability. Test-retest reliability was assessed using the kappa index and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) to evaluate consistency over time, with all participants completing the questionnaires twice. Statistical analysis was conducted using a Student's t-test and chi-square test to explore the association between variables and verify construct validity. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were entered into Microsoft Excel version 2021 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) and analyzed using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

The study initially included 135 patients, resulting in a response rate of 92.6% (125 completed questionnaires returned). Demographic information of the participants is shown in Table 1. Of the participants, 57.6% were female, the mean age was 47.7 years (SD = 11.2), 39.2% had a university level of education, and 24% had a secondary school level of education. Additionally, 9.6% of patients were smokers, 4.8% consumed alcohol, 27.2% had nasal obstruction, and 35.6% of female participants had gone through menopause.

		Number	Percentage (%)
Gender	Female	72	57.6
	Male	53	42.4
Age, mean (SD) = 47.7 (11.2)	<45 years old	51	40.8
	≥45 years old	74	59.2
BMI, mean (SD) = 26.7 (4.6)	≤30	101	80.8
	>30	24	19.2
Level of education	Primary	30	24.0
	Lower secondary	16	12.8
	Upper secondary	30	24.0
	University	49	39.2
Alcohol		6	4.80
Smoking		12	9.6
Nasal obstruction		34	27.2
Menopause		26	36

TABLE 1: Sociodemographic data and frequency of risk factors of sleep apnea of the study sample.

BMI: body mass index

Table 2 highlights the number and proportion of participants at high risk of OSAS according to the BQ, as well as those experiencing excessive daytime sleepiness based on the ESS. According to the BQ, 50.4% of patients were identified as being at high risk of OSAS, with a 95% confidence interval (CI) of 41.8%-59.0%. Additionally, 38.4% of patients had a positive ESS, with a 95% CI of 30.4%-47.2%.

	Number (n = 125)	Percentage (%)	95% CI
OSAS according to the BQ*	63	50.4	41.8-59.0
Sleepiness according to the ESS**	48	38.4	30.4-47.2

TABLE 2: Frequency of patients at high risk of apnea according to the BQ and high risk of daytime sleepiness according to the ESS.

*: A positive score in two or more categories of the questionnaire indicates a high risk.

** : Scores above or equal to 10 indicate the presence of sleep disorders.

CI: confidence interval; OSAS: obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; BQ: Berlin Questionnaire; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale

Table 3 presents data about the reliability of the BQ and the ESS. The kappa index for the first category of the BQ was 0.98, indicating almost perfect agreement. For the second and third categories of the BQ, the kappa index was 1.00, indicating perfect agreement. For the ESS, the ICC was 0.99, indicating almost perfect agreement. The internal consistency of the questionnaire was assessed using Cronbach's alpha. The values obtained were 0.58 for the first category of the BQ, 0.67 for the second category, and 0.74 for the ESS, indicating acceptable internal reliability.

	Number of questions	Kappa index/ICC	Cronbach's alpha index
First category of BQ	5	0.988	0.58
Second category of BQ	4	1.00	0.67
Third category of BQ	1	1.00	-
ESS	-	0.99*	0.74

TABLE 3: Internal consistency (reliability) and reproducibility of BQ and ESS.

The first category of BQ is snoring and cessation of breathing. The second category of BQ is excessive daytime sleepiness. The third category of BQ is BMI and hypertension.

*: ICC.

BQ: Berlin Questionnaire; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale; ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient; BMI: body mass index

Discriminant validity was evaluated by analyzing associations between variables, as shown in Table 4. The association between the risk of apnea (positive BQ) and sociodemographic characteristics and risk factors for sleep apnea was statistically significant for obesity ($p = 0.002$) and menopause ($p = 0.023$). Obese people were at higher risk of OSAS (79% vs. 44%), and the frequency of OSAS was higher among menopausal women (69% vs. 41%). The associations between excessive daytime sleepiness according to the ESS and all sociodemographic characteristics and risk factors for sleep apnea were not statistically significant.

