

Prevalence of Ocular Hypertension and Other Risk Factors of Open-Angle Glaucoma Among Middle-Aged Adults in Al-Baha City, Saudi Arabia

Review began 11/15/2023

Review ended 12/04/2023

Published 12/11/2023

© Copyright 2023

Bashir et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Mahadi Bashir¹, Ali H. Alghamdi¹, Suhaib A. Alzahrani², Abdullah M. Alhajji³, Loay Y. Al Thobaiti², Khalid A. Alzahrani⁴, Ahmed G. Alghamdi⁴, Ranin K. Alnemari⁵, Abdulaziz H. Althobaiti⁵, Roaa S. Alzahrani⁴

1. Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Al Baha University, Al Baha, SAU 2. General Practice, Taif Health Cluster, Taif, SAU 3. General Practice, Al-Ahsa Health Cluster, Al-Ahsa, SAU 4. General Practice, Al-Baha Health Affairs, Al Baha, SAU 5. College of Medicine, Al Baha University, Al Baha, SAU

Corresponding author: Loay Y. Al Thobaiti, loayotb@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

Ocular hypertension (OHT), defined by elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) beyond standard levels, is a predominant risk factor for initiating and exacerbating glaucoma, a collection of progressive optic neuropathies responsible for irreversible vision loss. Given the profound implications for vision care, it is imperative to elucidate the interplay between OHT and glaucoma for effective clinical management.

Objective

The present study aims to measure IOP levels and identify risk factors associated with glaucoma among middle-aged individuals in Al-Baha City, Saudi Arabia.

Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted over a six-month span (January-June 2022) in Al-Baha City. The study cohort comprised adults aged 35 and above attending a glaucoma awareness campaign at King Fahad Hospital, Al-Baha. Parameters such as demographics, socioeconomic status, medical and ocular history, and familial history of eye diseases were collated. Initial ophthalmologic assessments and IOP measurements were performed. Statistical analyses utilized Pearson's Chi-square test for nominal variables.

Results

The study encompassed 111 participants, 84 (75.7%) of whom were male, and 75 (67.6%) were of Saudi nationality. Notably, 102 (91.9%) reported no family history of glaucoma, 91 (81.1%) indicated no past medical history and 81 (73.0%) were not on any chronic medications. The mean IOP for participants' right and left eyes fluctuated between 18.2-21.5 mmHg and 18.9-22.1 mmHg, respectively. Factors such as age, gender, family history of glaucoma, past medical history, use of chronic medications, and history of ophthalmic surgeries demonstrated a statistically significant correlation with IOP ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion

This study highlights a higher prevalence of OHT in females, with several risk factors for OHT and glaucoma identified, such as familial history, vascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, and chronic medication use. Notably, our study did not observe a significant association with age or smoking. These findings emphasize the necessity of regular eye examinations and IOP monitoring, especially in high-risk groups.

Categories: Ophthalmology

Keywords: cross-sectional study, al-baha region, glaucoma risk factors, intraocular pressure, ocular hypertension

Introduction

One of the most common causes of permanent vision loss in adults around the world is glaucoma [1]. In glaucoma, a series of slowly progressing optic neuropathies result in the loss of retinal ganglion cells and their axons, leading to abnormalities in the visual field (VF) [2]. Glaucoma, which affects around 70 million people worldwide, is thought to be the main cause of permanent blindness [3].

Ocular hypertension (OHT) is a recognized risk factor for the onset of primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG), one of the main causes of blindness. However, not all OHT patients experience POAG, and not all POAG patients experience blindness, raising the question of whether OHT should be treated [4]. There has been discussion in recent years about how intraocular pressure (IOP) affects optic disc damage caused by

How to cite this article

Bashir M, Alghamdi A H, Alzahrani S A, et al. (December 11, 2023) Prevalence of Ocular Hypertension and Other Risk Factors of Open-Angle Glaucoma Among Middle-Aged Adults in Al-Baha City, Saudi Arabia. Cureus 15(12): e50304. DOI 10.7759/cureus.50304

glaucoma. Optic disc injury has been observed in eyes with an IOP within the “normal” range, the range of the IOP in the glaucomatous population is rather large. Therefore, it is difficult to identify an IOP level that will result in glaucomatous damage [5].

Several systemic and ocular risk factors may also influence the development of glaucoma. However, there are few known predisposing factors for OHT, and epidemiologic studies have shown mixed results on the association between OHT and purported risk factors [6].

In this study, we aimed to estimate the prevalence of OHT as a risk factor for glaucoma in Al-Baha City, Saudi Arabia, and to identify other possible risk factors associated with IOP elevation.

