Review began 02/21/2024 Review ended 03/01/2024 Published 03/05/2024

© Copyright 2024

Kandi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Molecular Characterization of Escherichia coli Causing Urinary Tract Infections Through Next-Generation Sequencing: A Comprehensive Analysis of Serotypes, Sequence Types, and Antimicrobial and Virulence Genes

Venkataramana Kandi 1 , Praveen R. Shahapur 2 , Tarun Kumar Suvvari 3 , 4 , Vallab Ganesh Bharadwaj 5 , Chitra Rajalakshmi P 5 , Roopa Shahapur 6 , Eswar Podaralla 7 , Vikram Godishala 8

1. Clinical Microbiology, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar, IND 2. Microbiology, Bijapur Lingayat District Educational (BLDE) (Deemed to be) University, Shri B. M. Patil Medical College, Vijayapura, IND 3. General Medicine, Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada, IND 4. Research, Squad Medicine and Research (SMR), Visakhapatnam, IND 5. Microbiology, Trichy Sri Ramaswamy Memorial (SRM) Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Tiruchirappalli, IND 6. Dentistry, Bijapur Lingayat District Educational (BLDE) (Deemed to be) University, Shri B. M. Patil Medical College, Vijayapura, IND 7. Internal Medicine, Temple University, Philadelphia, USA 8. Biotechnology/Food and Nutrition, Vaagdevi Degree and PG College, Hanamkonda, IND

Corresponding author: Venkataramana Kandi, ramana20021@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction

An enormous increase in antimicrobial resistance (AMR) among bacteria isolated from human clinical specimens contributed to treatment failures. Increased surveillance through next-generation sequencing (NGS) or whole genome sequencing (WGS) could facilitate the study of the epidemiology of drug-resistant bacterial strains, resistance genes, and other virulence determinants they are potentially carrying.

Methods

This study included 30 *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) isolates obtained from patients suffering from urinary tract infections (UTIs) attending Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar, India. All bacterial isolates were identified, and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns were determined through conventional microbiological techniques and confirmed by automated systems. All the isolates were investigated using NGS to identify genes coding for resistance, such as extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs), metallobeta-lactamases, and virulence genes. Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was used to understand the prevalent strain types, and serotyping was carried out to evaluate the type of O (cell wall antigen) and H (flagellar antigen) serotypes carried by the isolates.

Results

The conventional antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed that 15 (50%) isolates were resistant to imipenem (IPM), 10 (33.33%) were resistant to amikacin (AK), 13 (43.33%) were resistant to piperacillintazobactam (PTZ), 17 (56.66%) were resistant to cephalosporins, and 14 (46.66%) were resistant to nitrofurantoin (NIT). Among the isolates, 26 (86.66%) had revealed the presence of multiple antibiotic-resistant genes with evidence of at least one gene coding for beta-lactamase resistance. There was a high prevalence of $bla_{\rm CTX-M}$ (19/30, 63.33%) genes, followed by $bla_{\rm TEM}$ and $bla_{\rm OXA-1}$. The $bla_{\rm NDM-5}$ gene was found in three isolates (3/30, 10%). The virulence genes identified in the present study were iutA, sat, iss, and papC, among others. The E. coli serotype found predominantly belonged to O25:H4 (5, 16.66%), followed by O102:H6 (4, 13.33%). A total of 16 MLST variants were identified among the examined samples. Of the MLST-based sequence types (STs) identified, ST-131 (7, 23.33%) was the predominant one, followed by ST-167 (3, 10%) and ST-12 (3, 10%).

Conclusions

The study results demonstrated that the *E. coli* strains isolated from patients suffering from UTIs potentially carried antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes and belonged to different strain types based on MLST. Careful evaluation of bacterial strains using molecular analyses such as NGS could facilitate an improved understanding of bacterial antibiotic resistance and its virulence potential. This could enable physicians to choose appropriate antimicrobial agents and contribute to better patient management, thereby preventing the emergence and spread of drug-resistant bacteria.

Categories: Epidemiology/Public Health, Genetics, Infectious Disease

Keywords: virulence genes, extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (esbls), drug-resistant bacterial strains, resistance

genes, multilocus sequence typing (mlst), escherichia coli (e. coli), whole genome sequencing (wgs), antimicrobial resistance (amr)

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is the ability of bacteria to resist its clearance from the hosts despite treatment. Bacteria resistant to various antimicrobial agents are labeled multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria. There are several mechanisms by which bacteria develop AMR, including mutations, drug efflux mechanisms, and alteration of drug-binding proteins, among others. Additionally, bacteria employ different virulence mechanisms, such as adherence and biofilm formation, which enable them to counteract the activities of drugs. Moreover, AMR is coded by genes on the chromosomes and plasmids, which could be transmissible from one bacterium to another bacterium. This can be achieved through intraspecies (vertical gene transfer) and interspecies (horizontal gene transfer) genetic transfer mechanisms that occur naturally among bacteria. The excessive use of antimicrobial agents, especially among hospitalized patients, facilitates the development of resistant bacterial species. Besides, people resort to self-medication by using over-the-counter drugs, which results in resistance among the bacterial species prevailing in individuals and communities. Subsequently, these resistant bacterial species could be accountable for hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) and community-acquired infections (CAIs). There is an increased probability of the spread of such bacterial species from one person to another in the community, from patient to patient, and from healthcare workers (HCWs) to patients in hospital settings. Infections with drug-resistant bacteria result in treatment failures [1].

The emergence of AMR cannot be predicted and probably is difficult to prevent, as observed by a recent case study of a patient suffering from pancreatitis. This case study emphasizes the role of clinicians in discussing and evaluating antibiotic treatment, which otherwise would result in AMR and treatment failure. It was suggested that patients must be assessed for any preexisting AMR determinants in colonized bacteria. Screening for AMR among bacteria in the hospital environment and evidence of de novo resistance that may be responsible for horizontal gene transfer was also recommended [2].

Escherichia coli (E. coli) is a commensal bacteria present in the intestines of humans and animals. Apart from being a normal flora of the intestines, E. coli has been identified as the most predominant bacteria to cause urinary tract infections (UTIs). Escherichia coli strains with pathogenic potential are responsible for other infections such as toxin-mediated diarrhea, bloodstream infections, and wound infections. Further, neonates and other individuals with suppressed immune systems could be predisposed to various infections caused by E. coli [3]. Considering the complexity of this bacterium, as evidenced by its existence as a commensal, its presence in the environment, and its potential to cause mild to severe infections, it is essential to understand its epidemiological features, virulence determinants such as antimicrobial resistance capabilities, and invasive potential, among others. This study, therefore, is carried out to evaluate the presence of resistance and virulence genes in E. coli isolated from patients suffering from UTIs. Additionally, they were classified into serotypes and sequence types (STs) based on molecular characterization utilizing next-generation sequencing (NGS) or whole genome sequencing (WGS).

Materials And Methods

An observational, analytical, cross-sectional study was conducted among patients attending Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar, Telangana, India. This study included 30 *E. coli* isolates obtained from patients suffering from urinary tract infections from April 2018 to April 2020. All bacterial isolates were identified, and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns were determined through conventional microbiological techniques and confirmed by automated systems [4-6]. Further, all the isolates were analyzed using NGS/WGS to identify the genes coding for drug resistance and virulence. Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was used to understand the prevalent strain types, and serotyping was carried out to evaluate the type of O (cell wall antigen) and H (flagellar antigen) serotypes expressed by the isolates.

Processing of urine samples

A wide-mouthed sterile container was provided to the patient. The patient was advised to clean the genital areas with soap and water. Later, the patient was asked to collect mid-stream urine after passing out the initial urine. The patient was advised not to touch the inside of the container, and the urine should not overflow from the container. The sample container was appropriately labeled and was immediately transported to the laboratory for processing. When delays in transporting specimens to the laboratory are expected, the urine samples were refrigerated between 2°C and 8°C. The sample was processed by inoculating 0.001 milliliters (mL) of urine in blood agar. The method used here was the semiquantitative counting technique. In this method, the urine sample is plated on the culture media after dividing the plate into four quadrants, as shown in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1: Growth obtained on blood agar from urine sample for semiquantitative estimation of bacteria

After overnight incubation of the inoculated plates at 37° C, the growth was recorded by counting the number of colonies or presence of growth in different quadrants of blood agar. The patients whose urine contained $\geq 10^5$ colony-forming units (CFUs) per mL of urine were considered to be suffering from UTI. The CFUs are nothing but bacteria, i.e., each colony corresponds to a bacterium [7].

Interpretation

Growth in the first quadrant corresponds to 25,000 colonies, both in the first and second quadrants corresponds to 50,000 colonies, growth in the three quadrants corresponds to 75,000 colonies, and growth in all the quadrants corresponds to $100,000 \text{ or } 10^5 \text{ CFU/mL}$ of urine (Figure 1).