		Berlin positive			Epworth score ≥ 10		
		Number (%)	P-value	χ^2	Number (%)	P-value	χ^2
Gender	Female	37 (51.4)	0.797	0.067	29 (40.4)	0.615	0.254
	Male	26 (49.1)			19 (35.9)		
Obesity	Obese	19 (79.2)	0.002	9.833	13 (54.2)	0.077	3.122
	Not obese	44 (43.6)			35 (34.7)		
Smoking	Smokers	6 (50.0)	0.977	0.001	6 (50.0)	0.578	0.311
	Non-smokers	57 (50.5)			42 (37.2)		
Alcohol	Alcoholics	3 (50.0)	1	--*	45 (37.9)	0.675	--*
	Non-alcoholics	60 (50.5)			3 (50.0)		
Nasal obstruction	Yes	22 (64.8)	0.051	3.824	14 (41.2)	0.696	0.153
	No	41 (45.1)			34 (37.4)		
Menopause	Yes	18 (69.3)	0.023	5.186	12 (46.2)	0.445	0.585
	No	19 (41.3)			17(37.0)		

TABLE 4: Association between the risk of sleep apnea syndrome and excessive daytime sleepiness with sample characteristics and sleep apnea risk factors.

P-value: probability value; χ^2 : chi-square test; *: Fisher's exact test was used when some expected frequencies were less than 5.

Table 5 shows the associations between high risk of OSAS according to the BQ and the excessive daytime sleepiness according to ESS. The p-value was <0.001, indicating that this association is statistically significant. Among the patients at high risk of OSAS according to the BQ, 61.9% reported excessive daytime sleepiness according to the ESS. These results suggest that the questionnaire may be considered reasonably valid and reliable.

		Epworth Score ≥ 10		P-value	χ^2
		Number	Percentage		
Berlin score	Positive	39	61.9	<0.001	29.67
	Negative	9	14.5		

TABLE 5: Associations between high risk of OSAS according to the BQ and the excessive daytime sleepiness according to the ESS.

P-value: probability value; χ^2 : chi-square.

OSAS: obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; BQ: Berlin Questionnaire; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale

Discussion

Given the significant prevalence of OSAS and its well-documented impact on both physical and mental health, systematic screening plays a critical role in the early identification and management of this disorder [13]. Therefore, it is crucial to validate simple tools for early diagnosis. Our work on the translation and cross-cultural adaptation of the BQ and ESS is particularly significant for one main reason, i.e., the relevance of these tools in diagnosing and managing patients with suspected apnea/hypopnea. The choice of MSA as the target language for these translations was driven by the objective of extending the use of these tools across North Africa. This ensures broader comprehension in neighboring countries where the Moroccan dialect may not be widely understood.

The internal consistency of the BQ and the ESS was measured using Cronbach's alpha, a psychometric statistic that assesses the reliability of questionnaire items, with values closer to 1.0 indicating higher reliability. Generally, an alpha of 0.7 is considered acceptable [14]. Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.58 for the first category of the BQ, 0.67 for the second category, and 0.74 for the ESS, indicating acceptable internal consistency in the latter two categories in both instruments. Although the alpha value of 0.58 is slightly below the commonly accepted threshold of 0.7, it is relatively close and may still be considered acceptable.

These results are satisfactory but somewhat lower than those reported in validation studies by Sharma et al. and Netzer et al. [6,15], who reported Cronbach's alpha values of 0.92-0.96 and 0.86-0.92, respectively. Similarly, a Thai validation study of the BQ reported an acceptable Cronbach's alpha of 0.68 [16]. However, another Thai study on the ESS achieved a higher Cronbach's alpha of 0.87, and the Japanese version of the ESS demonstrated good reliability, with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.83 [17].

The reproducibility of the BQ, assessed through test-retest reliability for categories 1, 2, and 3, showed almost perfect agreement with kappa values of 0.98, 1.00, and 1.00, respectively. This indicates nearly perfect ICC. The Thai version also showed high reliability with a kappa value of 0.97 [16], consistent with the Korean validation [18], which reported an almost perfect kappa of 0.92.

In our sample, statistically significant associations were observed between risk of apnea (BQ) and obesity ($p = 0.002$), with 79.2% at high risk of OSAS, and risk of apnea (BQ) and menopause ($p = 0.023$), with 69.3% at high risk of OSAS. These findings align with existing research indicating that obesity and menopause are predisposing factors for sleep apnea [19]. Conversely, associations between the risk of apnea (BQ) and gender, smoking, alcohol use, and nasal obstruction were not statistically significant, with p-values of 0.797, 0.977, 0.100, and 0.051, respectively. In the present study, among patients with high risk of OSAS according to the BQ, 61.9% also reported excessive daytime sleepiness according to the ESS.

