

Modified Conventional Teaching: An Assessment of Clinical Biochemistry Learning Process Among Medical Undergraduate Students Using the Traditional Teaching in Combination with Group Discussion

Sabitha Vadakedath ¹, Venkataramana Kandi ²

1. Biochemistry, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar, IND 2. Microbiology, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar, IND

✉ **Corresponding author:** Venkataramana Kandi, ramana20021@gmail.com

Disclosures can be found in Additional Information at the end of the article

Abstract

Background: Clinical biochemistry is a branch of organic chemistry which involves a detailed study on the normal functioning of living cells in relation to the disease. The subject is not only volatile but also complicated. Also, teaching biochemistry to medical undergraduates is really a challenging job. Routine didactic lectures alone may not be enough for students while learning clinical biochemistry.

Methods: This study included 90 first-year medical undergraduate students. They were grouped as three groups of 30 students each. The routine conventional classroom teaching method was followed by a group discussion session. The topics were informed in advance so that they were provided with adequate time to prepare and be mentally ready for the session. The group discussion was preceded by a set of 10 multiple-choice questions (MCQs), and a final assessment of five MCQs following the discussion.

Results: There was only minor difference in the marks obtained by various student groups after the group discussion with Group B students (5.5 ± 1.54 ; $p < 0.001$) performing better than the other two groups. Students also scored evenly in the final assessment using MCQs with Group A (2.7 ± 1.36 ; $p < 0.001$) performing better than the other two groups. Prior to the group discussion session, 33% of the students in all the groups scored more than 75% of marks. The overall performance of all the students after the final assessment using MCQs revealed that 60% of the students scored more than 75% marks.

Conclusion: The study results confirm the fact that the modified conventional teaching method appears to be better than traditional teaching. The student performances had significantly improved with such kind of education process. The results also highlight the importance of increased student efforts, probably including group discussions and revisions to improve understanding and subject retention.

Categories: Miscellaneous, Medical Education

Keywords: clinical biochemistry, didactic lectures, conventional teaching method, teaching biochemistry, medical undergraduates, group discussion, multiple choice questions (mcq's)

Received 08/06/2019

Review began 08/08/2019

Review ended 08/10/2019

Published 08/16/2019

© **Copyright** 2019

Vadakedath et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Vadakedath S, Kandi V (August 16, 2019) Modified Conventional Teaching: An Assessment of Clinical Biochemistry Learning Process Among Medical Undergraduate Students Using the Traditional Teaching in Combination with Group Discussion. Cureus 11(8): e5396. DOI 10.7759/cureus.5396

Introduction

Biochemistry is one of the three subjects included in the first year of medical undergraduate course along with the human anatomy and human physiology. It deals with understanding the basic functions of the body and its relation to the disease. The knowledge of which is essential for the better management of patients. Students pursuing a medical undergraduate course, although have a good knowledge of chemistry, they hardly have any idea of how the clinical biochemistry is as a subject. Teaching biochemistry to them in relation to the human body is a challenge to the medical teachers. Currently, there are various teaching methods that include the conventional lectures using the chalk and board, PowerPoint presentations, tutorials, demonstrations, seminars, group discussions, problem-based learning approach, etc. [1-2].

Teaching through lectures is monotonous and is a widely used teaching method for a large group of students. Tutorials, demonstrations, group discussions, and problem-based learning approach are generally used to teach small groups [3]. Other student-centered learning approaches appear to have been positively received by the students [4]. In a lecture for a large group of students, the teacher may not be able to understand individual student's perspective regarding their subject retention. But during small group teaching including the discussions, demonstrations, tutorials, etc., the teacher can evaluate each student individually. Recent research suggests that student performances may be enhanced by including active learning sessions that include the group discussion [5].

Various learning approaches have recently been tried, which includes the idea of a flipped classroom where the students were initially asked to watch videos, and later during the traditional class, they were made to discuss the topic. Flipped classroom exposes the students to various learning and assessment processes that include the watching of lecture videos, online quizzes, discussion sessions, and the traditional evaluation [6-7].

A recent study had assessed the student perception towards the flipped classroom and had noted that most students showed satisfaction with the flipped classroom teaching over the traditional lecture-based teaching [8].

