

The Impact of Midline Deviation on Smile Attractiveness: A Cross-Sectional Study Among Laypersons

Review began 02/13/2025
Review ended 02/21/2025
Published 02/22/2025

© Copyright 2025

Hodali et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

DOI: 10.7759/cureus.79479

Lana Hodali¹, Nabil Massad¹

¹. Faculty of Dentistry, An-Najah National University, Nablus, PSE

Corresponding author: Lana Hodali, l.hodali@najah.edu

Abstract

Objective

This study aimed to assess laypersons' perceptions of smile aesthetics in relation to upper dental midline deviations and to determine whether adjacent facial structures influence their judgments.

Methodology

A cross-sectional study was conducted using two sets of photographs depicting midline deviations. The first set included six images showing only the lips, while the second set featured six images displaying the lips, chin, and two-thirds of the nose. Both sets presented deviations at increments of 0 mm (no shift), 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 mm relative to the facial midline. The photographs were randomly labeled (A-F) and presented in a nonsequential order to prevent bias. Laypersons rated the attractiveness of each smile on a scale of 1 (very unattractive) to 10 (very attractive). Data were tabulated and analyzed using SPSS software version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

Results

Responses from 242 laypersons (127 females and 115 males) revealed that midline deviations of up to 3 mm were considered aesthetically acceptable across both photo sets. Friedman's test indicated statistically significant differences in ratings for each midline shift in both groups ($P < 0.001$). However, no significant differences were observed between ratings for smiles showing only the lips and those including the lips, chin, and nose. Additionally, gender and age did not significantly influence perceptions of smile attractiveness.

Conclusions

Laypersons perceive midline deviations of up to 3 mm as attractive, regardless of whether adjacent facial structures are included in the images. These findings suggest that the presence of additional facial features does not significantly alter aesthetic judgments of midline deviations.

Categories: Dentistry

Keywords: aesthetics, deviation, laypersons, midline, smile

Introduction

A smile plays a crucial role in personal attractiveness and significantly influences social interactions [1]. Beyond the shape, color, and alignment of teeth, smile aesthetics are also determined by the spatial dynamics of the smile. For instance, the buccal corridor can greatly affect the perceived fullness and harmony of the smile. Similarly, a gummy smile, characterized by an excessive display of gingiva, may disrupt the balance between teeth and soft tissue, potentially detracting from overall facial aesthetics [2, 3].

The dental midline, a key component of smile aesthetics, is typically defined by the alignment of the gingival papilla tip between the maxillary central incisors with the center of the philtrum on the upper lip. Deviations between the upper dental midline and the facial midline measured in millimeters from a perpendicular line at the glabella across the interpupillary distance can significantly affect dentofacial aesthetics [4, 5]. Research has explored the impact of dental-to-facial midline discrepancies on perceived attractiveness. Studies by Johnston et al. [6] and Beyer and Lindauer [4] found that discrepancies exceeding 2 mm were considered aesthetically unacceptable. In contrast, Shyagali et al. [7] reported that while midline deviations of up to 4 mm might go unnoticed, changes in the angulation of anterior teeth were perceived as unattractive.

Laypersons' aesthetic expectations are critical for achieving successful outcomes in orthodontic, restorative, and prosthetic dentistry. However, perceptions of an ideal smile, particularly regarding midline alignment,

How to cite this article

Hodali L, Massad N (February 22, 2025) The Impact of Midline Deviation on Smile Attractiveness: A Cross-Sectional Study Among Laypersons. Cureus 17(2): e79479. DOI 10.7759/cureus.79479

vary significantly among orthodontists, prosthodontists, and laypersons [7,8]. This variability poses challenges for practitioners striving to meet patient expectations, especially when aesthetics is a primary concern. Consequently, understanding the acceptable thresholds for midline deviation among laypersons is essential for delivering satisfactory aesthetic results.