During this survey, we encountered several difficulties. Some patients refused to complete the questionnaire, while others were unwilling to wait to fill it out a second time. The intervals between assessments varied based on participant availability, with some participants reassessed an hour later and others a week after their initial appointment. This variability could potentially impact the test-retest reliability of our measurements. However, previous research comparing two-day and two-week intervals found no significant differences in reliability for health status instruments. Nonetheless, we acknowledge this variability as a limitation and recommend that future studies explore the impact of such time interval

differences on measurement reliability. Additionally, some participants returned incomplete questionnaires, leaving certain sections blank. Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize that the majority of respondents showed a genuine interest in the subject, particularly those experiencing symptoms of sleep apnea. However, overall, our study yielded a reliable and valid version of these questionnaires suitable for clinical use.

Conclusions

The BQ and ESS are valuable tools for assessing daytime sleepiness, screening for OSAS, and aiding dentists in managing sleep disorders, particularly sleep apnea syndrome. The Arabic translation of these tools has demonstrated sufficient internal consistency and reproducibility in Moroccan patients, making them reliable resources for screening and prevalence studies of OSAS risk among literate Arabic-speaking populations.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Berlin Questionnaire

Height ____ m Weight ____ kg Age ____ Male/Female

Please choose the correct response to each question.

Category 1

1. Do you snore?

- a. Yes
- b. No
- c. Don't know

If you snore:

2. Your snoring is:

- a. Slightly louder than breathing
- b. As loud as talking
- c. Louder than talking
- d. Very loud-can be heard in adjacent rooms

3. How often do you snore?

- a. Nearly every day
- b. 3-4 times a week
- c. 1-2 times a week
- d. 1-2 times a month

e. Never or nearly never

4. Has your snoring ever bothered other people?

- a. Yes
- b. No
- c. Don't know

5. Has anyone noticed that you quit breathing during your sleep?

- a. Nearly every day

- b. 3-4 times a week
- c. 1-2 times a week
- d. 1-2 times a month
- e. Never or nearly never

Category 2

6. How often do you feel tired or fatigued after your sleep?

- a. Nearly every day
- b. 3-4 times a week
- c. 1-2 times a week
- d. 1-2 times a month
- e. Never or nearly never

7. During your waking time, do you feel tired, fatigued, or not up to par?

- a. Nearly every day
- b. 3-4 times a week
- c. 1-2 times a week
- d. 1-2 times a month
- e. Never or nearly never

8. Have you ever nodded off or fallen asleep while driving a vehicle?

- a. Yes
- b. No

If yes:

9. How often does this occur?

- a. Nearly every day
- b. 3-4 times a week
- c. 1-2 times a week
- d. 1-2 times a month
- e. Never or nearly never

Category 3

10. Do you have high blood pressure?

- a. Yes
- b. No
- c. Don't know

Appendix 2: The Epworth Sleepiness Scale

Name:

Today's date:

Your age (years):

Your sex (male = M; female = F):

How likely are you to doze off or fall asleep in the following situations, in contrast to feeling just tired? This refers to your usual way of life in recent times. Even if you have not done some of these things recently, try to work out how they would have affected you.

Use the following scale to choose the most appropriate number for each situation:

0: Would never doze

1: slight chance of dozing

2: moderate chance of dozing

3: high chance of dozing

Situation	Chance of dozing
-----------	------------------

Sitting and reading
---------------------	-------

Watching TV
-------------	-------

Sitting, inactive in a public place (e.g., a theater or a meeting)
---	-------

As a passenger in a car for an hour without a break
--	-------

Lying down to rest in the afternoon when circumstances permit
--	-------

Sitting and talking to someone
--------------------------------	-------

Sitting quietly after a lunch without alcohol
--	-------

In a car, while stopped for a few minutes in the traffic
---	-------

Thank you for your cooperation.

Appendix 3: Arabic version of the Berlin Questionnaire

استطلاع "برلين"

الطول
الوزن
العمر
نكر\ أنثى
متر
كيلوغرام

من فضلك اختر - اختاري الجواب الصحيح لكل سؤال :

صنف 1:

1. هل تشخر - تشخرين ؟
أ. نعم
ب. لا
ت. لا أعرف
إذا كنت تشخر - تشخرين،

2. صوت شخيرك
أ. أعلى قليلا من صوت التنفس
ب. مثل صوت الكلام
ت. أعلى من صوت الكلام
ث. عال جدا- يُسمع في الغرف المجاورة

3. كم مرة تشخر - تشخرين؟
أ. تقريبا كل يوم
ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع
ت. 1-2 كل أسبوع
ث. 1-2 كل شهر
ج. أبدا أو نادرا

4. هل سبق وأزعج شخيرك الناس ؟
أ. نعم
ب. لا
ت. لا أعرف

5. هل لاحظ شخص ما أنك توقفت عن التنفس أثناء نومك؟
أ. تقريبا كل يوم
ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع

FIGURE 1: The first part of the Arabic version of the Berlin Questionnaire.

