Cureus

Received 02/15/2024 Review began 02/22/2024 Review ended 02/26/2024 Published 03/03/2024

© Copyright 2024

Rajaraman et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Evaluation of Corrosive Properties of Hafnium Nitride Coating Over Titanium Screws: An In Vitro Study

Vaishnavi Rajaraman ¹, Padma Ariga ¹, Karthikeyan Ramalingam ², Saravanan Sekaran ¹

1. Prosthodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, IND 2. Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, IND

Corresponding author: Vaishnavi Rajaraman, vaishnavir.sdc@saveetha.com

Abstract

Background

Varied surface coatings have been studied time and again in medical sciences. Whether general or dental, studying the performance of coatings aims to assess their potential to improve the durability and longevity of titanium implants, thereby advancing implant technology for enhanced patient outcomes. Various analytical techniques are utilized to assess the performance of the coating, providing insights into its effectiveness in preventing corrosion. The findings of this evaluation will contribute to our understanding of corrosion mitigation strategies for titanium implants and pave the way for the development of more durable implant materials. This article aims to evaluate the corrosion resistance of an innovative metal compound coating applied over titanium implants.

Materials and methods

In this study, a total of 20 medical-grade, commercially pure titanium screws were collected. The dimensions of the titanium screws were 2mm x 7mm. Around 10 of these commercially pure titanium screw samples were used as the control group. Hafnium nitride (HfN) (0.1 M) was mixed with 100% ethanol and stirred using a glass rod for about 48 hours. Then 10 of the implant screw samples were immersed in the prepared sol and sintered at 400° C for two hours. The HfN-coated samples were then used as the test group. The corrosion resistance of both groups was tested using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and potentiodynamic polarization studies. The Nyquist, Bode impedance, and Bode phase angle plots were obtained and studied.

Results

Using the Stern-Geary equation, the corrosion current density was calculated. On analysis, these values indicated that the higher impedance in HfN-coated titanium screws showed higher mean corrosion potential ($E_{corr} = -0.452$ V) and corrosion current density ($i_{corr} = 0.0354 \mu$ A/cm²) than the uncoated titanium screws.

Conclusion

It was concluded that the corrosion properties of HfN-coated titanium screws had higher impedance and consequently the highest corrosion resistance. This thereby provides a promising scope for further research of this novel metal coating for use in the biomedical sectors, specifically for dental implants.

Categories: Dentistry, Healthcare Technology Keywords: titanium, uncoated, polarization, impedance, hafnium nitride, corrosion, coated

Introduction

Titanium screws are commonly employed in prosthetic surgeries due to their excellent mechanical and biological properties [1,2]. Although titanium is considered the gold standard to date in dental implantology, researchers keep exploring alternative biomaterials. However, concerns persist regarding their susceptibility to corrosion in physiological environments, which can compromise implant stability and long-term performance [3,4]. One such approach involves the application of specialized metal coatings on the implant surface, which can provide a protective barrier against corrosive environments [5,6].

The use of surface coatings to enhance the corrosion resistance of metallic implants has garnered significant interest in biomedical applications. The element, Hafnium, belongs to period 6 in the periodic table, similar to gold standard titanium [7]. In our previous study on rat mandibles, hafnium coating on endosseous implants showed equivalent osseointegration to titanium, the gold standard [8]. Hafnium is similar to titanium in its biocompatibility with bone tissues [5,8]. Hafnium nitride (HfN) coatings have emerged as a

How to cite this article

Rajaraman V, Ariga P, Ramalingam K, et al. (March 03, 2024) Evaluation of Corrosive Properties of Hafnium Nitride Coating Over Titanium Screws: An In Vitro Study. Cureus 16(3): e55456. DOI 10.7759/cureus.55456 promising solution to mitigate corrosion in titanium implants [9,10].