Numerous studies have explored the threshold at which midline deviations become noticeable and impact smile aesthetics. However, findings reveal considerable variability in tolerance levels across different population groups, reflecting the influence of cultural, social, and individual factors on aesthetic preferences [7,9–11].

This variability highlights the need for further research, particularly in diverse cultural contexts. To date, no studies have examined these perceptions within the Palestinian population. This study aims to assess how midline deviations influence the aesthetic perceptions of smiles among Palestinian laypersons in the city of Nablus, as well as the role of adjacent anatomical structures in their aesthetic judgments.

Materials And Methods

This study aims to assess the influence of upper dental midline deviation on smile attractiveness as perceived by laypersons. Specifically, the objectives are to evaluate how different degrees of midline deviation (0–5 mm) affect aesthetic perception, determine whether the presence of additional facial features (chin and nose) influences attractiveness ratings compared to lip-only images, and analyze potential differences in perception based on demographic factors such as gender and age.

The study focused on laypersons in a specific area of Nablus, Palestine, which has an estimated population of 174,000 [12]. The required sample size was calculated using a 95% confidence level, a 5% margin of error, and an assumption of maximum variability ($P = 0.5$). Based on these parameters, the calculation determined that a minimum of 385 participants was necessary to ensure statistical representativeness. To enhance clarity and accessibility, the questionnaire was translated into Arabic. It included an introductory section detailing the study's background, objectives, voluntary nature of participation, and assurances of confidentiality and anonymity.

A pilot study involving 20 participants from the target population was conducted to evaluate the questionnaire's clarity, cultural relevance, and comprehensiveness. Feedback from the pilot study was used to refine the questions, ensuring they were easily understood and aligned with the study's objectives.

Inclusion criteria required participants to be laypersons with no formal dental training or prior orthodontic treatment, ensuring that their responses reflected pure aesthetic perceptions uninfluenced by professional knowledge or personal treatment history. Participants who did not complete the questionnaire were excluded, as incomplete responses could compromise data integrity.

Data collection was conducted through face-to-face interviews or via an electronic questionnaire accessed through QR codes or invitations. The questionnaire was divided into two sections. The first section collected demographic information, including the participant's gender and age. The second section asked participants to rate the attractiveness of smiles on a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 represented a very unattractive smile and 10 represented a very attractive one.

The study utilized twelve photographs depicting varying degrees of upper dental midline deviation. The first six images showed the lips, chin, and part of the nose (Figure 1), while the remaining six displayed only the lips (Figure 2). Both sets (A and B) included deviations at increments of 0 mm (no shift), 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 mm relative to the facial midline. To prevent bias, the photographs were labeled randomly (A to F) and presented in a non-sequential order. The images were sourced from a previous study [13], with permission obtained from the corresponding journal's editorial office for their reprinting. Ethical approval for the study was granted by the Institutional Review Committee (IRB) at An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.

Data were organized in a spreadsheet and analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics V. 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). SPSS calculated percentages of individual variables, and data normality was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. We applied Friedman's test, followed by the Wilcoxon test for multiple pairwise comparisons, to assess the effect of midline deviation on the perception of smile aesthetics. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare unpaired variables. Pearson's correlation coefficient assessed the association between ratings from photographs showing the entire face and those showing only the lips.



FIGURE 1: Randomly arranged photographs showing smiles, including the lips, chin, and two-thirds of the nose.

Each of the photographs had a different midline deviation. Reprinted from Ferreira et al. [13], with permission from DentalPress Publishing.



FIGURE 2: Randomly arranged photographs showing smiles, including the lips only.

Each of the photographs had a different midline deviation. Reprinted from Ferreira et al [13], with permission from DentalPress Publishing.