- ت. 1-2 مرة كل أسبوع
ث. 1-2 مرة كل شهر
ج. أبدا أو نادرا

صنف 2

6. كم مرة تشعر - تشعرين بالتعب أو بالعياء بعد الإستيقاظ من النوم؟
أ. تقريبا كل يوم
ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع
ت. 1-2 مرة كل أسبوع
ث. 1-2 مرة كل شهر
ج. أبدا أو نادرا

7. حينما تستيقظ - تستيقظين، هل تشعر - تشعرين بالتعب، بالعياء، أو لست على ما يرام؟
أ. تقريبا كل يوم
ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع
ت. 1-2 مرة كل أسبوع
ث. 1-2 مرة كل شهر
ج. أبدا أو نادرا

8. هل سبق لك أن غفوت أو نمت عند قيادتك للسيارة؟
أ. نعم
ت. لا

- إذا كان جوابك "نعم":
9. كم مرة تقع هذه الحالة؟
أ. تقريبا كل يوم
ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع
ت. 1-2 مرة كل أسبوع
ث. 1-2 مرة كل شهر
ج. أبدا أو نادرا

صنف 3:

10. هل عندك ضغط دم عال؟
أ. نعم
ب. لا
ت. لا أعرف

FIGURE 2: The second part of the Arabic version of the Berlin questionnaire.

Appendix 4: The Arabic version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale

مقياس نعاس "إيبورث"

الإسم:

تاريخ اليوم:

العمر (سنة):

الجنس : ذكر أنثى

ما هي احتمالات غفوتك أو نومك في الحالات التالية، مقارنة بالشعور بالتعب فقط؟ أجوبتك ينبغي أن ترتبط بنظام حياتك الحالي. حتى إذا لم تقع هذه الأشياء مؤخرا، حاول أن تفكر في تأثيراتها إذا وقعت.

استخدم - استخدم المقياس التالي لكي تختار-تختاري الرقم أكثر مناسب لكل حالة:

- 0 : ليس هناك احتمال لتغفو
- 1 : احتمال صغير لتغفو
- 2 : احتمال متوسط لتغفو
- 3 : احتمال عال لتغفو

إحتمال الغفو الحالة

الجلوس والقراءة

مشاهدة التلفاز

الجلوس دون حركة في مكان عمومي (مثل مسرح أو إجتماع)

كراكب مرافق (راكبة مرافقة) في سيارة لمدة ساعة بدون استراحة

الإستلقاء للاستراحة بعد الظهر عندما تسمح الظروف بذلك

الجلوس والتحدث مع شخص ما

الجلوس بهدوء بعد غداء بدون كحول

في السيارة اثناء توقفها لبضع دقائق وسط ازدحام السير

شكرا على مشاركتك

FIGURE 3: The Arabic version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale.

Appendix 5: Survey questionnaire

تم إنجاز هذا الإستطلاع لتقييم خطر وجود متلازمة توقف التنفس أثناء النوم و كذلك قياس فرصة الإغفاء أثناء النهار عند المرضى فيمركز الفحص وعلاج الأسنان بالهدار البيضاء. وذلك بواسطة استطلاع برلين المكون من 3 أصناف ومن مقياس إبورث المكون من 9 أسئلة وكذلك أسئلة أخرى متعلقة بوجود عوامل الخطر المسؤولة عن هذه المتلازمة.

إجاباتكم على أسئلة هذا الاستطلاع ستساعدنا على إتمام هذا البحث في أحسن الظروف. نحيطكم علما أنكم لستم مطالبين بإدراج أسمائكم وهذه الاستمارة لا تحتوي على المعلومات التي قد تثبت هويتكم، الرجاء الإجابة على جميع الأسئلة.

1-استطلاع "برلين"

الجنس (ذكر/ أنثى)	العمر	الوزن(كيلوغرام)	الطول (متر)

صنف 1:

1. هل تشخر؟

- أ. نعم
- ب. لا
- ت. لا أعرف

إذا كنت تشخر - تشخرين،

2. صوت شخيرك

- أ. أعلى قليلا من صوت التنفس
- ب. مثل صوت الكلام

FIGURE 4: The survey questionnaire (page 1).