HfN could exhibit corrosion resistance properties and can effectively protect the underlying substrate from degradation [11]. Despite the growing interest in HfN coatings, comprehensive evaluations of their surface topography and corrosion resistance on titanium screws remain limited. This material holds significant scope for the development of implant biomaterials that are resistant to corrosion, particularly in orthopedic and dental surgeries [12]. By comprehensively evaluating the surface topography and corrosion resistance of HfN-coated titanium screws, this study aims to advance our understanding of protective coating technologies for biomedical prospects.

The findings from this investigation may guide the way for the design and optimization of HfN coatings to enhance the durability and reliability of implant materials, ultimately benefiting patient outcomes and healthcare practices. Our investigation aims to elucidate the corrosion behavior of HfN, ultimately contributing to the advancement of implant materials in biomedical applications.

Materials And Methods

Study design and sample preparation

The current study was designed and executed in the Green Lab, Research Cell, in the university set-up of Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, India, after obtaining approval from the institutional review board for research, with the allocated project number SRB/SDC/UG-1837/23/PROSTHO/010. In this study, a total of 20 medical-grade titanium screws were collected. As this was a pilot study of its kind without a reference study, a sample size of 20 was chosen. Medical-grade titanium implant screws were purchased from G.R. Bioure Surgical System, Pvt. Ltd (Ravali, India). The dimensions of the titanium screws were 2mm x 7mm, in line with those used in previous studies [13]. HfN (0.1 M) from nano Research Elements (Behlolpur, India) was mixed with 100% ethanol and stirred using a glass rod for about 48 hours. Then 10 of the implant screw samples were immersed in the prepared sol and sintered at 400° C for two hours. The coated samples were then used as the test group.

In total, 10 uncoated commercially pure medical-grade titanium screws were taken as the control group and 10 HfN-coated titanium screws were taken as the test group (Figures *1A-1B*).



FIGURE 1: Figure showing a sample of (a) HfN-coated titanium screws; (b) commercially pure titanium screws

HfN: hafnium nitride

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

A workstation (PGSTAT model 302 N[®], Metrohm Autolab B.V., Netherlands) that was electrochemical in nature, guided by NOVA 2.0[®] software, was used to conduct potentiodynamic polarization tests and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis on the samples. It uses a three-electrode electrochemical cell with a platinum foil and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the counter and reference electrodes [14]. The sample was employed as a working electrode with a 1 cm² exposed surface area. Simulated body fluid (SBF) solution was used for the corrosion investigations [15]. The sample was submerged for one hour in SBF solution to get a stable open circuit potential (OCP). A 10 mV in the frequency range was applied as a sinusoidal voltage for the EIS investigations.

Potentiodynamic polarization

The potential range used for the potentiodynamic polarization investigations was -1 to 1 V, and the

potentials were recorded with respect to SCE at a scan rate of 1 mVs $^{-1}$ [16,17]. This graphic data was used to determine the corrosion potential (E_{corr}) and corrosion current density (i_{corr}) was determined using a formula. The Stern-Geary equation was used to get the corrosion current density [18,19].

Results

The EIS showed that HfN-coated screws showed superior impedance, Z (ohm) spectra as compared to that of uncoated titanium screws (Figure 2).



FIGURE 2: The Nyquist plot showing EIS investigation of the uncoated titanium screws (black) and the HfN-coated titanium screws (red). HfN-coated screws show superior impedance spectra than uncoated titanium.

HfN=hafnium nitride

The Bode impedance plot also showed similar results skewed toward the HfN-coated group (Figure 3).



FIGURE 3: The Bode impedance plot showing frequency (Hz) versus impedance (Z) of the uncoated titanium screws (black) and the HfN-coated titanium screws (red). The HfN-coated screws show superior impedance spectra than uncoated titanium.

HfN=hafnium nitride

The Bode phase angle plot showed a higher Bode phase angle for HfN-coated screws than uncoated titanium (Figure 4).