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Kirby-Bauer Disk Diffusion Method

Two to three pure and isolated colonies from overnight bacterial growth (inoculum) were picked up from the culture plate. The inoculum was mixed well into the peptone water/sterile saline. Later, it was incubated at 37°C for 1-2 hours. The test tube now shows growth in the form of turbidity. The turbidity is adjusted to match turbidity standards, as measured by the McFarland standards. The McFarland standards are used for measuring turbidity manually by comparing and adjusting the culture turbidity with a solution prepared by mixing 0.05 mL of 1% barium chloride and 9.95 mL of 1% sulfuric acid.

After adjusting to the desired McFarland standards, the test organisms were inoculated into Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA). A lawn culture/carpet culture was made with the help of sterile cotton swabs. Later, different antibiotic-impregnated filter paper disks were applied with the help of sterile forceps. The plates were then incubated overnight at 37°C for 12-18 hours. If an organism is not growing near the antibiotic discs, i.e., if organisms are sensitive/susceptible to the antibiotic, there is a zone of inhibition/clearance, measured in millimeters (mm). However, if the microorganisms are resistant to the antibiotic, growth will be noted even closer/toward the edge of the antibiotic-impregnated disk. The results were interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [8]. Isolates with phenotypic resistance, including both resistant (R) or intermediate (I) resistance, are considered resistant (R). An isolate was designated as MDR when it showed resistance to more than one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories.

Antibiotics Tested

The following antibiotics were used: imipenem (IPM) (10 μ g), amikacin (AK) (30 μ g), gentamicin (GEN) (10 μ g), ciprofloxacin (CIP) (5 μ g), ofloxacin (OF), cotrimoxazole (COT) (1.25/23.75 μ g), piperacillin-tazobactam (PTZ) (30/6 μ g), ceftazidime (CAZ) (10 μ g), ceftriaxone (CTR) (30 μ g), cefotaxime (CTX) (30 μ g), cephalothin (30 μ g), and nitrofurantoin (NIT) (300 μ g).

Control Strains

Escherichia coli American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) 25922, Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC 1706, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 were used as controls.

Whole genome sequencing and genomic analyses

The deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted from bacterial isolates using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) as mandated by the manufacturer's instructions. Double-stranded DNA libraries with 450 base pairs (bp) insert size were prepared and sequenced on the Illumina platform with 150 bp paired-end chemistry. The genomes that passed sequence quality control were assembled using Spades v3.14 to generate contigs and annotated with Prokka v1.5 [9,10]. Species identification was carried out using a Bactinspector, and contamination was assessed using ConFindr [11]. All the quality metrics were combined using MultiQC and qualifyr to generate web-based reports. MLST, AMR, and virulence factors were identified using ARIBA tool v2.14.4 with BIGSdb-Pasteur MLST database, National Center for Biotechnological Information (NCBI) AMR acquired gene, PointFinder databases, and VFDB, respectively [12-14]. All bioinformatic analysis was performed using Nextflow pipelines developed as a part of the Global Health Research Unit (GHRU)-AMR.

Results

The mean age of the patients was 46.96±20.18 years. Among the patients included, 19 (63.33%) were males, and 11 (36.66%) were females. The study identified several genes that could contribute to increased virulence and facilitate the colonization, adaptability, and spread of the bacteria inside humans. These genes enable the organisms to become increasingly invasive and produce pathogenic effects. Additionally, the study's results showed the presence of antibiotic-resistant genes that contribute to MDR. Details of the virulence genes and the antimicrobial resistance genes along with their functions are shown in Table 1.

Resistance/virulence genes	Function		
aac (3lle, 3lld, 6lb, 6lbcr5)	Aminoglycoside N-acetyltransferase		
aad (A1, A2, A5)	Ant3 la family aminoglycoside nucleotidyltransferase		
aph (3la, 3lb, 6ld)	Aminoglycoside-o-phosphotransferase		
arr1	Rifampin adenosine diphosphate-ribosyl transferase		
bleEC	Cephalosporin resistance		
bla _{AFM-2}	Subclass 'B1' MBL		
bla _{CMY-2} , bla _{CMY-4}	AmpC beta-lactamase		
bla _{CTX-M-15}	Class 'A' ESBL		
blaEC (5, 8, 15, 16, 18, 19)	Serine beta-lactamase with a substrate specificity for cephalosporins		
bla _{NDM-5}	Subclass 'B1' MBL		
bla _{OXA-1} , bla _{OXA-534}	Class 'D' ESBL oxacillin hydrolyzing		
bla _{OXA-38}	Class 'D' ESBL carbapenem hydrolyzing		
bla _{TEM-1} , bla _{TEM-178}	Class 'A' broad spectrum ESBL		
b/e-MBL	Bleomycin-binding protein		
cat (A1, B3, B8)	Chloramphenicol O-acetyltransferase		
dfr (A5, A12, A14, A17)	Trimethoprim-resistant dihydrofolate reductase		
ereA	Erythromycin esterase		

ermB 23SrRNA adenine N methyltransferase						
mphA	Macrolide 2' phosphotransferase					
sat	Secreted autotransporter toxin					
sat2	Multidrug efflux RND transporter periplasmic adaptor subunit					
qacE delta1	Quaternary ammonium compound efflux SMR transporter					
qnrS1	Qionolone resistance pentapeptide repeat protein					
rmtB1	16SrRNA guanine N(7) methyl transferase					
sul1, sul2	Sulfonamide-resistant dihydropteroate synthase					
tet (A, B, D)	Tetracycline efflux MFS transporter					
16S (rrsB, rrsC, rrsH)	Spectinomycin, tetracycline, gentamicin, kasugamycin					
23\$	Macrolide resistance					
foIP	Carbapenem resistance under development					
gyr (A, B)	Quinolone resistance					
par (C, E)	Quinolone resistance					
pmr (A, B)	Resistance to polymyxin					
гроВ	Resistance to rifampicin					
ymgB	Biofilm/acid resistance regulator					
ybt (P, Q)	Yersiniabactin ABC transporter adenosine ATP binding/permease protein					
iss	Increased serum survival lipoprotein					
ftsl	Escherichia aztreonam resistant					
espX1	Type III secretion system effector					
iutA	Ferric aerobactin receptor					
capU	Putative hexosyltransferase					
cyaA	Escherichia fosmidomycin resistant					
Iro (D, E)	Catecholate siderophore esterase					
glpT	Escherichia fosfomycin resistant					
iucD	NADPH-dependent L-lysine N(6)-monooxygenase					
iucC	NIS family aerobactin synthetase					
iucB	N(6)-hydroxylysine O-acetyltransferase					
iucA	Aerobactin synthase					
iha	Bifunctional siderophore receptor/adhesin					
рарА	P fimbria major subunit					
lpfA	Long polar fimbria major subunit					
eilA	HilA family transcriptional regulator					
glpT	Escherichia fosfomycin resistant					
рсоЕ	Copper/Cu(+) resistance system metallochaperone					
pcoS	Copper/Cu(+) resistance membrane-spanning protein					
pcoR	Copper/Cu(+) response regulator transcription factor					
pcoD	Copper/Cu(+) resistance inner membrane protein					
рсоС	Copper/Cu(+) resistance system metallochaperone					

рсоВ	Copper/Cu(+)-binding protein
pcoA	Multicopper oxidase
silP	Silver/Ag(+)-translocating P-type ATPase
silA	Cu(+)/Ag(+) efflux RND transporter permease subunit
silB	Cu(+)/Ag(+) efflux RND transporter periplasmic adaptor subunit
silF	Cu(+)/Ag(+) efflux RND transporter periplasmic metallochaperone
silC	Cu(+)/Ag(+) efflux RND transporter outer membrane channel
silR	Copper/silver response regulator transcription factor
silS	Copper/silver sensor histidine kinase
silE	Silver-binding protein
lpfA	Long polar fimbria major subunit
senB	Enterotoxin production-related protein
mchB	Microcin H47
mchF	Microcin H47 export transporter peptidase/ATP-binding subunit
sfaF	S/F1C fimbrial biogenesis usher protein
fdeC	Intimin-like adhesin
Vactox	Vacuolating autotransporter toxin
ireA	TonB-dependent siderophore receptor
papC	P fimbrial usher protein
papE	P fimbrial minor subunit
papF	P fimbrial tip protein
papG	P fimbria tip G-adhesin
hlyA-alpha	RTX toxin hemolysin

TABLE 1: Antibiotic resistance and virulence gene along with their functions

bla: beta-lactamase gene, MBL: metallo-beta-lactamase, RND: resistance-nodulation-cell division, bla_{NDM}: New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase, Ble: bleomycin gene, NADP: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, RTX: repeats in toxin, ATP: adenosine 5'-triphosphate, ABC: ATP-binding cassette, MFS: major facilitator superfamily, RNA: ribonucleic acid, SMR: small multidrug resistance, ESBLs: extended-spectrum beta-lactamases

Conventional antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed that 15 (50%) isolates were resistant to imipenem, 10 (33.33%) were resistant to aminoglycosides, 13 (43.33%) were resistant to piperacillin-tazobactam, 17 (56.66%) were resistant to cephalosporins, and 14 (46.66%) were resistant to nitrofurantoin. Strain-specific antibiotic resistance genes, virulence genes, and phenotypic antibiotic susceptibility profiles are detailed in Table 2.