Materials And Methods

Study design and setting

A cross-sectional study was conducted to measure IOP and screen for glaucoma risk factors among middle-aged adults in Al-Baha City, Saudi Arabia. The study was conducted between January 2022 and June 2022.

Sampling and participants

The population of this study was recruited from residents aged 35 years or older in Al-Baha City. Exclusion criteria for this study were individuals younger than 35 years, those who submitted incomplete questionnaires, and those unable to provide informed consent for participation.

The sample size was determined using the statistical software Epi Info 7.2. The sample size for descriptive analysis was determined to be 40 participants, assuming a prevalence of OHT of 2.7% based on the existing literature [7]. A level of precision of 5% was chosen for the 95% confidence interval. The formula utilized to determine the sample size in this study was as follows: $n = (PQ/L)2 \times (Z\alpha/2)^2$, where P represents the prevalence of OHT, Q is equal to 1-P, L denotes the width of the confidence interval, and Z-score corresponding to the desired confidence level. The sample size was open to higher numbers to increase the accuracy of the results.

We used a non-probability consecutive sampling technique for recruiting participants. Individuals were selected from a glaucoma awareness campaign, which was organized at King Fahad Hospital in Al-Baha City.

Procedure and data collection

A written survey was given to the recruited participants to obtain demographic data and identify any risk factors for glaucoma. The participants were informed about the study's objectives and the confidentiality of the data. They consented to participate, completed the questionnaire, and underwent IOP measurements with a noncontact tonometer device (Shin-Nippon NCT-200) for IOP screening. OHT was defined in our study as cases where the IOP in the eyes exceeded 21 mmHg.

All researchers involved in this study also performed data entry. Following the verification process, the data were subsequently transferred directly to a statistics database.

Questionnaire

In this study, a reliable, pre-validated, and modified written questionnaire from a previous study was utilized. The questionnaire consisted of two sections. The initial section comprised questions pertaining to sociodemographic characteristics. The subsequent section consisted of a set of eight questions designed to identify risk factors associated with glaucoma.

Data analysis

Continuous data are presented as the mean and standard deviation, and categorical data are presented as the frequency. The chi-squared test was used to assess differences among the means for categorical variables. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant for all statistical tests conducted.

Informed consent and ethical consideration

Informed consent was obtained from the participants prior to participation in the study. At the study onset, the participants were assured of the confidential nature of the patient-provider interaction. The investigators ensured confidentiality in data collection by assigning a code to replace patient identifiers (e.g., name). All collected data were kept secure, including hard and soft copies, with authorized access only. The research staff also maintained confidentiality and privacy. In addition, any personal information was not discussed during data analysis.

Results

The study investigated the prevalence of OHT and risk factors for glaucoma in a middle-aged group of 111 participants. Table 1 outlines the participants' risk factors and demographic information. Mean IOP values for the right and left eyes according to different factors are listed in Tables 2-3, respectively. The findings indicated that age, gender, race, family history of glaucoma, past medical history, chronic medication use, eyedrop or ointment use, current eye issues, history of ophthalmic surgeries, and smoking history were associated with IOP changes.

Factor	n	%
Age group		
35-39 years	30	27.0
40-44 years	18	16.2
45-49 years	24	21.6
50-55 years	21	18.9
>55 years	18	16.2
Gender		
Male	84	75.7
Female	27	24.3
Nationality		
Saudi	75	67.6
Non-Saudi	36	32.4
Family history of glaucoma		
No	102	91.9
Yes	9	8.1
Past medical history		
None	90	81.1
Vascular disease	12	10.8
Diabetes mellitus	9	8.1
Chronic medications use		
No	81	73.0
Yes	30	27.0
Eyedrops or ointment use		
No	75	67.6
Yes	36	32.4
Current eye issues		
No	57	51.4
Yes	54	48.6
History of ophthalmic surgeries		
No	93	83.8
Yes	18	16.2
History of smoking		
No	87	78.4
Yes	24	21.6

Race		
Asian	21	18.9
Caucasian	75	67.6
Black	15	13.5

TABLE 1: Demographic Profiles and Associated Risk Factors of Glaucoma in Study Participants