The present study is carried out to know the effectiveness of a large group conventional lecture by a teacher followed by a small group discussion and a final evaluation by multiple-choice questions (MCQs) to teach clinical biochemistry to first-year medical undergraduates.

Materials And Methods

A total of 90 students were enrolled in the study. The students were detailed about the study methodology and only those who showed interest were included in the study after oral consent. The study was also approved by the institutional ethical committee. All the 90 students were divided into three groups with 30 students each and were labeled as Groups A, B, and C.

Characteristics of student groups and the role of the teacher

The student grouping was random, which included a mix of varied academic performers. Each group was moderated by a teacher of Assistant Professor cadre and above. The student groups were balanced taking the age, sex/gender, and personalities into consideration. Each group had students with high, moderate, and low cognitive skills/capabilities. All the groups were adequately oriented regarding the functioning of the group discussion session. All the study participants were sensitized about active participation and not to compete during the process.

The teacher's role was to moderate the students of various capabilities and to maintain

harmony within the study groups. The teacher would provide the exact learning objectives, and also provide necessary resources that include the reference books, reliable internet resources, and other infrastructural facilities like the overhead projector.

The topics included for this study were carefully selected taking students/participants into consideration. The study included topics like the chemistry of carbohydrates, glycolysis, Kreb's cycle (tri-carboxylic acid cycle), gluconeogenesis, HMP (hexose monophosphate) shunt pathway, vitamins, and detoxification.

A traditional lecture was a part of the teaching curriculum, where the students were taught using chalk and board and/or PowerPoint. After two weeks of the lecture, a session of group discussion for one hour was planned. The topics for the discussion were intimated one week prior to the students, giving them adequate time for preparation. The details of the study methodology are depicted in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1: Diagrammatic representation of the study methodology.

MCQS, multiple choice questions.

The department of biochemistry under the guidance of the head of the department, and with the help of the teachers participating in the study had prepared a set of 10 MCQs (pre-test MCQs) each carrying one mark for the evaluation of students prior to the group discussion session. Each question included in the questionnaire was provided with an answer key, which formed the basis of assessment by the teachers to rule out any discrepancy in evaluation.

During the discussion sessions, the essential learning points of the topics were elaborated by the moderator to the respective group. The students were made to discuss the topic among themselves and using the relevant textbooks and other online resources of biochemistry.

Following the discussion session, each student was asked to answer a set of five MCQs (final assessment) each carrying one mark. This questionnaire was used to assess the student's cognitive skills at the end of each session.

The study results were tabulated in a Microsoft excel sheet and were analyzed by drawing percentages, mean, standard deviation (SD), and p values.

Results

The student's evaluation of pre-group discussion evaluation revealed an almost similar

performance by all the groups as shown in Table 1.

Group	Mean ± SD	p-Value
A	4.86 ± 1.35	<0.001*
B	5.50 ± 1.54	<0.001*
C	5.39 ± 1.29	<0.001*

TABLE 1: Pre-discussion assessment of the study groups.

*Statistically significant; SD, standard deviation; p-value, calculated probability value.

There was a slightly better performance by the Group B as compared to the Groups A and C. The final evaluation of the study groups using the MCQs revealed similar performances by all the groups as shown in Table 2.

Group	Mean ± SD	p-Value
A	2.70 ± 1.36	<0.001*
B	2.00 ± 1.17	<0.001*
C	1.89 ± 1.10	<0.001*

TABLE 2: Final assessment results of the study groups.

*Statistically significant; SD, standard deviation; p-value, calculated probability value.

The performance evaluation of student groups after the pre-group discussion evaluation at different cut-offs (<50%; >50%; >75%) is shown in Table 3.

Percentage of marks	A	B	C
<50%	7 (23.3%)	5 (16.7%)	2 (6.7%)
>50%	20 (66.7%)	21 (70%)	25 (83.3%)
>75%	3 (10%)	4 (13.3%)	3 (10%)

TABLE 3: Pre-discussion assessment of the study groups at different cut-offs.

Final evaluation of the student groups based on the MCQs at different cut-offs (<50%; >50%;

>75%) is shown in Table 4.

Percentage of marks	A	B	C
<50%	14 (46.7%)	23 (76.7%)	25 (83.3%)
>50%	08 (26.7%)	01 (3.4%)	01 (3.4%)
>75%	08 (26.7%)	06 (20%)	04 (13.3%)

TABLE 4: Final assessment results of the study groups at different cut-offs.