Strain	A /	Clinical			Phenotype					
number Age/sex		Resistance/virulence genes detected diagnosis	1	AG	PTZ	CE	NIT			
EC-249	24/F	Third-trimester pregnancy-UTI	aph3lb, aph6ld, blaEC-15, sul2, 16SrrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB		S	S	R	S		
EC-250	35/M	Renal calculi with UTI	aac3lle, aadA5, bla _{CTX-M-15} , blaEC-5, dfrA17, mphA, qacEdelta1, sul1, 16SrrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B) par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB		S	S	S	R		
EC-251	72/M	Urinary calculi	aac3lld, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, aadA5, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{EC-5} , bla _{OXA-1} , bla _{TEM-1} , catB3, catB8, dfrA17, mphA, qacEdelta1, sul1, sul2, tetA, 16SrrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB	S	R	R	S	R		

1235, folf, gyr (A. B), par (C. E), pmr (A. B), rpo8	EC-252	26/M	Recurrent UTI	aph3la, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-15} , blaEC-18, bla _{TEM-1} , tetA, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB	R	S	S	R	S
EC-255 49ff with UTI 123S, foul*, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), proB R S S R excellent UTI 123S, foul*, gyr (A, B), par (C, B), pmr (A, B), proB asc31ld, acc6lbs c3, add, full*,	EC-254	37/M	CKD	bla _{TEM-1} , catB3, dfrA14, mphA, qacEdelta1, sul2, tetA, 16SrrsB, 16SrrC, 23S-	R	S	S	R	R
EC-255 45M UTI	EC-255	49/F		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		S	S	R	R
EC-288 26/F Third-timester pregnancy-UTI Cp. pmr (A, B), moB Cp. pmr (A, B), pmB Cp. pmr (A, B), p	EC-256	45/M	UTI	dfrA17, mphA, qacEdelta1, sul1, tetB, 16SrrsC, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C,	S	S	S	S	S
EC-260 88/M Recurrent UTI E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC-260 21/F Third-trimester pregnancy-UTI (A, B), prac (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC-261 70/M UTI aphilo, aphilo, blac _{LMY-2} , blaEC-5, drA17, qacEdelta1, sul1, 16SrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC-261 70/M UTI aphilo, aphilo, blac _{LMY-42} , blaEC-15, blac _{LMA-18} , ereA, ermB, mphA, qmrS1, sul2, tetB, 16SrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC-262 35/F Renal calcular with UTI aphilo, aph	EC-258	26/F		catB3, dfrA17, qacEdelta1, sul1, tetB, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C,	S	S	S	S	S
EC-260 21/F pregnancy-UTI (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), pob B EC-261 70/M UTI aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CMY-42} , blaEC-15, bla _{CMA-181} , ereA, ermB, mphA, qnrS1, sul2, tetB, 16SrsB, 235-1235, fulP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC-262 35/F Renal calculi with UTI B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), par (B, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB aac3lle, aac30, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, blac _{MY-42} , blaC _{CYAM-15} , blaEC-8, bla _{CMA-1} , catA1, catB3, drhA17, mphA, qacEdela1, sul1, tet (A, B), 16SrsH, 23S-123S, fulP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB ac3lle, aac30, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, blac _{MY-42} , blaC _{CYAM-15} , blaEC-8, bla _{CMA-1} , bla _{CMA-1} , bla _{CMA-10} , bla _{CMA-10} , catB3, qrs-71, tetB, 16SrsH, 23S-123S, fulP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), proB EC-266 45/F UTI blaEC-5, 16SrsB, 23S-123S, fulP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), proB EC-367 ac630, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, aadA2, bla _{CMA-11} , blaEC-8, bla _{CMA-11} , blaEC-8, bla _{CMA-11} , bla _{CMA-11} , bla _{CMA-12} , bla _{CMA-12} , bla _{CMA-12} , bla _{CMA-13} , bla _{CMA-14} , bla _{CMA-1}	EC-259	68/M	Recurrent UTI		R	S	S	S	R
tetB, 16SrrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC-262 35/F Renal calculi with UTI Benal calculi calculi with UTI Benal calculi ca	EC-260	21/F		5 2	S	S	S	S	S
EC-262 35/F Renal calculi with UTI catB3, dirA17, mphA, qacEdelta1, sul1, tet (A, B), 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, R) S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R	EC-261	70/M	UTI		R	S	R	S	S
EC-263 70/M UTI	EC-262	35/F		catB3, dfrA17, mphA, qacEdelta1, sul1, tet (A, B), 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A,	R	S	R	S	R
EC- 1216 69/M UTI bla_{TEM-1}, ble, catB3, dfrA12, mphA, qacEdelta1, mrtB1, sul1, 16SrrsC, 23S-123S, R R R R R EC- 1217 70/M intraperitoneal obstruction-UTI 123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1218 17/M UTI bla_{TEM-1}, ble, catB3, dfrA12, mphA, qacEdelta1, mrtB1, sul1, 16SrrsC, 23S-123S, R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	EC-263	70/M	UTI	$bla_{TEM-178}, cat B3, qnr S1, tet B, 16 Srrs H, 23 S-123 S, fol P, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr$	R	R	R	R	S
EC- 1216 69/M UTI blaTEM-1, ble, catB3, dfrA12, mphA, qacEdelta1, mtB1, sul1, 16SrrsC, 23S-123S, R R R R EC- 1217 70/M Acute aac630, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, aadA5, aph6lc, blaCMY-42, blaCTX-M-15, blaEC-15, blaQXA-534, catB3, catB3, cdtB4, dfrA17, mphA, qacEdelta1, sul1, tetA, 16SrrsH, 23S- R R R R EC- 1218 17/M UTI blaNDM-5, blaTEM-1, ble, catB3, dfrA12, qacEdelta1, sul1, tetA, 16SrrsH, 23S- R R R R EC- 1219 40/M UTI blaNDM-5, blaTEM-1, ble, catB3, dfrA12, qacEdelta1, mtB1, sul1, 16SrrsH, 23S- R R R R EC- 1219 40/M UTI blaNDM-5, blaTEM-1, ble, catB3, dfrA12, qacEdelta1, mtB1, sul1, 16SrrsC, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1219 66/M UTI blaNDM-5, blaTEM-1, blaCC-5, 16SrrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1221 66/M UTI blaCTX-M-27, blaEC-5, 16SrrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1224 65/M UTI blaCC-8, blaQXA-1, blaTEM-1, catA1, catB3, dfrA1, amB, mphA, sul2, sat2, tetD, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1244 65/M UTI blaCC-8, blaQXA-1, blaTEM-1, catA1, catB3, dfrA1, amB, mphA, sul2, sat2, tetD, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1256 72/M UTI blaCC-8, blaQXA-1, blaCM-1, qacEdelta1, aadA1, aadA13, aph3lb, aph6ld, blaCTX-M-15, blaEC-8, blaQXA-1, blaTEM-1, catA1, catB3, dfrA1, amB, mphA, sul2, sat2, tetD, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1266 72/M UTI adaA2, blaCM-1, blaCM-1, papF, papC, papA, ybtQ, ybtP, blaCMY-42, espX1, ftsl_N337NYRIN, astA, capU, blaNDM-5, ble, sul1, qacEdelta1, aadA2, dfrA12, acrF, mphA, blaTEM-1, mtB1, emB	EC-266	45/F	UTI	blaEC-5, 16SrrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB	R	S	R	R	S
EC- 1217 70/M intraperitoneal obstruction-UTI 123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 17/M UTI		69/M	UTI	bla _{TEM-1} , ble, catB3, dfrA12, mphA, qacEdelta1, rmtB1, sul1, 16SrrsC, 23S-123S,		R	R	R	S
EC- 1218 17/M UTI bla_NDM-5; bla_TEM-1; ble, catB3, dfrA12, qacEdelta1, rmtB1, sul1, 16SrrsH, 23S- R R R 123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1219 40/M UTI acc630, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, aadA2, bla_CTX.M-15; blaEC-8, bla_OXA-1, bla_NDM-5; bla_TEM-1; ble, catB3, dfrA12, qacEdelta1, rmtB1, sul1, 16SrrsC, 23S-123S, folP, gyr S S S S S EC- 1219 66/M UTI bla_CTX.M-27; blaEC-5, 16SrrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1221 65/M UTI acc3lle, aac630, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, aadA1, aadA13, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla_CTX.M-15; blaEC-8, bla_OXA-1, bla_TEM-1, catA1, catB3, dfrA1, ermB, mphA, sul2, sat2, tetD, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 1244 EC- 1756 72/M UTI bla_CTX.M-15; bla_EC-8, bla_OXA-1, bla_TEM-1, catA1, catB3, dfrA1, ermB, mphA, sul2, sat2, tetD, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB parE_S458A, parC_S80l, blaEC, iss, fdeC, mdtM, gyrA_D87N, gyrA_S83L, ymgB, papG-ll, papF, papC, papA, ybtQ, ybtP, bla_CMY-42, espX1, ftsl_N337NYRIN, astA, capU, bla_NDM-5; ble, sul1, qacEdelta1, aadA2, dfrA12, acrF, mphA, bla_TEM-1, rmtB1, ermB EC- CKD with		70/M	intraperitoneal	bla _{OXA-534} , catB3, catB8, dfrA17, mphA, qacEdelta1, sul1, tetA, 16SrrsH, 23S-	R	R	R	R	R
EC- 1219		17/M	UTI	bla _{NDM-5} , bla _{TEM-1} , ble, catB3, dfrA12, qacEdelta1, rmtB1, sul1, 16SrrsH, 23S-	R	R	R	R	S
1221 66/M UTI rpoB EC- 1244 65/M UTI aac3/le, aac630, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, aadA1, aadA13, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-15} , blaEC-8, bla _{OXA-1} , bla _{TEM-1} , catA1, catB3, dfrA1, ermB, mphA, sul2, sat2, tetD, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB EC- 172/M UTI parE_S458A, parC_S80I, blaEC, iss, fdeC, mdtM, gyrA_D87N, gyrA_S83L, ymgB, papG-II, papF, papC, papA, ybtQ, ybtP, bla _{CMY-42} , espX1, ftsl_N337NYRIN, astA, capU, bla _{NDM-5} , ble, sul1, qacEdelta1, aadA2, dfrA12, acrF, mphA, bla _{TEM-1} , rmtB1, ermB EC- CKD with R S R R R R R EC- 172/M UTI aac3/le, aac630, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, aadA1, aadA13, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-15} , S S S R R EC- 172/M UTI aac3/le, aac630, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, aadA1, aadA13, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-15} , S S S R R EC- 172/M UTI aac3/le, aac630, aac6lb, aac6lbcr5, aadA1, aadA13, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-15} , S S S R R EC- 172/M UTI aac3/le, aac630, aac6lbc, aac6lbcr5, aadA1, aadA13, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-15} , S R R R EC- 172/M UTI aac3/le, aac630, aac6lbc, aac6lbcr5, aadA1, aadA13, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-15} , S R R R EC- 172/M UTI aac3/le, aac630, aac6lbcr5, aadA1, aadA13, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-15} , S R R R EC- 172/M UTI accidental acci		40/M	UTI	$\textit{bla}_{TEM-1}, \textit{ble}, \textit{catB3}, \textit{dfrA12}, \textit{qacEdelta1}, \textit{rmtB1}, \textit{sul1}, \textit{16SrrsC}, \textit{23S-123S}, \textit{folP}, \textit{gyr}$	S	S	S	S	S
EC- 1244 65/M UTI blaEC-8, bla _{OXA-1} , bla _{TEM-1} , catA1, catB3, dfrA1, ermB, mphA, sul2, sat2, tetD, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), rpoB parE_S458A, parC_S80I, blaEC, iss, fdeC, mdtM, gyrA_D87N, gyrA_S83L, ymgB, papG-II, papF, papC, papA, ybtQ, ybtP, bla _{CMY-42} , espX1, ftsI_N337NYRIN, astA, capU, bla _{NDM-5} , ble, sul1, qacEdelta1, aadA2, dfrA12, acrF, mphA, bla _{TEM-1} , rmtB1, ermB aadA2, acrF, bla _{CMY-14} , blaEC, dfrA12, ermB, ftsI_N337NYRIN, gyrA, mdtM,		66/M	UTI			S	R	R	s
EC- 1756 T2/M UTI papG-II, papF, papC, papA, ybtQ, ybtP, bla _{CMY-42} , espX1, ftsl_N337NYRIN, astA, capU, bla _{NDM-5} , ble, sul1, qacEdelta1, aadA2, dfrA12, acrF, mphA, bla _{TEM-1} , rmtB1, ermB aadA2, acrF, bla _{CMY-14} , blaEC, dfrA12, ermB, ftsl_N337NYRIN, gyrA, mdtM,		65/M	UTI	blaEC-8, bla _{OXA-1} , bla _{TEM-1} , catA1, catB3, dfrA1, ermB, mphA, sul2, sat2,		S	S	R	R
EC- CKD with		72/M	UTI	papG-II, papF, papC, papA, ybtQ, ybtP, bla _{CMY-42} , espX1, ftsI_N337NYRIN, astA, capU, bla _{NDM-5} , ble, sul1, qacEdelta1, aadA2, dfrA12, acrF, mphA, bla _{TEM-1} ,		R	R	R	R
	EC-	19/M	CKD with		S	S	S	R	R