FIGURE 4: The plot of the Bode phase angle showing frequency (Hz) versus phase angle (degrees) of the uncoated titanium screws (black) and the HfN-coated titanium screws (red). HfN-coated screws show a higher Bode phase angle than uncoated titanium.

HfN: hafnium nitride

The potentiodynamic polarization study showed mean corrosion potential, $E_{corr} = -0.088$ V for uncoated titanium screws and $E_{corr} = -0.452$ V for HfN-coated titanium screws. This data was obtained using the graph plotted for corrosion potential (V SCE)versus log i (A/cm2) (Figure 5).



FIGURE 5: The graph showing the corrosion potential (V SCE) versus log i. (A/cm2) for uncoated titanium screws (black line) and HfN-coated titanium screws (red line).

SCE=saturated calomel electrode; HfN=hafnium nitride

The corrosion current density for uncoated titanium screws was $i_{corr} = 0.1527 \ \mu$ A/cm2 and for HfN-coated titanium screws was $i_{corr} = 0.0354 \ \mu$ A/cm2, which was calculated using the Stern-Geary equation (Table 1).

Groups	E _{corr} (V _{SCE})	i _{corr} (μA/cm²)	βa	-βс
Uncoated	-0.088	0.1527	3.1522	4.814
HfN-coated	-0.452	0.0354	8.128	2.100

TABLE 1: Table showing the anodic Tafel slope (βa), cathodic Tafel slope (-βc), mean corrosion potential (Ecorr), corrosion current density (icorr)

 $HfN=hafnium nitride; i_{corr} = mean corrosion current density; \beta a = anodic Tafel slope; \beta c = cathodic Tafel slope; Rp = polarization resistance and the statement of the st$

Discussion

In this study, we have evaluated the surface coating of HfN over titanium screws. The corrosion properties of this compound were evaluated using EIS investigation which suggested that the HfN-coated group had higher impedance and consequently the highest corrosion resistance with mean corrosion potential, $E_{corr} = -0.452$ V, and corrosion current $i_{corr} = 0.0354 \mu$ A/cm2. The Nyquist, Bode impedance, and Bode phase angle plots all provided similar findings. From the results, we can conclude a positive corrosion resistance by HfN

coating.

The development of corrosion-resistant titanium implants is of paramount importance in the medical field. Corrosion poses a significant challenge in medical implants, as it can compromise the structural integrity of the implant and lead to adverse biological reactions in the host organism [20,21]. By addressing the challenges associated with corrosion, researchers and healthcare professionals aim to enhance patient safety, improve implant durability, maintain mechanical stability, preserve aesthetics, and potentially reduce the risk of infections. The evaluation of resistance to corrosion is a critical aspect in assessing the performance and longevity of innovative metal coatings applied to titanium implants. In this study, the focus is on understanding the effectiveness of HfN coating designed to enhance the corrosion resistance of titanium implants.

The evaluation process involves a comprehensive set of tests and analyses. Researchers have conducted tests aimed at replicating the corrosive effects of bodily fluids [22], temperature variations [23], and mechanical stresses [21,23] to which the implants may be subjected over time. However, the literature lacks enough evidence to substantiate the ideal method for evaluating corrosion resistance. In previous studies, the potentiodynamic polarization technique has been widely used to evaluate the corrosion resistance of biomaterials [22]. In this way, it is easy to measure the polarization curves of metallic materials. Using the existing evidence, the most commonly used methods of analysis of corrosion resistance for all biological environment-related studies were narrowed down. These were the tests used in this current research. Testing techniques such as potentiodynamic polarization and EIS are employed in current research to simulate the diverse environmental conditions that implants may encounter within the human body [24-26].