There was only a minor difference in the marks obtained by various student groups after the group discussion with Group B students (5.5 ± 1.54 ; $p < 0.001$) performing better than the other two groups. Students also scored evenly in the final assessment using MCQs with Group A (2.7 ± 1.36 ; $p < 0.001$) performing better than the other two groups.

At the pre-group discussion session, 33% of the students of all the groups scored more than 75% of marks. The overall performance of all the students after the final assessment using MCQs revealed that 60% of the students scored more than 75% marks.

Discussion

Biochemistry is a volatile subject that includes complex reactions, which are not easy to remember. A teacher's guidance assumes greater significance for the students to understand the subject. As it is a basic science subject having wide applications in all clinical specialties including the diagnostics, making the teaching/learning process effective appears to be a challenge for the teachers. The perception of students towards learning is also changing with the availability and access to information technology. In the present study, the students were exposed to the conventional lectures which were followed by a session of group discussion. They were finally evaluated using MCQs. The performances of the student groups in group discussion had improved the students' overall subject retention as evidenced by the results of the final assessment, where 60% of all the students crossed more than 75% marks.

Increasing demand for a more effective teaching/learning process, especially of the basic sciences subjects including the biochemistry has brought in newer approaches to teach such subjects.

The inverted classroom teaching was recently suggested to teach biochemistry at the pre-clinical level of the medical undergraduate course. Here the students were given e-learning material prior to a classroom discussion, where the students were encouraged to discuss the topic with additional material and under the tutor's moderation [9].

Due to the complexity of the basic science subjects, the students lack the motivation of learning the subject in the absence of knowledge of its practical applications, especially in-patient care perspective. Competency-based education could provide students with an understanding of the basic concepts of the subjects and its application to medicine [10].

The University of South Florida College of Medicine had previously implemented a program for fresh medical undergraduate students. This program was intended to stress the importance of

skill development to successfully complete medicine studies. It also underlines the clinical significance of basic science subjects, continuous learning, ethics, and professionalism in medicine [11].

Only classroom teaching may not completely satisfy the students learning objectives. Recently a study had emphasized the importance of active engagement in the subject that includes seminars and discussions to improve the learning outcomes, especially with the basic science subjects [12].

A university study from Serbia assessed the role of computer simulation experiments, continual evaluation, oral tests along with the routine lectures to understand the basic animal physiology [13]. Complementing the current curriculum with advanced/innovative teaching aids like using the “Foldit puzzles” was suggested to teach biochemistry and biology up to the undergraduate level [14].

Engaging the students in interactive sessions like the group discussions was noted to improve the conceptual understanding of subjects like chemistry [15]. As biochemistry also involves several biochemical reactions and complicated pathways, conducting regular group discussion discourses would positively influence students learning objectives.

Previous research had also highlighted the significance of motivating students by using various instructional strategies that include open-atmosphere classroom teaching, conducting interactive sessions, guiding, and reminding students [16].

Computational techniques were used to visualize the three-dimensional structures of proteins and deoxyribose nucleic acid (DNA), along with tutorials to make biochemistry learning easy [17].

A study at the University of São Paulo had explored the idea of using published research articles to teach metabolism instead of didactic lectures and seminars. This study used a combination of directed study along with the group discussion [18].

Effectiveness of team-based learning (TBL) to teach the principles of medical biochemistry was positively evaluated by a study from Korea [19].