1757		hydronephrosis	capU					
EC- 1758	48/M	Septic shock- UTI	aac3lle, aac6lbcr5, aadA2, acrF, blaCTX-M-15, blaEC, blaOXA, blaTEM-1,cyaA_S352T, catB3, dfrA12, ermB, emrD, glpT_E448K, gyrA, mdtM, mphA, sul1, par (C, E), fdeC, iroE, iroD, espX1, sat, iutA, iuc (A-D), qacEdelta1, iha, papA, capU, ipfA, eilA, ymgB	S	R	R	R	R
EC- 1759	67/M	UTI	$aac3IId, aac6lbcr5, aadA5, acrF, aph3Ib, aph6Id, arr, bla_{\rm CMY-4}, blaEC, bla_{\rm OXA-1}, \\ bla_{\rm TEM-1}, catB3, dfrA17, ftsl_N337NYRIN, glpT_E448K, nsfA-R203C, glpT_E448K, \\ gyrA, mdtM, mphA, sul (1, 2), par (C, E), tetB, espX1, fdeC, ybtP-Q, pco (A- E, R, S), sil (A-C, E, F, R, S), ymgB,qacEdelta1, lpfA$	S	S	R	S	S
EC- 1760	27/F	UTI	$acrF,blaEC,emr(D,E),glpT_E448K,gyrA,mdtM,pmrB,iss,ybt(P,Q),iuc(A-D),\\iutA,senB,mch(B,F),sfaF,fdeC,vactox,iro(B-E,N),ireA,pap(C,E,F),papG-II,\\hlyA-alpha,glpT_E448K,capU,iha,ymgB$	S	S	S	S	S
EC- 1761	27/F	UTI	aad (A2, A5), acrF, aph3lb, aph6ld, bla _{CTX-M-27} , blaEC, dfrA17, emrD, glpT_E448K, gyrA, mdtM, mphA, ptsl_V25l, sul (1, 2), par (C, E), pmrB, tetA, uhpT_E350Q, afaC, nfaE, fdeC, ybt (P, Q), emrE, ymgB, sat, iutA, iuc (A-D), iha, papA, emrD	S	S	S	R	S
EC- 1763	65/M	UTI	acrF, bla _{CTX-M-15} , blaEC, emr (D, E), glpT_E448K, gyrA, mdtM, pmrB, pmrB_E123D, fdeC, vactox, emrE, gyrA_S83L, glpT_E448K, senB, iro (B-E), iroN, focG, sfaF, mchF, mchB, iutA, iuc (A-D), hlyA-alpha, acrF, iha, ireA, ymgB, papH, papC, papE, papF, cnf1, ybt (P-Q), papG-II, III, iss, papA	S	S	S	R	S
EC- 1724	33/F	UTI	aadA2, acrF, rmtB1, bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{CMY-42} , bla _{NDM-5} , blaEC, bla _{TEM-1} , ftsl_N337NYRIN, ble, pmrB_Y358N, mdtM, glpT_E448K, mphA, gyrA_D87N,gyrA_S83L, parC_S80l, parE_S458A, sul1, tet (A, D), dfrA12	S	S	S	S	R
EC- 1765	24/F	UTI	acrF, pmrB_E123D, blaEC, iss, fdeC, vactox, gyrA_S83L, glpT_E448K, iro (B-E, N), sfaF, mchF, mchB, ireA, ybt (P, Q), emrE, iut (A, D), iuc (A-C). hlyAalpha, senB, iha, papH, papC, papF, papG-II, ymgB, papA, emrD	S	S	s	S	R
EC- 1768	77/M	UTI	Iss, fdeC, lpfA, uhpT_E350Q, mdtM, iro (B-E, N), iss, acrF, parE_S458A, parC_S80I, sul3, qacL, aadA1, cmlA1, aadA2, dfrA12, gyrA_D87N, gyrA_S83L, glpT_E448K, qnrS13, blaCTX-M-15, ymgB, mphA, tetA, espX1, blaEC, pmrB_Y358N	R	R	R	R	R

TABLE 2: Details of antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes, and phenotypic susceptibility results of the isolates

EC: Escherichia coli, M: male, F: female, UTI: urinary tract infection, CKD: chronic kidney disease, I: imipenem, AG: aminoglycosides, PTZ: piperacillintazobactam, CE: cephalosporins, NIT: nitrofurantoin, S: sensitive, R: resistant