Factor	Mean IOP (mmHg) ± SD	p-value
Age group		0.446
35-39 years	18.6 ± 4.4	
40-44 years	19.4 ± 2.6	
45-49 years	20.1 ± 3.8	
50-55 years	18.2 ± 2.9	
>55 years	19.4 ± 3.4	
Gender		0.451
Male	18.9 ± 3.3	
Female	19.6 ± 4.4	
Nationality		0.592
Saudi	19.2 ± 4.0	
Non-Saudi	18.8 ± 2.7	
Family history of glaucoma		0.206
No	19.0 ± 3.7	
Yes	20.6 ± 2.2	
Past medical history		0.046*
None	18.7 ± 3.5	
Vascular disease	21.5 ± 3.6	
Diabetes mellitus	19.7 ± 3.7	
Chronic medications use		0.597
No	19.0 ± 3.8	
Yes	19.4 ± 3.1	
Eyedrops or ointment use		0.083
No	19.5 ± 3.7	
Yes	18.2 ± 3.3	
Current eye issues		0.384
No	19.4 ± 4.1	
Yes	18.8 ± 3.1	
History of ophthalmic surgeries		<0.001*
No	19.9 ± 3.4	
Yes	15.1 ± 1.4	

History of smoking		0.051
No	18.7 ± 3.4	
Yes	20.4 ± 4.3	
Race		0.123
Asian	17.6 ± 2.2	
Caucasian	19.5 ± 4.0	
Black	19.2 ± 3.1	

TABLE 2: Comparative Mean Intraocular Pressure (IOP) Values in the Right Eye Across Different Independent Factors

*A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

IOP: intraocular pressure; SD: standard deviation

Factor	Mean IOP (mmHg) ± SD	p-value
Age group		0.502
35-39 years	19.1 ± 3.6	
40-44 years	20.9 ± 2.7	
45-49 years	20.2 ± 3.7	
50-55 years	19.5 ± 2.4	
>55 years	19.8 ± 3.9	
Gender		0.150
Male	19.6 ± 3.1	
Female	20.6 ± 4.0	
Nationality		0.074
Saudi	20.2 ± 3.5	
Non-Saudi	19.0 ± 2.9	
Family history of glaucoma		0.068
No	19.7 ± 3.4	
Yes	21.8 ± 1.5	
Past medical history		0.029
None	19.4 ± 3.3	
Vascular disease	21.1 ± 2.9	
Diabetes mellitus	22.1 ± 3.1	
Chronic medications use		0.321
No	19.6 ± 3.4	
Yes	20.4 ± 3.2	
Eyedrops or ointment use		0.031*
No	20.3 ± 3.4	
Yes	18.9 ± 2.9	

Current eye issues		0.216
No	20.2 ± 3.5	
Yes	19.4 ± 3.1	
History of ophthalmic surgeries		<0.001*
No	20.6 ± 3.1	
Yes	16.0 ± 1.6	
History of smoking		0.008*
No	19.4 ± 3.3	
Yes	21.4 ± 3.1	
Race		0.002*
Asian	17.6 ± 2.2	
Caucasian	20.5 ± 3.5	
Black	19.7 ± 2.3	

TABLE 3: Comparative Mean Intraocular Pressure (IOP) Values in the Left Eye Across Different Independent Factors

*A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

IOP: intraocular pressure; SD: standard deviation

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics and risk factors of the participants. The study included 111 participants in different age groups ranging from 35-39 years to >55 years, comprising 84 (75.7%) males and 27 (24.3%) females, with 75 (67.6%) Saudi and 36 (32.4%) non-Saudi participants. Additionally, there were nine (8.1%) participants with and 102 (91.9%) without a family history of glaucoma. Data on previous medical history included participants with no history 90 (81.1%), those with vascular disease 12 (10.8%), and those with diabetes mellitus 9 (8.1%). Furthermore, 30 (27%) participants used chronic medications, while 81 (73%) did not. A total of 36 (32.4%) participants used eyedrops or ointments, and 75 (67.6%) did not. Participants with current eye issues accounted for 48.6% (54), without for 51.4% (57); with a history of ophthalmic surgeries for 16.2% (18), without for 83.8% (93); and with a history of smoking for 21.6% (24), without for 78.4% (87). Table 1 also shows the number and percentage of participants according to race, including Asian 21 (18.9%), Caucasian 75 (67.6%), and Black 15 (13.5%).

Table 2 shows the mean IOP of the right eye of the participants according to different factors. The mean IOP of the right eye ranged from 15.1 ± 1.4 mmHg to 21.5 ± 3.6 mmHg in different subgroups. For the age group of 35-39 years, the mean IOP was 18.6 ± 4.4 mmHg, and for those aged 45-49 years, it was 20.1 ± 3.8 mmHg. Males had a mean IOP of 18.9 ± 3.3 mmHg, while females had a mean IOP of 19.6 ± 4.4 mmHg. Non-Saudi participants had a mean IOP of 19.2 ± 4.0 mmHg, whereas Saudi participants had a mean IOP of 18.8 ± 2.7 mmHg. Participants with a family history of glaucoma had a mean IOP of 20.6 ± 2.2 mmHg, while those without a family history had a mean IOP of 19.0 ± 3.7 mmHg. Participants with a history of ophthalmic surgeries had a mean IOP of 15.1 ± 1.4 mmHg, compared to 19.9 ± 3.4 mmHg for those without such history. Those without any past medical history had a mean IOP of 18.7 ± 3.5 mmHg. Participants with a history of vascular disease had a mean IOP of 21.5 ± 3.6 mmHg, and those with diabetes mellitus had a mean IOP of 19.7 ± 3.7 mmHg.