Results

The study analyzed responses from 242 laypersons, comprising 52% females and 48% males, with participants distributed across various age groups, as outlined in Table 1. The analysis showed no statistically significant differences in smile ratings between genders, as indicated by a Mann-Whitney U test result with a P -value of 0.776. Mean ratings for smiles with or without midline deviations were comparable between male and female participants across all categories, with minor variations that lacked statistical significance. These results suggest that gender does not influence the perception of smile aesthetics, reinforcing that midline deviations up to 3 mm are generally perceived as acceptable by all participants, irrespective of gender. Similarly, the analysis revealed no statistically significant differences in smile ratings across age groups, with P -values exceeding 0.05 for all pairwise comparisons. Mean ratings for midline deviations up to 3 mm were consistent among all age groups, indicating a shared perception of aesthetic acceptability. Despite minor variations, these differences were not statistically meaningful, suggesting that age does not influence the evaluation of smile aesthetics.

Table with 7 columns: Gender, Age Group, and counts/percentages for each age group (20-25, 26-31, 32-37, 38-43, 44-49 years).

TABLE 1: The gender distribution of participants across various age groups.

Results indicated that smiles with no midline deviation or slight deviations of 1-3 mm were generally rated attractive. Specifically, 28.95% of participants rated a smile with no deviation highly (score of 8). As the midline deviation increased, ratings for attractiveness declined, particularly for 4 and 5 mm deviations, which received more mixed or lower scores. Statistical analysis further supported these findings. Friedman's test revealed significant differences in attractiveness ratings across deviations (P < 0.001), and the Wilcoxon test confirmed that deviations up to 3 mm were rated significantly higher than larger deviations (Table 2).

Table with 14 columns: Scores, Smile including the face (%), and Smile including only the lips (%). Rows show scores from 1 to 10 for various deviation levels (A, B, C, D, E, F).

TABLE 2: Percentage score given by laypersons.

The Mann-Whitney test showed no statistically significant difference in median attractiveness ratings between the two photograph types (P > 0.05), suggesting that the inclusion of adjacent facial features did not influence layperson perceptions of smile aesthetics (Table 3).

Table with 5 columns: Photograph type, Median score, Interquartile range (IQR), Mann-Whitney U test, and P-value.

TABLE 3: Mann-Whitney test for attractiveness ratings between photograph types.

Additionally, a weak positive correlation (r = 0.224, P < 0.001) was observed between ratings for the two photograph types, indicating some consistency in laypersons' ratings across both formats (Table 4).

Comparisons	Pearson's correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
Lips, chin, and nose vs. lips only	0.224	<0.001

TABLE 4: Pearson's correlation coefficient between photograph types.

Discussion

Understanding the thresholds at which midline deviations become noticeable to patients is critical in orthodontic and restorative treatments, as these deviations significantly influence perceived aesthetics. Studies report varying thresholds for acceptable midline deviations across different populations. For example, Ker et al. [14] found an acceptable threshold ranging from 2.9 to 4.3 mm, while Tahir et al. [15] and Pinho et al. [8] observed that laypersons often failed to detect midline shifts of up to 4 mm. Similarly, Shyagali et al. [7] noted that deviations of 2 mm or more are frequently perceptible, suggesting that even minor discrepancies can disrupt smile harmony. The present study aligns with these findings, demonstrating that laypersons generally accept smiles with midline deviations of up to 3 mm, regardless of whether the full lower face or only the lips are visible.

Cultural and demographic factors play a significant role in shaping acceptable thresholds for midline deviations. McLeod et al. [16] found that Canadian laypersons were more discerning, accepting deviations of up to 1.83 mm, compared to 2.9 mm among Americans. Musa et al. [17] emphasized that perceptions vary by ethnicity, profession, and socio-demographic characteristics. Zhang et al. [9] reported a lower tolerance for deviations exceeding 2 mm in men with tapered facial shapes. Similarly, Williams et al. [18] demonstrated that facial type and sex influence perception, with broader-faced (euryprosopic) individuals and males showing greater tolerance for minor deviations.