Among the isolates, 26 (86.66%) had revealed the presence of multiple antibiotic-resistant genes with evidence of at least one gene coding for beta-lactamase resistance. The genes identified in this study were $bla_{\rm CTXM-15}$ (19/30, 63.33%), $bla_{\rm CTXM-27}$ (2/30, 6.66%), $bla_{\rm CMY-2}$ (1/30, 3.33%), $bla_{\rm CMY-4}$ (1/30, 3.33%), $bla_{\rm CMY-42}$ (6/30, 20%), $bla_{\rm CMY-145}$ (1/30, 3.33%), $bla_{\rm CMY-145}$ (1/30, 3.33%), $bla_{\rm CMY-145}$ (1/30, 3.33%), $bla_{\rm CMY-145}$ (1/30, 3.33%), $bla_{\rm CMY-16}$ (10/30, 33.33%), $bla_{\rm TEM-178}$ (1/30, 3.33%), and $bla_{\rm NDM-5}$ (3/30, 10%). Serotyping of the isolates showed the presence of serotype O25 (6, 20%), which was the predominant, followed by serotypes O102 (4, 13.33%), O4 (4, 13.33%), O101 (2, 6.66%), O1 (2, 6.66%), O8 (2, 6.66%), O89 (2, 6.66%), O54 (1, 3.33%), O188 (1, 3.33%), O75 (1, 3.33%), O11 (1, 3.33%), O153 (1, 3.33%), O100 (1, 3.33%), O9 (1, 3.33%), and O16 (1, 3.33%). Among the isolates, a majority belonged to serotype H4 (07, 23.33%) and H6 (7, 23.33%), followed by H9 (3, 10%), H5 (3, 10%), H21 (3, 10%), and H1 (3, 10%). Other H serotypes identified included H28 (1, 3.33%), H30 (1, 3.33%), H18 (1, 3.33%), and H23 (1, 3.33%). The *E. coli* serotype found predominantly belonged to O25:H4 (5, 16.66%), followed by O102:H6 (4, 13.33%), O4:H1 (3, 10%), O89:H9 (2, 6.66%), O1:H6 (2, 6.66%), O8:H21 (2, 6.66%), O101:H9 (1, 3.33%), O54:H28 (1, 3.33%), O199:H4 (1, 3.33%), O75:H5 (1, 3.33%), O101:H21 (1, 3.33%), O11:H30 (1, 3.33%), O16:H5 (1, 3.33%), O4:H5 (1, 3.33%), and O9:H23 (1, 3.33%) as shown in Table 3.

Oterator	Serotype	
Strain	O (cell wall/somatic antigen)	H (flagellar antigen)
EC-249	O101	Н9
EC-250	O25	H4
EC-251	O25	H4
EC-252	O54	H28
EC-254	O25	H4
EC-255	O25	H4
EC-256	O188	H4
EC-258	01	Н6
EC-259	O25	H4
EC-260	O75	H5
EC-261	O101	H21
EC-262	01	Н6
EC-263	O102	Н6
EC-266	04	H1
EC-1216	O102	H6
EC-1217	O8	H21
EC-1218	O102	H6
EC-1219	O102	Н6
EC-1221	O25	H4
EC-1244	011	H30
EC-1756	O89	Н9
EC-1757	O89	Н9
EC-1758	O153	H6
EC-1759	08	H21
EC-1760	O4	H1
EC-1761	O16	Н5
EC-1763	O4	Н5
EC-1724	O100	H18
EC-1765	O4	H1
EC-1768	O9	H23

TABLE 3: Serotypes of the E. coli strains

EC: Escherichia coli, E. coli. Escherichia coli

The functions of the housekeeping genes based on which the MLST was carried out and the plasmid replicons identified among the isolates are shown in Table 4.

Virulence gene/housekeeping gene	Function	Usefulness		
adk	Adenylate kinase: catalyzes conversion between adenylate nucleotides	Required for growth and survival		
fumC	Fumarase: oxidative TCA cycle enzyme	Probably causes fitness defects in the bladder and kidneys, facilitating UTI		
icd	Isocitrate dehydrogenase	Essential for cell growth and energy production		
mdh	Malate dehydrogenase	Adaptation of bacteria to the environment (aerobic and anaerobic) and cell growth		
purA	Adenylosuccinate synthetase	Invasive properties		
recA	DNA recombination/repair protein	Protects against oxidative damage in host cells		
IncB, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, IncB/O/K/Z, IncY, p0001	Incompatibility (Inc) group	Carry drug resistance genes		
Col156, col(MG828), col8282, colBS512, colRNAI	Col-like plasmid replicons	Carry drug resistance genes		

TABLE 4: Resistance genes and plasmid replicons along with their functions

Col: colicinogenic plasmid, TCA: tricarboxylic acid cycle, DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid

A total of 16 MLST variants were identified among the analyzed samples. Of the MLST types identified, ST-131 (7, 23.33%) was the predominant one, followed by ST-167 (3, 10%), ST-12 (3. 10%), ST-5954 (3, 10%), ST-648 (2, 6.66%), ST-410 (2, 6.66%), ST-156 (1, 3.33%), ST-448 (1, 3.33%), ST-14 (1, 3.33%), ST-1284 (1, 3.33%), ST-405 (1, 3.33%), ST-3881 (1, 3.33%), ST-2851, ST-827 (1, 3.33%), and ST-2006 (1, 3.33%) as shown in Table 5.

Strain	MLST type	Housekeeping genes	Plasmid replicons
EC- 249	ST-167	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncB
EC- 250	ST-131	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 251	ST-131	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	Col156, IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 252	ST-156	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 254	ST-131	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 255	ST-131	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	Col156, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 256	ST-448	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	ColBS512, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 258	ST-648	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	ColBS512, ColMG828, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, IncI1
EC- 259	ST-131	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 260	Single locus variant of ST-14	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	Col156, ColRNAI, ColBS512, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, IncI1
EC- 261	ST-1284	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	ColKP3, ColRNAI, ColBS512, ColpVC, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, IncI1, IncX3

EC- 262	ST-648	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	Col8282, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 263	ST-405	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	ColRNAI, ColBS512, ColMG828, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, IncI1, IncX1
EC- 266	ST-12	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 1216	ST-5954	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	ColMG828, IncFIA, IncFII, p01111
EC- 1217	ST-410	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	Col156, ColRNAI, ColBS512, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, IncI1
EC- 1218	ST-5954	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	ColBS512, ColMG828, IncFIA, IncFII, p0111
EC- 1219	ST-5954	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	ColMG828, IncFIA, IncFII, p01111
EC- 1221	ST-131	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	Col156, ColRNAI, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII
EC- 1244	ST-38	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIB, IncFII, IncX4
EC- 1756	ST-167	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	Col(BS512), Col440I, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, IncI (Gamma), IncX4
EC- 1757	ST-167	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIA, IncFIB (AP001918), IncFII, IncI (Gamma)
EC- 1758	ST-8881	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIA, IncFIB (AP001918), IncFII (pRSB107)
EC- 1759	ST-410	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncB/O/K/Z, IncFIA, IncFIB (AP001918), IncFII (pAMA1167-NDM-5), IncI (Gamma)
EC- 1760	ST-12	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIB (AP001918), IncFII
EC- 1761	ST-131	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIA, IncFIB(AP001918), IncFII(pRSB107)
EC- 1763	ST-12	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncFIB(AP001918), IncFII
EC- 1724	ST-2851	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	Col (MG828), Col (pHAD28), IncF1A, IncF1B (pNDM-Mar), IncFII, IncHI1B (pNDM-MAR), Incl (Gamma)
EC- 1765	ST-827	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	IncB/O/K/Z, IncFIB(AP001918), IncFII
EC- 1768	2006	adk, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA, recA	Col156, ColRNAI, IncFII(pCoo), IncFIB (AP001918), IncI(Gamma), IncY

TABLE 5: Sequence types and plasmid replicons identified among the isolates

EC: Escherichia coli, MLST: multilocus sequence typing, ST: sequence type, Inc: incompatibility group, Col: colicinogenic plasmid

Discussion

Among the several public health concerns encountered globally, resistance of microbes to antimicrobial agents has become a tough nut to crack. AMR could be attributed to factors such as irrational and indiscriminate use of antimicrobial agents and lack of newer and more efficient drugs being developed by pharmaceutical industries owing to the high cost associated with drug development. Antibiotic use began with penicillin during the Second World War (1940). However, slowly and gradually, bacterial species resistant to penicillin emerged. This led to using newer penicillins such as methicillin and other antibiotics

such as tetracyclines, erythromycin, and aminoglycosides such as gentamicin. Later, cephalosporins, including ceftazidime, were prescribed to treat bacterial infections. However, due to irresponsible prescription practices, bacterial species resistant to most of antimicrobial agents started to emerge, and such bacterial species were labeled as MDR, pan-drug-resistant (PDR), and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) bacteria [15].

MDR strains are identified based on the resistance shown by bacteria to at least one drug under three or more antimicrobial agent categories. When a bacterium is found resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent in most categories, it is identified as an XDR bacterium. A bacterial species showing resistance to all the antibiotics under different categories is labeled PDR bacteria [16].

Increased AMR has resulted in the rise of morbidity and mortality among affected patients. Additionally, AMR could cause an economic burden on patients attributed to extended hospital stays and prolonged antibiotic use [17].