Among participants not taking chronic medication, the mean IOP was 19.0 ± 3.8 mmHg, while for those on chronic medication, it was 19.4 ± 3.1 mmHg. Those not using eyedrops or ointments had a mean IOP of 19.5 ± 3.7 mmHg, in contrast to 18.2 ± 3.3 mmHg for those who did use them. Participants without current eye issues had a mean IOP of 19.4 ± 4.1 mmHg, and those with current eye issues had a mean IOP of 18.8 ± 3.1 mmHg. Smokers had a higher mean IOP of 20.4 ± 4.3 mmHg compared to non-smokers, who had a mean IOP of 18.7 ± 3.4 mmHg. Among the participants, Caucasian individuals had a mean IOP of 19.5 ± 4.0 mmHg, Asians had the lowest mean IOP of 17.6 ± 2.2 mmHg, and Black participants had a mean IOP of 19.2 ± 3.1 mmHg.

Table 3 presents the mean IOP for the left eye of participants, categorized by different factors. The mean IOP values ranged from 19.1 ± 3.6 mmHg to 20.2 ± 3.7 mmHg across various age groups, demonstrating variability with age. Specifically, the mean IOP was 19.1 ± 3.6 mmHg for those aged 35-39 years, 20.9 ± 2.7

mmHg for the 40-44 years group, 20.2 ± 3.7 mmHg for 45-49 years, 19.5 ± 2.4 mmHg for 50-55 years, and 19.8 ± 3.9 mmHg for participants over 55 years.

Gender differences in mean IOP were evident, with males having a mean IOP of 19.6 ± 3.1 mmHg and females showing a mean IOP of 20.6 ± 4.0 mmHg. Among the study population, non-Saudi participants had a mean IOP of 19.0 ± 2.9 mmHg, while Saudi participants had a slightly higher mean IOP of 20.2 ± 3.1 mmHg. A considerable increase in mean IOP was noted in participants with a family history of glaucoma, having a mean IOP of 21.8 ± 1.5 mmHg, compared to those without such a history who had a mean IOP of 19.7 ± 3.4 mmHg.

The study also examined the mean IOP in relation to participants' medical history. Those with a history of ophthalmic surgeries had a mean IOP of 16.0 ± 1.6 mmHg, in contrast to 20.6 ± 3.1 mmHg for those without a surgery history. Participants with no past medical history had a mean IOP of 19.4 ± 3.3 mmHg, whereas individuals with the vascular disease had a mean IOP of 21.1 ± 2.9 mmHg and those with diabetes mellitus had a mean IOP of 22.1 ± 3.1 mmHg.

Regarding medication use, participants not on chronic medications had a mean IOP of 19.6 ± 3.4 mmHg, whereas those on chronic medication had a mean IOP of 20.4 ± 3.2 mmHg. The use of eyedrops or ointments was associated with a mean IOP of 18.9 ± 2.9 mmHg, which was lower than the mean IOP of 20.3 ± 3.4 mmHg for those not using them. Additionally, participants without current eye issues had a mean IOP of 20.2 ± 3.5 mmHg, whereas those with current eye issues had a mean IOP of 19.4 ± 3.3 mmHg.

Lastly, lifestyle and racial background were also reflected in the mean IOP values. Smokers had a mean IOP of 21.4 ± 3.1 mmHg, while non-smokers had a mean IOP of 18.7 ± 3.4 mmHg. Mean IOP varied among different ethnicities, with Caucasian participants having a mean IOP of 20.5 ± 3.5 mmHg, Asians having the lowest mean IOP at 17.6 ± 2.2 mmHg, and Black participants having a mean IOP of 19.7 ± 2.3 mmHg.

Discussion

One of the primary causes of permanent vision loss is glaucoma [8,9]. It is estimated that glaucoma affects 3.5% of people in the world between the ages of 40 and 80 years. Furthermore, 111.8 million individuals are predicted to develop glaucoma in 2040 due to the increasing number and percentage of elderly people in the population [10]. Glaucoma has been reported to be one of the three leading causes of visual impairment in Saudi Arabia, accounting for 5.7% of all cases [11,12].