This study found no significant difference in midline deviation scoring between images showing only the lips and those including additional facial features. This is consistent with Springer et al. [3], who reported similar aesthetic ratings between full-face and lower-face perspectives, suggesting that viewing angle has minimal impact on midline deviation perception. However, Ferreira et al. [13] found that deviations as small as 1 mm were detectable when adjacent facial structures were visible, compared to 2 mm when only the lips were shown, indicating that context can influence visibility. Musa et al. [17] also highlighted that smile-associated structures affect midline perception, with full-face views potentially reducing the focus on specific smile details. Flores-Mir et al. [19] further noted that dental aesthetics have less impact in full-face views compared to close-up or lower-face perspectives, as subtle dental changes are less noticeable within a broader facial context.

Although previous studies have examined midline deviation thresholds in various populations, none have been conducted in Palestine. Our study offers unique contributions by focusing on lay perceptions within a Palestinian cohort, thereby addressing a significant gap in understanding the cultural influences on aesthetic judgment. Moreover, by comparing images showing only the lips with those displaying the full lower face, we shed new light on how facial context affects the perception of midline deviations. By integrating diverse cultural and sociodemographic factors, our research not only enriches global understanding but also provides valuable, context-specific insights for orthodontic and restorative practices in Palestine.

This study has limitations that should be addressed in future research to enhance our understanding of lay perceptions across diverse populations, including the broader Palestinian community. The relatively small sample size, drawn exclusively from Nablus - a diverse urban center - may not fully capture the range of perceptions among laypersons from different socio-demographic and regional backgrounds. Consequently, caution should be exercised when generalizing these findings to all Palestinians, as regional variations within Palestine may exist. Future studies should include participants from multiple regions to validate and extend our observations. Additionally, the use of predetermined photographs from prior studies may not account for the full variability in smile aesthetics and midline deviations. The cross-sectional design limits our ability to infer causality, and reliance on self-reported evaluations may introduce response bias. Future studies should aim to include larger, more regionally diverse samples and utilize alternative methodologies to yield more generalizable insights. Where feasible, increasing the sample size would enhance precision and statistical power, thereby strengthening the validity and reliability of the findings.

Conclusions

Laypersons perceive midline deviations of up to 3 mm as acceptable and aesthetically pleasing, regardless of whether adjacent facial structures are visible. The study found no significant influence of demographic factors such as gender and age on these perceptions, underscoring the shared aesthetic standards within the study population. These findings provide valuable insights for orthodontic and restorative dental treatment

planning, suggesting that small midline deviations may not compromise smile aesthetics from the layperson's perspective. Future research with broader population samples could help refine our understanding of aesthetic thresholds and cultural influences on smile perception.

Additional Information

Author Contributions

All authors have reviewed the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Concept and design: Lana Hodali, Nabil Massad