- ت. أعلى من صوت الكلام
- ث. عال جدا - يُسمع في الغرف المجاورة

3. كم مرة تشخر - تشخرين؟

- أ. تقريبا كل يوم
- ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع
- ت. 1-2 كل أسبوع
- ث. 1-2 كل شهر
- ج. أبدا أو نادرا

4. هل سبق و از عج شخيرك النفس؟

- أ. نعم
- ب. لا
- ت. لا أعرف

5. هل لاحظ شخص ما أنك توقفت عن التنفس أثناء نومك؟

- أ. تقريبا كل يوم
- ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع
- ت. 1-2 مرة كل أسبوع
- ث. 1-2 مرة كل شهر
- ج. أبدا أو نادرا

FIGURE 5: The survey questionnaire (page 2).

صنف 2

6. كم مرة تشعر بالتعب أو بالعياء بعد الإستيقاظ من النوم؟

- أ. تقريبا كل يوم
- ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع
- ت. 1-2 مرة كل أسبوع
- ث. 1-2 مرة كل شهر
- ج. أبدا أو نادرا

7. حينما تستيقظ هل تشعر بالتعب، بالعياء، أو لمست على ما يرام؟

- أ. تقريبا كل يوم
- ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع
- ت. 1-2 مرة كل أسبوع
- ث. 1-2 مرة كل شهر
- ج. أبدا أو نادرا

8. هل سبق لك أن غفوت أو نمت عند قيادتك للسيارة؟

- أ. نعم
- ت. لا
- إذا كان جوابك "نعم":

9. كم مرة تقع هذه الحالة؟

- أ. تقريبا كل يوم
- ب. 3-4 مرات كل أسبوع

FIGURE 6: The survey questionnaire (page 3).

- ت. 2-1 مرة كل أسبوع
 ث. 2-1 مرة كل شهر
 ج. أبدا أو نادرا

صنف 3:

10. هل عندك ضغط دم عال؟

- أ. نعم
 ب. لا
 ت. لا اعرف

2-مقياس إيبرث

مقياس إيبرث				
ما هي احتمالات الغفوف في الحالات التالية				
الوضعية	عدد مرات الحدوث			
	أبدا	نادرا	غائبا	
خلال الجلوس والقراءة	0	1	2	3
خلال مشاهدة التلفاز	0	1	2	3
الجلوس دون حركة في مكان عمومي (مثل مسرح أو اجتماع)	0	1	2	3
كراكب مرافق (راكبة مرافقة) في سيارة لمدة ساعة بدون استراحة	0	1	2	3
الإستلقاء للاستراحة بعد الظهر عندما تسمح الظروف بذلك	0	1	2	3
الجلوس والتحدث مع شخص ما	0	1	2	3
الجلوس بهدوء بعد غداء بدون كحول	0	1	2	3
في السيارة أثناء توقفها لوضع دقائق وسط إزدحام السير	0	1	2	3
المجموع				

FIGURE 7: The survey questionnaire (page 4).

3- أسئلة أخرى متعلقة بوجود عوامل الخطر المسؤولة عن هذه المتلازمة .

أ- هل تشرب الكحول؟

نعم لا

ب-هل تدخن؟

نعم لا

ت-هل تعاني من احتقان الأنف؟

نعم لا

ث- هل وصلت إلى سن اليأس؟

نعم لا

ج-ما هو عرفك؟

د-المستوى الدراسي؟

FIGURE 8: The survey questionnaire (page 5).

Additional Information

Author Contributions

All authors have reviewed the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Concept and design: Zainab Lahrichi, El Mehdi Joughadi

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Zainab Lahrichi, Imane El Bassity, Zineb Serhier, Adil Khoubila, El Mehdi Joughadi, Samir Diouny

Drafting of the manuscript: Zainab Lahrichi, Imane El Bassity, Samir Diouny

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Zainab Lahrichi, Zineb Serhier, Adil Khoubila, El Mehdi Joughadi