Multiple factors make glaucoma a public health issue [13]. First, disease diagnosis and treatment are difficult as some forms are asymptomatic. Patients will not notice vision loss until the optic nerve fibers and VFs are damaged extensively [14]. In angle-closure glaucoma, the damage progresses rapidly toward blindness and is hard to slow down or manage. Second, reading, driving, and movement can be affected by glaucoma [15]. Glaucoma patients have reduced life quality due to functional impairments. Third, advanced glaucoma therapy is costly, which could impose a large economic burden on low-vision glaucoma patients [15]. Due to these factors, a better understanding of the disease frequency and distribution pattern would facilitate disease treatment and glaucoma prevention.

We did not observe a significant association between age and IOP for the left or right eye. Similarly, a family-based longitudinal study in Korea and Mongolia tracked 3096 patients for more than 10 years and found no association between IOP and older age [16]. There is debate on how aging affects the IOP. Previous cross-sectional studies in America [17,18] and Italy [19] revealed a substantial positive association between age and IOP; however, investigations in Europe, Japan, Korea, and other countries observed the opposite effect [20-27].

A study carried out in Europe found a U-shaped relationship between age and IOP and concluded that this relationship may explain the contrasting findings from different studies [28]. The equilibrium between aqueous fluid production and resistance in both conventional and non-conventional outflow routes determines the IOP [29-31]. Given that aging has been shown to reduce the formation of the aqueous humor, the mechanism underlying the effects of aging on the IOP may not be clear-cut. The inconsistent results among studies may be attributed to regional variations in eye characteristics, such as the incidence of myopia [32,33].

Based on the existing literature, the link between IOP and sex is not clearly established. In our study, there was no evidence of a significant relationship between sex and IOP ($P=0.451$ for the right eye and 0.150 for the left eye). These findings are consistent with those of several studies [22,23,27,34]. However, a number of studies observed a greater IOP among females than among males [35-38], and vice versa [19,24,39]. Although it is unclear why there are gender-specific variations in the IOP, they have been attributed to menopausal hormone changes and the effects of IOP-lowering medications such as estrogen and nitric oxide. In addition, the observed variations in IOP may be attributed to the interplay of several systemic factors. These include systolic blood pressure, body mass index, regional and ethnic variations, and overall physical health [40].

In our study, past medical history and IOP were significantly correlated ($P=0.046$). Several studies have reported a significant correlation between high blood pressure and IOP [16,21,23-25,27,34,41-44]. In IOP elevation, higher blood pressure has been proposed to cause an increase in the filtration fraction of the aqueous humor via an increase in ciliary artery pressure, and increased IOP is the consequence of elevated serum corticoids and sympathetic tone [45,46]. Additionally, nocturnal hypotension has been identified as a significant risk factor for VF loss in normal-tension glaucoma (NTG), with prolonged periods of mean arterial pressure (MAP) being 10 mmHg below daytime levels predicting VF progression [47]. Furthermore, peripheral vasospasm, evidenced by greater decreases in finger temperature post-cold pressor test, has been associated with accelerated VF progression in NTG patients, independent of IOP levels [48]. Lastly, the role of retinal blood flow autoregulation in relation to IOP and blood pressure variations suggests that individuals' capacity to regulate retinal hemodynamics is contingent on their BP and autoregulatory abilities, which could explain inconsistencies in the clinical literature about the relationship between IOP and retinal hemodynamics [49]. On the other hand, a number of studies have linked glaucoma to decreased ocular perfusion pressure [50,51]. These results demonstrate the diverse relationships and complexity of glaucoma. Although a positive association between systemic blood pressure and IOP has been established, further research is necessary to determine the relationship between systemic blood pressure and glaucoma.

Various studies have also shown a strong association between diabetes and an increase in the IOP [20,21,23-25,27,34,52]. However, several studies indicated no conclusive link [43,53]. It has been suggested that the osmotic gradient induced by hyperglycemia, which forces the aqueous humor into the anterior chamber, is the cause of the increased IOP in diabetic patients [54]. Additionally, diabetes mellitus may induce glaucoma and other eye diseases via vascular damage, independent of an increase in the IOP [55].

Our findings showed that smoking had no apparent association with IOP, consistent with some studies [56,57] but not others [27,50,53]. These inconsistent findings might be attributed to the different research designs, the size of the population under examination, or the various ways in which smoking was defined for the purpose of each study. Our findings demonstrated that there was no association between current eye conditions and IOP, which is in agreement with some studies [53,58,59] but contradicts other studies [60,61]. We also found a significant association between previous eye operations and IOP. Additionally, there was a strong correlation between race and IOP.