Beta-lactamase resistance genes

Enterobacteriaceae members, including $E.\ coli$, are noted to develop intrinsic resistance to antibiotics and transferable resistance (chromosomes and plasmids). This forces us to monitor the presence of AMR genes among bacterial isolates. Intrinsic resistance was attributed to AmpC-beta-lactamase and broad-spectrum beta-lactamases such as $bla_{\text{TEM-1}}$, $bla_{\text{TEM-2}}$, $bla_{\text{SHY-1}}$, and $bla_{\text{OXA-1}}$ [18].

The beta-lactam group of antibiotics are those antibiotics that interfere with cell wall synthesis. Penicillin, ampicillin, and amoxicillin are a few examples of antibiotics that possess a beta-lactam ring. However, bacterial species have been able to counter the activities of beta-lactam antibiotics by producing beta-lactamase enzymes that catalyze beta-lactam antibiotics, thereby inactivating them. Considering this, scientists have developed beta-lactamase inhibitors that could be combined with beta-lactam antibiotics, which enables them to counter the activities of beta-lactamases produced by bacteria. Amoxycillin is a beta-lactam antibiotic when combined with beta-lactamase inhibitors such as clavulanic acid to counter the beta-lactamases produced by bacteria.

Over time, bacteria have acquired the ability to survive the action of beta-lactam antibiotics. Infections due to these bacteria were treated by antibiotics such as cephalosporins. Further, a few bacterial species started showing resistance to the penicillin group and the narrow and broad-spectrum cephalosporin group of antibiotics. Such bacteria were labeled as extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing bacterial species. It was identified that bacteria develop resistance to lower cephalosporins such as cefazolin and cephalothin consisting of genes such as Temoniera-1 (TEM-1) and TEM-2. Following the availability of higher cephalosporins such as cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, and ceftazidime, among others, with broad-spectrum activities, bacteria with TEM-1 and TEM-2 were inhibited. Later, bacteria with lowered susceptibility to broad-spectrum cephalosporins with oxyimino side chain started to appear, which were found to possess the sulfhydryl variable (SHV) gene [19].

Beta-lactamases were classified into four types (A, B, C, and D), wherein class A, C, and D were identified as serine beta-lactamases and class B was labeled as metallo-beta-lactamases. More ESBLs have been identified in bacteria, which included AmpC, hydrolysis of cefotaxime Munich (CTX-M), oxacillin hydrolyzing type (OXA), complex mutant derived from TEM (CMT), inhibitor-resistant TEM (IRT), *Pseudomonas* extended resistance (PER), Vietnamese ESBL (VEB), Guiana extended spectrum (GES), Belgium ESBL (BEL), *Serratia fonticola* (SFO), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (OXY), and others [20].

Among the different classes of beta-lactamases, class A (Serratia marcescens enzyme (SME), imipenemhydrolyzing beta-lactamase (IMI), not metalloenzyme carbapenemase (NMC), GES, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) families), B (active on imipenem (IMP), Verona integron-encoded metallo-beta-lactamase (VIM), and New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM)), and D (OXA) are known to develop resistance to penicillin, cephalosporins, monobactams, and carbapenem group antibiotics. Class B beta-lactamases known as metallo-beta-lactamases have a zinc moiety [21-23].

A high prevalence of $bla_{\rm CTX-M}$ (19, 63.33%) type ESBL was witnessed in the current study. A similar finding was reported from Bangladesh wherein E.~coli isolated in extraintestinal specimens demonstrated $bla_{\rm CTX-M}$ prevalence of 52% [24]. The study noticed a lower prevalence of $bla_{\rm TEM}$ (20%) and $bla_{\rm OXA-1}$ (17%) compared to the present study results, wherein $bla_{\rm TEM}$ and $bla_{\rm OXA-1}$ showed a higher prevalence of 36.66% (11/30). Virulence determinants such as iutA that define extraintestinal pathogenic E.~coli (ExPEC) were demonstrated in a high (62%) number of strains compared to our study (5, 16.66%) [24].

Results of genomic analysis showed $bla_{\rm TEM-1}$ (100%), $bla_{\rm CTX-M-15}$ (16%), and $bla_{\rm CMY-42}$ (3%) in the *E. coli* isolated from river water in Delhi, India [25]. In the present study, we found $bla_{\rm TEM-1}$ (11, 36.66%), $bla_{\rm CTX-M-15}$ (19, 63.33%), and $bla_{\rm CMY-42}$ (6, 20%). Most isolates produced $bla_{\rm CTX-M}$ ESBL, and many belonged to ST-

131, as noticed in the present study. Three (10%) isolates showed the presence of $bla_{\text{NDM-5}}$ in the present study. In previous studies from China that evaluated carbapenem-resistant *E. coli* strains, 64% revealed the presence of the $bla_{\text{NDM-5}}$ gene, and a predominance of $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$ -carrying ST-131 was noticed [26,27].

The most common STs identified in our study were ST-131 (7/30), followed by ST-167 (3/30), ST-12 (3/30), and ST-5954 (3/30). Results from a previous Indian study showed a predominance of ST-167, followed by ST405 and ST410 [28].

ST-131 (O25:H4)

ST-131 clones were first identified in 2003. However, they became highly prevalent strains of ExPEC by the year 2008. These *E. coli* strains have become prominent due to their ability to carry the *bla*_{CTX-M-15} gene and demonstrate ESBL activities. Among extraintestinal infections, *E. coli* li is the primary cause of UTIs. It was also observed that most ST-131 *E. coli* demonstrate the same serotype (O25:H4). This finding was also confirmed by the results of the current study, wherein 85.71% (6 out of 7) of the ST-131 *E. coli* showed an O25:H4 serotype. There was one ST-131 that revealed the O16:H5 serotype, which was first identified in Japan in 2012 and later in other countries [29].

The ST-131 cone was also identified as being responsible for recurrent UTIs. Moreover, ST-131 was frequently associated with hospital- and community-acquired infections. Colonization in animals and birds and MDR were a few characteristic features established in ST-131 clones [30].

ST-167 (O89:H9-2, O101:H9-1)

The first report of $bla_{\text{NDM-1}}$ carriage among ST-167 strains was noticed in China. The ST-167 was found to carry $bla_{\text{NDM-5}}$ genes among human and dog isolates [31-33]. These reports suggest the potential for ST-167 to carry antimicrobial resistance genes that contribute to MDR. Additionally, a recent study performed WGS and identified the conjugative plasmid present in ST-167 that carried $bla_{\text{NDM-5}}$ [34].

Escherichia coli ST-167 was identified as a predominant clone that carried carbapenem resistance through conjugative plasmids (IncFII and IncX3, IS26, and Tn3) that can potentially contribute to horizontal gene transfer [35]. More numbers (12/50, 24%) of E. coli ST-167 strains acquired from hospitalized patients, mostly isolated from urine, were noted to carry $bla_{\rm NDM}$ genes on conjugative plasmids (IncF, IncX, and IncH) [36].

ST-12 (O4:H1-two, O4:H5-one)

In the current study, this ST was found to carry several resistance and virulence genes such as acrF, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$, bla_{EC} , emr (D, E), $glpT_E448K$, mdtM, iss, ybt (P, Q), iuc (A-D), iutA, senB, much (B, F), sfaF, fdeC, vactox, iro (B-E, N), ireA, pap (C, E, F), papG-II, hlyA-alpha, $glpT_E448K$, capU, iha, ymgB, bla_{EC} -F, 16SrrsB, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), and rpoB, as well as plasmids such as IncFII and IncFIB (AP001918). This ST was among the dominant types isolated from dogs in Spain. Among the virulence genes identified, several were identified in the present study, such as iutA, sat, iss, and papC [37].

ST-410 (O8:H21)

This strain type was previously described as a high-risk variant that can develop antimicrobial resistance and high virulence capabilities such as E. coli ST-131. In this study, two isolates belonging to ST-410 (2/30, 6.66%) were recognized. Further, both these STs belonged to the O8:H21 serotype [38].

MLST of *E. coli* has been a familiar ST known to carry drug resistance genes that make it MDR. These strains can harbor the resistance genes and potentially transmit the drug resistance genes, intraspecies and interspecies. This is evident from a recent report that demonstrated the transfer of the *bla*_{KPC-2} gene from *E. coli* ST-410 to *Klebsiella pneumoniae* through *IncX3* plasmids [39].

ST-648 (O1:H6)

In the current study, this ST was noted to carry $bla_{\text{CMY-42}}$, $bla_{\text{CXA-1}}$, and $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$. This ST was previously identified in birds, dogs, cats, and horses [40,41]. ST-648 strain with O1:H6 serotype was also identified in UTI-causing E. coli isolates from Brazil [42]. This ST was observed among E. coli isolates from wastewater pumps of the community. Similar to the results of this study, plasmid Col8282 and $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$ resistance genes were observed [43]. ST-648 was the second most common ST identified with an O1:H6 serotype (5.6%) combination, second to ST-131 [44]. ST-648 was among the predominant STs noted from E. coli isolated from human feces, sewage, and foodstuffs in England. Most of these isolates were noted to carry $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$ [45].

It was confirmed that ST-648 does not possess any specific host affliction. However, ST-648 was frequently

associated with MDR and high virulence capabilities, including biofilm formation with the potential to cause invasive infections such as bacteremia [46].