Conclusions

Traditional didactic lectures may not completely be sufficient in the students learning with respect to clinical biochemistry. Group discussions among the students not only help in exchanging their opinions on the topic but also could provide a platform for a better understanding ability. As the students were sensitized about the topic of discussion, it created an opportunity for a healthy discussion. Also, the discussion was moderated effectively by the designated faculty, thereby enhancing the overall performances by the students. The students expressed the ease in understanding the subject biochemistry when they were exposed to interactive teaching methods. Thus, it can be concluded that biochemistry and probably other basic science subjects must be taught using different teaching methods i.e., traditional lectures combined with group discussions, tutorials, problem-based approach, and seminars. Biochemistry taught using combined teaching methods appear to positively impact students and enhance their learning ability and subject retention.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences-IEC issued approval PIMS/IEC/2019100701. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- Vadakedath S, Sudhakar T, Kandi V: Assessment of conventional teaching technique in the era of medical education technology: a study of biochemistry learning process among first year medical students using traditional chalk and board teaching. *Am J Educ Res*. 2018, 6:1137-1140.
- Aziz N, Nasir R, Salam A: Students perception of small group teaching: a cross sectional study. *Middle East J Family Med*. 2008, 6:37-40.
- Rathnakar UP, Gopalakrishna HN, Pai PG, et al.: Didactic lectures and interactive sessions in small groups: a comparative study among undergraduate students of pharmacology in India. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2010, 4:2260-2264.
- Kandi V, Basireddy P : Creating a student-centered learning environment: implementation of problem-based learning to teach microbiology to undergraduate medical students. *Cureus*. 2018, 10:e2029. [10.7759/cureus.2029](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.2029)
- Reich N, Wang Y: Highly effective active learning in a one-year biochemistry series with limited resources. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2019, 47:7-15. [10.1002/bmb.21186](https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21186)
- Kim M, Roh S, Ihm J: The relationship between non-cognitive student attributes and academic achievements in a flipped learning classroom of a pre-dental science course. *Korean J Med Educ*. 2018, 30:339-346. [10.3946/kjme.2018.109](https://doi.org/10.3946/kjme.2018.109)
- Mortensen CJ, Nicholson AM: The flipped classroom stimulates greater learning and is a modern 21st century approach to teaching today's undergraduates. *J Anim Sci*. 2015, 93:3722-3731. [10.2527/jas.2015-9087](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9087)
- Ramnanan CJ, Pound LD: Advances in medical education and practice: student perceptions of the flipped classroom. *Adv Med Educ Pract*. 2017, 8:63-73. [10.2147/AMEP.S109037](https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S109037)
- Kühl SJ, Toberer M, Keis O, Tolks D, Fischer MR, Kühl M: Concept and benefits of the Inverted Classroom method for a competency-based biochemistry course in the pre-clinical stage of a human medicine course of studies. *GMS J Med Educ*. 2017, 34: [10.3205/zma001108](https://doi.org/10.3205/zma001108)
- Derstine PL: Implementing goals for non-cognitive outcomes within a basic science course . *Acad Med*. 2002, 77:931-932.
- Wallach PM, Roscoe L, Bowden R: The profession of medicine: an integrated approach to basic principles. *Acad Med*. 2002, 77:1168-1169.
- Bouwmeester RA, de Kleijn RA, van Rijen HV: Peer-instructed seminar attendance is associated with improved preparation, deeper learning and higher exam scores: a survey study. *BMC Med Educ*. 2016, 16:200. [10.1186/s12909-016-0715-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-016-0715-0)
- Kaisarevic SN, Andric SA, Kostic TS: Teaching animal physiology: a 12-year experience transitioning from a classical to interactive approach with continual assessment and computer alternatives. *Adv Physiol Educ*. 2017, 41:405-414. [10.1152/advan.00132.2016](https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00132.2016)
- Dsilva L, Mittal S, Koepnick B, Flatten J, Cooper S, Horowitz S: Creating custom Foldit puzzles for teaching biochemistry. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2019, 14:133-139. [10.1002/bmb.21208](https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21208)
- Warfa AM, Nyachwaya J, Roehrig G: The influences of group dialog on individual student understanding of science concepts. *Int J STEM Educ*. 2018, 5:46. [10.1186/s40594-018-0142-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0142-3)
- Sedden ML, Clark KR: Motivating students in the 21st century . *Radiol Technol*. 2016, 87:609-616.
- Abreu PA, Carvalho KL, Rabelo VW, Castro HC: Computational strategy for visualizing structures and teaching biochemistry. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2019, 47:76-84. [10.1002/bmb.21199](https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21199)

18. Cicuto C, Pazinato M, Torres B: Teaching metabolism with scientific articles: a new approach . *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2019, 47:85-92. [10.1002/bmb.21187](https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21187)
19. Mun KH, Mun KC: Verification of learner's differences by team-based learning in biochemistry classes. *Korean J Med Educ*. 2017, 29:263-269. [10.3946/kjme.2017.72](https://doi.org/10.3946/kjme.2017.72)