Supervision: Zineb Serhier, El Mehdi Joughadi

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent for treatment and open access publication was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Pedagogic Committee and the Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Hassan II University of Casablanca issued approval NA. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Benjafield AV, Ayas NT, Eastwood PR, et al.: Estimation of the global prevalence and burden of obstructive sleep apnoea: a literature-based analysis. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2019, 7:687-98. [10.1016/S2213-2600\(19\)30198-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30198-5)
2. Sankri-Tarbichi AG: Obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome: etiology and diagnosis. *Avicenna J Med.* 2012, 2:3-8. [10.4103/2231-0770.94803](https://doi.org/10.4103/2231-0770.94803)
3. Dauvilliers Y: *Les Troubles du Sommeil.* Elsevier Masson, Paris; 2019.
4. Leroux les Jardins G, Glacet-Bernard A, Lasry S, Housset B, Coscas G, Soubrane G: [Retinal vein occlusion and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome]. *J Fr Ophtalmol.* 2009, 32:420-4. [10.1016/j.jfo.2009.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfo.2009.04.012)
5. Thakkar K, Yao M: Diagnostic studies in obstructive sleep apnea. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am.* 2007, 40:785-805. [10.1016/j.otc.2007.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otc.2007.04.005)
6. Netzer NC, Stoohs RA, Netzer CM, Clark K, Strohl KP: Using the Berlin Questionnaire to identify patients at risk for the sleep apnea syndrome. *Ann Intern Med.* 1999, 131:485-91. [10.7326/0003-4819-131-7-199910050-00002](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-131-7-199910050-00002)
7. Chung F, Yegneswaran B, Liao P, et al.: Validation of the Berlin questionnaire and American Society of Anesthesiologists checklist as screening tools for obstructive sleep apnea in surgical patients. *Anesthesiology.* 2008, 108:822-30. [10.1097/ALN.0b013e31816d91b5](https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e31816d91b5)
8. Johns MW: A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth sleepiness scale. *Sleep.* 1991, 14:540-5. [10.1093/sleep/14.6.540](https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/14.6.540)
9. Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F, Ferraz MB: Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2000, 25:3186-91. [10.1097/00007632-200012150-00014](https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-200012150-00014)
10. Johns M: Rethinking the assessment of sleepiness. *Sleep Med Rev.* 1998, 2:3-15. [10.1016/s1087-0792\(98\)90050-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1087-0792(98)90050-8)
11. Lapin BR, Bena JF, Walia HK, Moul DE: The Epworth Sleepiness Scale: validation of one-dimensional factor structure in a large clinical sample. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2018, 14:1293-301. [10.5664/jcsm.7258](https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.7258)
12. Kryger MH, Roth T, Dement WC: *Evaluating sleepiness. Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicine.* Elsevier Health Sciences, New York, NY; 2017. 1651-8. [10.1016/C2012-0-03543-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/C2012-0-03543-0)
13. Kapur VK, Auckley DH, Chowdhuri S, Kuhlmann DC, Mehra R, Ramar K, Harrod CG: Clinical practice guideline for diagnostic testing for adult obstructive sleep apnea: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2017, 13:479-504. [10.5664/jcsm.6506](https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.6506)
14. George D, Mallery P: *SPSS for Windows Step-by-Step: A Simple Guide and Reference.* Allyn & Bacon, Boston, MA; 2003.
15. Sharma SK, Vasudev C, Sinha S, Banga A, Pandey RM, Handa KK: Validation of the modified Berlin questionnaire to identify patients at risk for the obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Indian J Med Res.* 2006, 124:281-90.
16. Suksakorn S, Rattanaumpawan P, Banhiran W, Cherakul N, Chotinaiwattarakul W: Reliability and validity of a Thai version of the Berlin Questionnaire in patients with sleep disordered breathing. *J Med Assoc Thai.* 2014, 97 Suppl 3:S46-56.
17. Banhiran W, Assanasen P, Nopmaneejumruslers C, Methetrairut C: Epworth Sleepiness Scale in obstructive sleep disordered breathing: the reliability and validity of the Thai version. *Sleep Breath.* 2011, 15:571-7. [10.1007/s11325-010-0405-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-010-0405-9)
18. Kang K, Park KS, Kim JE, Kim SW, Kim YT, Kim JS, Lee HW: Usefulness of the Berlin Questionnaire to identify patients at high risk for obstructive sleep apnea: a population-based door-to-door study. *Sleep Breath.* 2013, 17:803-10. [10.1007/s11325-012-0767-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-012-0767-2)
19. Young T, Skatrud J, Peppard PE: Risk factors for obstructive sleep apnea in adults. *JAMA.* 2004, 291:2013-6. [10.1001/jama.291.16.2013](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.16.2013)