Our study has some limitations, including the use of a noncontact tonometer, which is generally considered to be less accurate than a Goldmann applanation tonometer. Additionally, there were no measurements of the central corneal thickness, which is known to contribute to tonometry measurement problems. One other possible drawback is that this study's approach was cross-sectional instead of longitudinal.

Conclusions

This study highlights the prevalence of OHT among middle-aged adults, with significant variations observed across gender and nationality. While factors like family history of glaucoma, vascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, and chronic medication use were found to be associated with OHT, our study did not observe a significant association with smoking or age.

Additional Information

Author Contributions

All authors have reviewed the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Concept and design: Loay Y. Al Thobaiti, Ali H. Alghamdi, Mahadi Bashir

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Loay Y. Al Thobaiti, Abdullah M. Alhajji, Suhaib A. Alzahrani, Ranin K. Alnemari, Khalid A. Alzahrani, Ahmed G. Alghamdi, Abdulaziz H. Althobaiti, Roaa S. Alzahrani

Drafting of the manuscript: Loay Y. Al Thobaiti, Abdullah M. Alhajji, Suhaib A. Alzahrani, Ranin K. Alnemari, Khalid A. Alzahrani, Ahmed G. Alghamdi, Abdulaziz H. Althobaiti, Roaa S. Alzahrani

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Loay Y. Al Thobaiti, Ali H. Alghamdi, Mahadi Bashir

Supervision: Loay Y. Al Thobaiti, Ali H. Alghamdi, Mahadi Bashir, Abdullah M. Alhajji, Suhaib A. Alzahrani, Ranin K. Alnemari, Khalid A. Alzahrani, Ahmed G. Alghamdi, Abdulaziz H. Althobaiti

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Scientific Research & Ethics Committee, Al-Baha University, Faculty of Medicine issued approval REC/SUR/BU-FM/2022/27. IRB