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Lana Hodali

Drafting of the manuscript: Lana Hodali

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Nabil Massad

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent for treatment and open access publication was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) committee of An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine issued approval Dent, March 2024/2025. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- Godinho J, Gonçalves RP, Jardim L: Contribution of facial components to the attractiveness of the smiling face in male and female patients: a cross-sectional correlation study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*. 2020, 157:98-104. [10.1016/j.ajodo.2019.01.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2019.01.022)
- Rotundo R, Nieri M, Lamberti E, Covani U, Peñarrocha-Oltra D, Peñarrocha-Diago M: Factors influencing the aesthetics of smile: an observational study on clinical assessment and patient's perception. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2021, 48:1449-57. [10.1111/jcpe.13531](https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.13531)
- Springer NC, Chang C, Fields HW, Beck FM, Firestone AR, Rosenstiel S, Christensen JC: Smile esthetics from the layperson's perspective. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*. 2011, 139:e91-101. [10.1016/j.ajodo.2010.06.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2010.06.019)
- Beyer JW, Lindauer SJ: Evaluation of dental midline position. *Semin Orthod*. 1998, 4:146-52. [10.1016/s1073-8746\(98\)80016-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1073-8746(98)80016-9)
- Jerrold L, Lowenstein LJ: The midline: diagnosis and treatment. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*. 1990, 97:453-62. [10.1016/S0889-5406\(05\)80025-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-5406(05)80025-3)
- Johnston CD, Burden DJ, Stevenson MR: The influence of dental to facial midline discrepancies on dental attractiveness ratings. *Eur J Orthod*. 1999, 21:517-22. [10.1093/ejo/21.5.517](https://doi.org/10.1093/ejo/21.5.517)
- Shyagali TR, Chandralekha B, Bhayya DP, et al.: Are ratings of dentofacial attractiveness influenced by dentofacial midline discrepancies?. *Aust Orthod J*. 2008, 24:91-5. [10.2478/aoj-2008-0013](https://doi.org/10.2478/aoj-2008-0013)
- Pinho S, Ciriaco C, Faber J, Lenza MA: Impact of dental asymmetries on the perception of smile esthetics. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*. 2007, 132:748-53. [10.1016/j.ajodo.2006.01.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2006.01.039)
- Zhang YF, Xiao L, Li J, Peng YR, Zhao Z: Young people's esthetic perception of dental midline deviation. *Angle Orthod*. 2010, 80:515-20. [10.2319/052209-286.1](https://doi.org/10.2319/052209-286.1)
- Tanbakuchi B, Arab S, Niaki EA, Imani MM, Valizadeh S, Shamshiri AR: The effect of linear and angular midline deviation on smile attractiveness regarding facial height. *J Dent Mater Tech*. 2021, 10:157-63. [10.22038/jdmt.2021.56944.1439](https://doi.org/10.22038/jdmt.2021.56944.1439)
- Taher S: Smile esthetics: assessment of perceived smile attractiveness as related to dental midline asymmetry. *Egypt Orthod J*. 2008, 34:15-30. [10.21608/eos.2008.79208](https://doi.org/10.21608/eos.2008.79208)
- Projected mid-year population for Nablus Governorate. (2021). Accessed: February 12, 2025: https://www.pcbs.gov.ps/statisticsIndicatorsTables.aspx?lang=en&table_id=698#.
- Ferreira JB, Silva LE, Caetano MT, Motta AF, Cury-Saramago AA, Mucha JN: Perception of midline deviations in smile esthetics by laypersons. *Dental Press J Orthod*. 2016, 21:51-7. [10.1590/2177-6709.21.6.051-057.oar](https://doi.org/10.1590/2177-6709.21.6.051-057.oar)
- Ker AJ, Chan R, Fields HW, Beck M, Rosenstiel S: Esthetics and smile characteristics from the layperson's perspective: a computer-based survey study. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2008, 139:1318-27. [10.14219/jada.archive.2008.0043](https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.2008.0043)
- Tahir K, Barakaat AA, Shaikat U, Maaz M, Fida M, Sukhia RH: Influence of dental midline deviation with respect to facial flow line on smile esthetics - a cross-sectional study. *J Esthet Restor Dent*. 2024, 36:1566-73. [10.1111/jerd.13298](https://doi.org/10.1111/jerd.13298)
- McLeod C, Fields HW, Hechter F, Wiltshire W, Rody W Jr, Christensen J: Esthetics and smile characteristics

- evaluated by laypersons. Angle Orthod. 2011, 81:198-205. [10.2319/060510-309.1](https://doi.org/10.2319/060510-309.1)
17. Musa M, Awad R, Mohammed A, et al.: Effect of the ethnic, profession, gender, and social background on the perception of upper dental midline deviations in smile esthetics by Chinese and Black raters. BMC Oral Health. 2023, 23:214. [10.1186/s12903-023-02893-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-023-02893-4)
 18. Williams RP, Rinchuse DJ, Zullo TG: Perceptions of midline deviations among different facial types . Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2014, 145:249-55. [10.1016/j.ajodo.2013.02.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2013.02.034)
 19. Flores-Mir C, Silva E, Barriga MI, Lagraverre MO, Major PW: Lay person's perception of smile aesthetics in dental and facial views. J Orthod. 2004, 31:204-9; discussion 201. [10.1179/146531204225022416](https://doi.org/10.1179/146531204225022416)