Limitations

Delving into the biocompatibility of the coating and evaluating its impact on cell viability, adhesion, and proliferation were not considered in this research. A major limitation of this study also includes the fact that the coating surface morphology and adherence were not taken into account or evaluated. Furthermore, the biological aspect of corrosion resistance could be explored for future studies. This is essential to ensure that the corrosion-resistant coating not only performs well in simulated environmental conditions but also maintains a favorable interaction with the surrounding biological tissues.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the corrosion properties of HfN-coated titanium screws had higher impedance and consequently the highest corrosion resistance when compared to uncoated titanium screws. The evaluation of corrosion resistance for the HfN coating over titanium implants is a multifaceted process that combines electrochemical, material, and biological analyses. In this study, we have concentrated only on the corrosive properties of the novel coating. The goal is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the coating's performance under realistic conditions, ultimately contributing to the development of more durable and biocompatible implants for enhanced patient outcomes.

Additional Information

Author Contributions

All authors have reviewed the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Concept and design: Vaishnavi Rajaraman, Padma Ariga

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Vaishnavi Rajaraman, Karthikeyan Ramalingam, Saravanan Sekaran

Drafting of the manuscript: Vaishnavi Rajaraman

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Vaishnavi Rajaraman, Padma Ariga, Karthikeyan Ramalingam, Saravanan Sekaran