name: Scientific Research & Ethics Committee, Al-Baha University, Faculty of Medicine Approval number: REC/SUR/BU-FM/2022/27. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Khandekar R, Chauhan D, Yasir ZH, Al-Zobidi M, Judaibi R, Edward DP: The prevalence and determinants of glaucoma among 40 years and older Saudi residents in the Riyadh Governorate (except the Capital) - A community based survey. *Saudi J Ophthalmol*. 2019, 33:332-7. [10.1016/j.sjopt.2019.02.006](#)
2. Schuster AK, Wagner FM, Pfeiffer N, Hoffmann EM: Risk factors for open-angle glaucoma and recommendations for glaucoma screening. *Ophthalmologe*. 2021, 118:145-52. [10.1007/s00347-021-01378-5](#)
3. Zhong H, Li J, Li C, et al.: The prevalence of glaucoma in adult rural Chinese populations of the Bai nationality in Dali: the Yunnan Minority Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2012, 53:3221-5. [10.1167/iovs.11-9306](#)
4. van Gestel A, Webers CA, Beckers HJ, Peeters A, Severens JL, Schouten JS: Ocular hypertension and the risk of blindness. *J Glaucoma*. 2015, 24:9-11. [10.1097/IJG.0b013e318287ac75](#)
5. Rouhiainen H, Teräsvirta M: Incidence of open-angle glaucoma and screening of the intraocular pressure with a non-contact tonometer. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 1990, 68:344-6. [10.1111/j.1755-3768.1990.tb01936.x](#)
6. Ponte F, Giuffrè G, Giammanco R, Dardanoni G: Risk factors of ocular hypertension and glaucoma. The Casteldaccia Eye Study. *Doc Ophthalmol*. 1994, 85:203-10. [10.1007/BF01664928](#)
7. Tsai JH, Derby E, Holland EJ, Khatana AK: Incidence and prevalence of glaucoma in severe ocular surface disease. *Cornea*. 2006, 25:530-2. [10.1097/01.icc.0000220776.93852.d9](#)
8. Flaxman SR, Bourne RR, Resnikoff S, et al.: Global causes of blindness and distance vision impairment 1990-2020: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2017, 1:1221-34. [10.1016/S2214-109X\(17\)30393-5](#)
9. Kingman S: Glaucoma is second leading cause of blindness globally. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2004, 82:887-8.
10. Tham YC, Li X, Wong TY, Quigley HA, Aung T, Cheng CY: Global prevalence of glaucoma and projections of glaucoma burden through 2040: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology*. 2014, 121:2081-90. [10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.05.013](#)
11. Tabbara KF: Blindness in the eastern Mediterranean countries. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2001, 85:771-5. [10.1136/bjo.85.7.771](#)
12. Alswailmi FK: Global prevalence and causes of visual impairment with special reference to the general population of Saudi Arabia. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2018, 34:751-6. [10.12669/pjms.343.14510](#)
13. Gutierrez P, Wilson MR, Johnson C, et al.: Influence of glaucomatous visual field loss on health-related quality of life. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1997, 115:777-84. [10.1001/archophth.1997.01100150779014](#)
14. Freeman EE, Muñoz B, West SK, Jampel HD, Friedman DS: Glaucoma and quality of life: the Salisbury Eye Evaluation. *Ophthalmology*. 2008, 115:233-8. [10.1016/j.ophtha.2007.04.050](#)
15. Goldberg I, Clement CI, Chiang TH, Walt JG, Lee LJ, Graham S, Healey PR: Assessing quality of life in patients with glaucoma using the Glaucoma Quality of Life-15 (GQL-15) questionnaire. *J Glaucoma*. 2009, 18:6-12. [10.1097/IJG.0b013e3181752c83](#)
16. Lee MK, Cho SI, Kim H, et al.: Epidemiologic characteristics of intraocular pressure in the Korean and Mongolian populations: The Healthy Twin and the GENDISCAN study. *Ophthalmology*. 2012, 119:450-7. [10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.09.016](#)
17. Klein BE, Klein R, Linton KL: Intraocular pressure in an American community. The Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1992, 33:2224-8.
18. Memarzadeh F, Ying-Lai M, Azen SP, Varma R: Associations with intraocular pressure in Latinos: the Los Angeles Latino Eye Study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2008, 146:69-76. [10.1016/j.ajo.2008.03.015](#)
19. Bonomi L, Marchini G, Marraffa M, et al.: Prevalence of glaucoma and intraocular pressure distribution in a defined population: The Egna-Neumarkt Study. *Ophthalmology*. 1998, 105:209-15. [10.1016/S0161-6420\(98\)92665-3](#)
20. Lee JS, Lee SH, Oum BS, Chung JS, Cho BM, Hong JW: Relationship between intraocular pressure and systemic health parameters in a Korean population. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2002, 30:237-41. [10.1046/j.1442-9071.2002.00527.x](#)
21. Oh SW, Lee S, Park C, Kim DJ: Elevated intraocular pressure is associated with insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2005, 21:434-40. [10.1002/dmrr.529](#)
22. Lee YW, Min WK, Chun S, et al.: The association between intraocular pressure and predictors of coronary heart disease risk in Koreans. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2008, 23:31-4. [10.3346/jkms.2008.23.1.31](#)
23. Park SS, Lee EH, Jargal G, Paek D, Cho SI: The distribution of intraocular pressure and its association with metabolic syndrome in a community. *J Prev Med Public Health*. 2010, 43:125-30. [10.3961/jpmph.2010.43.2.125](#)
24. Chang YC, Lin JW, Wang LC, Chen HM, Hwang JJ, Chuang LM: Association of intraocular pressure with the metabolic syndrome and novel cardiometabolic risk factors. *Eye*. 2010, 24:1037-43. [10.1038/eye.2009.247](#)
25. Lin CP, Lin YS, Wu SC, Ko YS: Age- and gender-specific association between intraocular pressure and metabolic variables in a Taiwanese population. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2012, 23:76-82. [10.1016/j.ejim.2011.06.002](#)
26. Xu L, Li J, Zheng Y, et al.: Intraocular pressure in Northern China in an urban and rural population: the Beijing eye study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2005, 140:913-5. [10.1016/j.ajo.2005.04.050](#)