ST-405 (O102:H6)

In the present study, only one ST-405 was identified. Additionally, this ST carried several resistance and virulence genes such as aac3Ile, aac30, aac6Ib, aac6Ibcr5, $bla_{\rm CMY-42}$, $bla_{\rm CTX-M-15}$, $bla_{\rm EC-8}$, $bla_{\rm OXA-1}$, $bla_{\rm TEM-178}$, catB3, qnrS1, tetB, 16SrrsH, 23S-123S, folP, gyr (A, B), par (C, E), pmr (A, B), and rpoB. It was observed in a previous study that this ST was highly pathogenic and was a frequent cause of urosepsis. Urosepsis is an invasion of bacteria causing UTI into the blood, resulting in bacteremia. Additionally, this strain was noted to carry resistance genes, including $bla_{\rm CTX-M-15}$, enabling them to become MDR [47].

This MLST with the same combination of serotype (O102:H6) was isolated from a patient suffering from septicemia in Mozambique. Moreover, WGS revealed that this strain carried $bla_{\rm NDM}$ gene alongside $bla_{\rm CTX-M-15}$, $bla_{\rm TEM-1}$, aadA2, sul1, gyrA, parC, parE, and dfrA12 genes [48]. A previous study identified plasmid replicons (*IncFIB*, *IncFII*, *IncFIA*, *IncI1*, *IncX1*, *IncFIC*, and *Col*) that could carry resistance and virulence genes in ST-405 [49].

In the present study, ST-405 was found to carry plasmid replicons, including *ColRNAI*, *ColBS512*, *ColMG828*, *IncFIA*, *IncFIB*, *IncFII*, *IncII*1, and *IncX1*.

Other STs identified in the present study that are scarcely reported in the literature

ST-5954 (O102:H6)

Two out of the three strains (66.66%) from this ST were noted to carry bla_{NDM-5} genes. This ST accounted for 10% (3/30) of the strains in the present study. This ST revealed the presence of plasmid genes such as ColMG828, ColMG828, IncFIA, IncFII, and p0111. Among the genes responsible for ESBL, this isolate showed the presence of bla_{AFM-1} , $bla_{CTX-M-15}$, bla_{EC-8} , bla_{OXA-1} , bla_{TEM-1} , and bla_{NDM-5} genes, among others.

ST-1284 (O101:H21)

In the present study, this ST revealed the presence of several plasmid genes such as ColKP3, ColRNAI, ColBS512, ColpVC, IncFIA, IncFIB, IncFII, IncII, and IncX3. Among the genes responsible for ESBL, this isolate showed the presence of $bla_{\rm CTX-M-15}$, blaEC-18, and $bla_{\rm TEM-1}$ genes, among others.

ST-156 (O54:H28)

In the present study, this ST revealed the presence of plasmid genes such as IncFIB and IncFII. Among the genes responsible for ESBL, this isolate showed the presence of $bla_{\rm CMY-42}$, $bla_{\rm EC-15}$, and $bla_{\rm OXA-181}$ genes, among others.

ST-2851 (O100:H18)

This ST demonstrated the presence of $bla_{\text{CTX-M-}15}$, $bla_{\text{CMY-}42}$, $bla_{\text{NDM-}5}$, bla_{EC} , and $bla_{\text{TEM-}1}$ genes, among others. The plasmid replicons identified included Col~(MG828), Col~(pHAD28), IncF1A, IncF1B~(pNDM-Mar), IncFII, IncHI1B~(pNDM-MAR), and IncI~(Gamma).

ST-827 (O4:H1)

In the present study, this ST revealed the presence of plasmid genes such as *IncB/O/K/Z*, *IncFIB (AP001918)*, and *IncFII*. The genes responsible for ESBL were not identified in this isolate.

ST-2006 (O9:H23)

This ST demonstrated the presence of $bla_{\text{CTX-M-}15}$ and bla_{EC} genes, among others. The plasmid replicons identified included Col156, ColRNAI, IncFII (pCoo), IncFIB (AP001918), IncI (Gamma), and IncY.

ST-448 (O188:H4)

 ${\it ColBS512, IncFIA, IncFIB, and IncFII} \ were the plasmid replicons identified in this ST. Only \it bla EC-16 \ was noticed that coded for cephalosporin resistance.$

ST-8881 (O153:H6)

In the present study, this ST revealed the presence of several plasmid genes such as IncFIA, IncFIB (AP001918), and IncFII (pRSB107). Among the genes responsible for ESBL, this isolate showed the presence of $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$, bla_{EC} , bla_{OXA} , and $bla_{\text{TEM-1}}$ genes, among others.

ST-38 (O11:H30)

In the present study, this ST revealed the presence of several plasmid genes such as IncFIB, IncFII, and IncX4. Among the genes responsible for ESBL, this isolate showed the presence of $bla_{CTX-M-15}$, bla_{EC-8} , bla_{OXA-1} , and bla_{TEM-1} genes, among others.

Single Locus Variant of ST-14 (O75:H5)

In the present study, this ST revealed the presence of several plasmid genes such as *Col156*, *ColRNAI*, *ColBS512*, *IncFIA*, *IncFIB*, *IncFII*, and *IncII*. Among the genes responsible for ESBL, this isolate showed the presence of *bla*_{CMY-2} and *bla*EC-5 genes, among others.

Study limitations

This study analyzed a few *E. coli* strains isolated from patients with UTIs admitted to a tertiary care teaching hospital. The study also did not compare the phenotypic resistance characteristics of the individual isolates with the genes identified through WGS. Besides, the study did not carry out phylogenetic analysis and clade categorization/identification of the isolates. This study did not explore the carriage of resistance and virulence genes on specific plasmid replicons and their origins.

Conclusions

The results of this study demonstrate that *E. coli* isolated from people suffering from UTIs carry several genes coding for drug resistance and other genes for virulence. This could enable them to gain MDR and become invasive, thereby contributing to severe morbidity and mortality among the affected population. Additionally, the *E. coli* analyzed in this study demonstrated plasmid genes that have an increased potential to carry drug-resistant genes, which can be transmitted to other strains through horizontal or vertical routes. The results of this study emphasize the role of WGS in understanding the genetic characteristics of bacteria and predicting the emergence of antimicrobial resistance. Data generated from such studies require elaborate analysis before being applied to make decisions regarding the use of antimicrobial agents, thereby preventing future emergences of MDR strains. Further, these decisions can minimize treatment failures stemming from infections caused by the MDR bacterial strains.

Additional Information

Author Contributions

All authors have reviewed the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work

Concept and design: Venkataramana Kandi, Tarun Kumar Suvvari, Praveen R. Shahapur, Vallab Ganesh Bharadwai

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Venkataramana Kandi, Tarun Kumar Suvvari, Praveen R. Shahapur, Vallab Ganesh Bharadwaj, Eswar Podaralla, Roopa Shahapur, Chitra Rajalakshmi P, Vikram

Drafting of the manuscript: Venkataramana Kandi, Tarun Kumar Suvvari, Praveen R. Shahapur, Vallab Ganesh Bharadwaj

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Venkataramana Kandi, Tarun Kumar Suvvari, Praveen R. Shahapur, Vallab Ganesh Bharadwaj, Eswar Podaralla, Roopa Shahapur, Chitra Rajalakshmi P, Vikram Godishala

Supervision: Venkataramana Kandi

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. The Institutional Ethics Committee of Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar, issued approval IEC/PIMS/2019-001-01112019. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the

following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences has made a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with Dr. Venkataramana Kandi, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, to collaborate under the project supported by the Global Health Research Unit (GHRU), United Kingdom.