Supervision: Vaishnavi Rajaraman

Disclosures

Human subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve human participants or tissue. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- Mouthuy PA, Snelling SJ, Dakin SG, Milković L, Gašparović AČ, Carr AJ, Žarković N: Biocompatibility of implantable materials: An oxidative stress viewpoint. Biomaterials. 2016, 109:55-68. 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.09.010
- Sreenivasagan S, Subramanian AK, Mohanraj KG, Kumar RS: Assessment of toxicity of green synthesized silver nanoparticle-coated titanium mini-implants with uncoated mini-implants: comparison in an animal model study. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2023, 24:944-50. 10.5005/jp-journals-10024-3577
- Adya N, Alam M, Ravindranath T, Mubeen A, Saluja B: Corrosion in titanium dental implants: literature review. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2005, 5:126-131. 10.4103/0972-4052.17104
- 4. van Hove RP, Sierevelt IN, van Royen BJ, Nolte PA: Titanium-nitride coating of orthopaedic implants: a review of the literature. Biomed Res Int. 2015, 2015;485975. 10.1155/2015/485975
- Rajaraman V, Nallaswamy D, Ganapathy DM, Kacchara S: Osseointegration of hafnium when compared to titanium - A structured review. Open Dent J. 2021, 15:137-44. 10.2174/1874210602115010137
- Runa MJ, Mathew MT, Fernandes MH, Rocha LA: First insight on the impact of an osteoblastic layer on the bio-tribocorrosion performance of Ti6Al4V hip implants. Acta Biomater. 2015, 12:341-51. 10.1016/j.actbio.2014.10.032
- Rajaraman V, Nallaswamy D, Ganapathy D, Nesappan T: Bone bonding of hafnium A literature review. Biosci Biotechnol Res Commun. 2020, 13:314-25. 10.21786/bbrc/13.8/157
- Rajaraman V, Nallaswamy D, Ganapathy D, Rajeshkumar S, Ariga P, Ganesh K: Effect of hafnium coating on osseointegration of titanium implants: A split mouth animal study. J Nanomater. 2021, 2021;7512957. 10.1155/2021/7512957
- Yousef A, Akhtyamov I, Shakirova F, Zubairova L, Gatina E, Aliev E: Effect of hafnium and titanium coated implants on several blood biochemical markers after osteosynthesis in rabbits. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014, 7:3473-7.
- Wu T, Zhou Q, Hong G, Bai Z, Bian J, Xie H, Chen C: A chlorogenic acid-chitosan complex bifunctional coating for improving osteogenesis differentiation and bactericidal properties of zirconia implants. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. 2023, 230:113484. 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2023.113484
- Escobar C, Caicedo JC, Aperador W, Delgado A, Prieto P: Improve on corrosion resistant surface for AISI 4140 steel coated with VN and HfN single layer films. Int J Electrochem Sci. 2013, 8:7591-607. 10.1016/S1452-3981(23)12829-X
- Miyazaki T, Sueoka M, Shirosaki Y, Shinozaki N, Shiraishi T: Development of hafnium metal and titaniumhafnium alloys having apatite-forming ability by chemical surface modification. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater. 2018, 106:2519-23. 10.1002/jbm.b.34068
- Kim YK, Yun PY, Yi YJ, Bae JH, Kim SB, Ahn GJ: One-year prospective study of 7-mm-long implants in the mandible: installation technique and Crown/implant ratio of 1.5 or less. J Oral Implantol. 2015, 41:e30-5. 10.1563/AAID-JOI-D-13-00162
- Song J, Li Y, Yin F, et al.: Enhanced electrochemical impedance spectroscopy analysis of microbial biofilms on an electrochemically in situ generated graphene interface. ACS Sens. 2020, 5:1795-803. 10.1021/acssensors.0c00570
- Agilan P, Saranya K, Rajendran N: Bio-inspired polydopamine incorporated titania nanotube arrays for biomedical applications. Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp. 2021, 629:127489. 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2021.127489
- Lou Y, Chang W, Huang L, Chen X, Hao X, Qian H, Zhang D: Influence of marine Shewanella putrefaciens and mediated calcium deposition on Q235 carbon steel corrosion. Bioelectrochemistry. 2024, 157:108657. 10.1016/j.bioelechem.2024.108657
- Hu JI, Liu HA, Zhang XI, Wang ZH, Yang ZH, Feng ME, Shi XU: Corrosion behavior and magnetocaloric property of pure phase La(1-x)Ce(x)Fe(11.5)Si(1.5) (x=0.1,0.2,0.3) compounds. Heliyon. 2024, 10:e25169. 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e25169
- Kannan S, Nallaiyan R: Anticancer activity of samarium-coated magnesium implants for immunocompromised patients. ACS Appl Bio Mater. 2020, 3:4408-16. 10.1021/acsabm.0c00400
- Lorenzetti M, Pellicer E, Sort J, Baró MD, Kovač J, Novak S, Kobe S: Improvement to the corrosion resistance of Ti-based implants using hydrothermally synthesized nanostructured anatase coatings. Materials (Basel). 2014, 7:180-94. 10.3390/ma7010180
- 20. Santos V, Uddin M, Hall C: Mechanical surface treatments for controlling surface integrity and corrosion resistance of Mg alloy implants: A review. J Funct Biomater. 2023, 14:242. 10.3390/jfb14050242
- 21. Hoque MA, Yao CW, Khanal M, Lian I: Tribocorrosion behavior of micro/nanoscale surface coatings . Sensors (Basel). 2022, 22:9974. 10.3390/s22249974
- Pandey AK, Gautam RK, Behera CK: Corrosion and wear behavior of Ti-5Cu-xNb biomedical alloy in simulated body fluid for dental implant applications. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2023, 137:105533. 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2022.105533
- Sinclair AV, Garty FL, Smith JR, Shipman AR: Is it safe for patients with metal implants to have iontophoresis treatment?. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2023, 48:759-64. 10.1093/ced/llad074
- 24. Leach DG, Young S, Hartgerink JD: Advances in immunotherapy delivery from implantable and injectable biomaterials. Acta Biomater. 2019, 88:15-31. 10.1016/j.actbio.2019.02.016
- 25. Kowalski J, Rylska D, Januszewicz B, et al.: Corrosion resistance of titanium dental implant abutments: comparative analysis and surface characterization. Materials (Basel). 2023, 16:6624. 10.3390/ma16206624
- Bergman MS, Zhuang Z, Xu SS, Rengasamy S, Lawrence RB, Boutin B, Harris JR: Assessment of respirator fit capability test criteria for full-facepiece air-purifying respirators. J Occup Environ Hyg. 2019, 16:489-97. 10.1080/15459624.2019.1609006