References

- Haney EF, Hancock RE: Addressing antibiotic failure-beyond genetically encoded antimicrobial resistance.
 Front Drug Discov. 2022, 2:892975. 10.3389/fddsv.2022.892975
- Zhou S, Barbosa C, Woods RJ: Why is preventing antibiotic resistance so hard? Analysis of failed resistance management. Evol Med Public Health. 2020, 2020:102-8. 10.1093/emph/eoaa020
- 3. Mueller M, Tainter CR: Escherichia coli infection. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island, FL; 2024.
- Giuliano C, Patel CR, Kale-Pradhan PB: A guide to bacterial culture identification and results interpretation. P T. 2019, 44:192-200.
- Baron EJ, Finegold SM: Overview of conventional methods for bacterial identification. Bailey and Scott's diagnostic microbiology. Forbes BA, Finegold DE, Weissfeld AS (ed): Mosby Publishers, St. Louis, MO; 1998.
 167.
- Collee JG, Miles RS, Watt B: Tests for the identification of bacteria. Mackie & McCartney practical medical microbiology, 14th edition. Collee JG, Marmion BP, Fraser AG, Simmons A (ed): Churchill Livingstone, New York, USA; 1996. 131-51.
- Karah N, Rafei R, Elamin W, Ghazy A, Abbara A, Hamze M, Uhlin BE: Guideline for urine culture and biochemical identification of bacterial urinary pathogens in low-resource settings. Diagnostics (Basel). 2020, 10:10.3390/diagnostics10100832
- Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 30th edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA; 2020.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, et al.: SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. 2012, 19:455-77. 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021
- Seemann T: Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics. 2014, 30:2068-9. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153
- Low AJ, Koziol AG, Manninger PA, Blais B, Carrillo CD: ConFindr: rapid detection of intraspecies and crossspecies contamination in bacterial whole-genome sequence data. PeerJ. 2019, 7:e6995. 10.7717/peerj.6995
- Hunt M, Mather AE, Sánchez-Busó L, Page AJ, Parkhill J, Keane JA, Harris SR: ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from sequencing reads. Microb Genom. 2017, 3:e000131. 10.1099/mgen.0.000131
- Jung Y, Han D: BWA-MEME: BWA-MEM emulated with a machine learning approach. Bioinformatics. 2022, 38:2404-13. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btac137
- Marshall CR, Chowdhury S, Taft RJ, et al.: Best practices for the analytical validation of clinical wholegenome sequencing intended for the diagnosis of germline disease. NPJ Genom Med. 2020, 5:47. 10.1038/s41525-020-00154-9
- 15. Ventola CL: The antibiotic resistance crisis: part 1: causes and threats . P T. 2015, 40:277-83.
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al.: Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrugresistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012, 18:268-81. 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
- Friedman ND, Temkin E, Carmeli Y: The negative impact of antibiotic resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2016, 22:416-22. 10.1016/j.cmi.2015.12.002
- Susić E: [Mechanisms of resistance in Enterobacteriaceae towards beta-lactamase antibiotics]. Acta Med Croatica. 2004, 58:307-12.
- Bush K, Bradford PA: B-lactams and β-lactamase inhibitors: an overview. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2016. 6:10.1101/cshperspect.a025247
- Paterson DL, Bonomo RA: Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: a clinical update. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2005, 18:657-86. 10.1128/CMR.18.4.657-686.2005
- Queenan AM, Bush K: Carbapenemases: the versatile beta-lactamases. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2007, 20:440-58.
 10.1128/CMR 00001-07
- Cui X, Zhang H, Du H: Carbapenemases in Enterobacteriaceae: detection and antimicrobial therapy. Front Microbiol. 2019, 10:1823. 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01823
- 23. Sawa T, Kooguchi K, Moriyama K: Molecular diversity of extended-spectrum β-lactamases and carbapenemases, and antimicrobial resistance. J Intensive Care. 2020, 8:13. 10.1186/s40560-020-0429-6
- 24. Mazumder R, Abdullah A, Ahmed D, Hussain A: High prevalence of blaCTX-M-15 gene among extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing Escherichia coli isolates causing extraintestinal infections in Bangladesh. Antibiotics (Basel). 2020, 9:10.3390/antibiotics9110796
- Singh NS, Singhal N, Virdi JS: Genetic environment of bla(TEM-1), bla(CTX-M-15), bla(CMY-42) and characterization of integrons of Escherichia coli isolated from an Indian urban aquatic environment. Front Microbiol. 2018, 9:382. 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00382
- Zhang L, Lü X, Zong Z: The emergence of blaCTX-M-15-carrying Escherichia coli of ST131 and new sequence types in Western China. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2013, 12:35. 10.1186/1476-0711-12-35
- Sun P, Xia W, Liu G, et al.: Characterization of bla (NDM-5)-positive Escherichia coli prevalent in a university hospital in eastern China. Infect Drug Resist. 2019, 12:3029-38. 10.2147/IDR.S225546
- Devanga Ragupathi NK, Veeraraghavan B, Muthuirulandi Sethuvel DP, et al.: First Indian report on genomewide comparison of multidrug-resistant Escherichia coli from blood stream infections. PLoS One. 2020, 15:e0220428. 10.1371/journal.pone.0220428

- Nicolas-Chanoine MH, Bertrand X, Madec JY: Escherichia coli ST131, an intriguing clonal group. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2014. 27:543-74. 10.1128/CMR.00125-13
- Forde BM, Roberts LW, Phan MD, et al.: Population dynamics of an Escherichia coli ST131 lineage during recurrent urinary tract infection. Nat Commun. 2019, 10:3643. 10.1038/s41467-019-11571-5
- Shen P, Yi M, Fu Y, Ruan Z, Du X, Yu Y, Xie X: Detection of an Escherichia coli sequence type 167 strain with two tandem copies of blaNDM-1 in the chromosome. J Clin Microbiol. 2017, 55:199-205.
 10.1128/JCM.01581-16
- 32. Garcia-Fernandez A, Villa L, Bibbolino G, et al.: Novel insights and features of the NDM-5-producing Escherichia coli sequence type 167 high-risk clone. mSphere. 2020, 5:10.1128/mSphere.00269-20
- Alba P, Taddei R, Cordaro G, et al.: Carbapenemase IncF-borne bla(NDM-5) gene in the E. coli ST167 highrisk clone from canine clinical infection, Italy. Vet Microbiol. 2021, 256:109045.
 10.1016/j.vetmic.2021.109045
- 34. Pan S, Liu S, Tai S, Yu J, Yuan E, Duan Y: Genomic analysis of an Escherichia coli sequence type 167 isolate harboring a multidrug-resistant conjugative plasmid, suggesting the potential transmission of the type strains from animals to humans. Infect Drug Resist. 2023, 16:5077-84. 10.2147/IDR.S420635
- Farzana R, Jones LS, Rahman MA, et al.: Genomic insights into the mechanism of carbapenem resistance dissemination in Enterobacterales from a tertiary public heath setting in South Asia. Clin Infect Dis. 2023, 76:119-33. 10.1093/cid/ciac287
- Zou H, Jia X, Liu H, Li S, Wu X, Huang S: Emergence of NDM-5-producing Escherichia coli in a teaching hospital in Chongqing, China: INCF-type plasmids may contribute to the prevalence of bla (NDM-) (5).
 Front Microbiol. 2020. 11:334. 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00334
- 37. Flament-Simon SC, Toro M, García V, et al.: Molecular characteristics of extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli (ExPEC), uropathogenic E. coli (UPEC), and multidrug resistant E. coli isolated from healthy dogs in Spain. Whole genome sequencing of canine ST372 isolates and comparison with human isolates causing extraintestinal infections. Microorganisms. 2020, 8:10.3390/microorganisms8111712
- Roer L, Overballe-Petersen S, Hansen F, et al.: Escherichia coli sequence type 410 is causing new international high-risk clones. mSphere. 2018, 3:10.1128/mSphere.00337-18
- Lee M, Choi TJ: Species transferability of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase-2 isolated from a high-risk clone of Escherichia coli ST410. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2020, 30:974-81. 10.4014/jmb.1912.12049
- Ewbank AC, Fuentes-Castillo D, Sacristán C, et al.: World Health Organization critical priority Escherichia coli clone ST648 in magnificent frigatebird (Fregata magnificens) of an uninhabited insular environment. Front Microbiol. 2022, 13:940600. 10.3389/fmicb.2022.940600
- 41. Ewers C, Bethe A, Stamm I, et al.: CTX-M-15-D-ST648 Escherichia coli from companion animals and horses: another pandemic clone combining multiresistance and extraintestinal virulence?. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014. 69:1224-30. 10.1093/jac/dkt516
- Campos AC, Andrade NL, Ferdous M, et al.: Comprehensive molecular characterization of Escherichia coli isolates from urine samples of hospitalized patients in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Front Microbiol. 2018, 9:243. 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00243
- 43. Paulshus E, Thorell K, Guzman-Otazo J, et al.: Repeated isolation of extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-positive Escherichia coli sequence types 648 and 131 from community wastewater indicates that sewage systems are important sources of emerging clones of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019, 63:10.1128/AAC.00823-19
- 44. Medugu N, Aworh MK, Iregbu K, et al.: Molecular characterization of multi drug resistant Escherichia coli isolates at a tertiary hospital in Abuja, Nigeria. Sci Rep. 2022, 12:14822. 10.1038/s41598-022-19289-z
- Day MJ, Hopkins KL, Wareham DW, et al.: Extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli in human-derived and foodchain-derived samples from England, Wales, and Scotland: an epidemiological surveillance and typing study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019, 19:1325-35. 10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30273-7
- Schaufler K, Semmler T, Wieler LH, et al.: Genomic and functional analysis of emerging virulent and multidrug-resistant Escherichia coli lineage sequence type 648. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019, 63:10.1128/AAC.00243-19
- Roy Chowdhury P, McKinnon J, Liu M, Djordjevic SP: Multidrug resistant uropathogenic Escherichia coli ST405 with a novel, composite IS26 transposon in a unique chromosomal location. Front Microbiol. 2018, 9:3212. 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03212
- Sumbana JJ, Santona A, Fiamma M, et al.: Extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli ST405 isolate coharboring blaNDM-5 and blaCTXM-15: a new threat in Mozambique. Microb Drug Resist. 2021, 27:1633-40. 10.1089/mdr.2020.0334
- Gaviria LP, Montsant L, Azuaje C, et al.: A descriptive analysis of urinary ESBL-producing-Escherichia coli in Cerdanya Hospital. Microorganisms. 2022, 10:10.3390/microorganisms10030488