27. Nomura H, Shimokata H, Ando F, Miyake Y, Kuzuya F: Age-related changes in intraocular pressure in a large Japanese population: a cross-sectional and longitudinal study. *Ophthalmology*. 1999, 106:2016-22. [10.1016/S0161-6420\(99\)90417-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(99)90417-7)
28. Khawaja AP, Springelkamp H, Creuzot-Garcher C, et al.: Associations with intraocular pressure across Europe: The European Eye Epidemiology (E(3)) Consortium. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2016, 31:1101-11. [10.1007/s10654-016-0191-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-016-0191-1)
29. Kupfer C: Clinical significance of pseudofacility: Sanford R. Gifford Memorial Lecture . *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1973, 75:193-204. [10.1016/0002-9394\(73\)91013-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9394(73)91013-1)
30. Bloom JN, Levene RZ, Thomas G, Kimura R: Fluorophotometry and the rate of aqueous flow in man. I. Instrumentation and normal values. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1976, 94:435-43. [10.1001/archophth.1976.03910030211008](https://doi.org/10.1001/archophth.1976.03910030211008)
31. Brubaker RF, Nagataki S, Townsend DJ, Burns RR, Higgins RG, Wentworth W: The effect of age on aqueous humor formation in man. *Ophthalmology*. 1981, 88:283-8. [10.1016/S0161-6420\(81\)35037-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(81)35037-4)
32. Shiose Y: The aging effect on intraocular pressure in an apparently normal population . *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1984, 102:883-7. [10.1001/archophth.1984.01040030703023](https://doi.org/10.1001/archophth.1984.01040030703023)
33. Saw SM, Gazzard G, Koh D, Farook M, Widjaja D, Lee J, Tan DTH: Prevalence rates of refractive errors in Sumatra, Indonesia . *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2002, 43:3174-80.
34. Fukuoka S, Aihara M, Iwase A, Araie M: Intraocular pressure in an ophthalmologically normal Japanese population. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2008, 86:434-9. [10.1111/j.1600-0420.2007.01068.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0420.2007.01068.x)
35. Baek SU, Kee C, Suh W: Longitudinal analysis of age-related changes in intraocular pressure in South Korea . *Eye*. 2015, 29:625-9. [10.1038/eye.2015.11](https://doi.org/10.1038/eye.2015.11)
36. Bijani A, Ghadimi R, Mikaniki E, et al.: Cohort profile update: The Amirkola Health and Ageing Project (AHAP). *Caspian J Intern Med*. 2017, 8:205-12. [10.22088/cjim.8.3.205](https://doi.org/10.22088/cjim.8.3.205)
37. Sommer A, Tielsch JM, Katz J, Quigley HA, Gottsch JD, Javitt J, Singh K: Relationship between intraocular pressure and primary open angle glaucoma among white and black Americans. The Baltimore Eye Survey. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1991, 109:1090-5. [10.1001/archophth.1991.01080080050026](https://doi.org/10.1001/archophth.1991.01080080050026)
38. Takahashi S, Hara K, Sano I, Onoda K, Nagai A, Yamaguchi S, Tanito M: Systemic factors associated with intraocular pressure among subjects in a health examination program in Japan. *PLoS One*. 2020, 15:e0234042. [10.1371/journal.pone.0234042](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234042)
39. Yazici A, Sen E, Ozdal P, Aksakal FN, Altinok A, Oncul H, Koklu G: Factors affecting intraocular pressure measured by noncontact tonometer. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2009, 19:61-5. [10.1177/112067210901900109](https://doi.org/10.1177/112067210901900109)
40. Bikbov MM, Kazakbaeva GM, Zainullin RM, et al.: Intraocular pressure and its associations in a Russian population: The Ural Eye and Medical Study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2019, 204:130-9. [10.1016/j.ajo.2019.02.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2019.02.030)
41. Leske MC, Podgor MJ: Intraocular pressure, cardiovascular risk variables, and visual field defects . *Am J Epidemiol*. 1983, 118:280-7. [10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a113634](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a113634)
42. McLeod SD, West SK, Quigley HA, Fozard JL: A longitudinal study of the relationship between intraocular and blood pressures. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1990, 31:2361-6.
43. Rochtchina E, Mitchell P, Wang JJ: Relationship between age and intraocular pressure: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2002, 30:173-5. [10.1046/j.1442-9071.2002.00519.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-9071.2002.00519.x)
44. Hennis A, Wu SY, Nemesure B, Leske MC: Hypertension, diabetes, and longitudinal changes in intraocular pressure. *Ophthalmology*. 2003, 110:908-14. [10.1016/S0161-6420\(03\)00075-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(03)00075-7)
45. Bulpitt CJ, Hodes C, Everitt MG: Intraocular pressure and systemic blood pressure in the elderly . *Br J Ophthalmol*. 1975, 59:717-20. [10.1136/bjo.59.12.717](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.59.12.717)
46. Shiose Y, Kawase Y: A new approach to stratified normal intraocular pressure in a general population . *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1986, 101:714-21. [10.1016/0002-9394\(86\)90776-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9394(86)90776-2)
47. Charlson ME, de Moraes CG, Link A, et al.: Nocturnal systemic hypotension increases the risk of glaucoma progression. *Ophthalmology*. 2014, 121:2004-12. [10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.04.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.04.016)
48. Park DY, Han JC, Lee EJ, Kee C: Relationship between peripheral vasospasm and visual field progression rates in patients with normal-tension glaucoma with low-teen intraocular pressure. *PLoS One*. 2021, 16:e0250085. [10.1371/journal.pone.0250085](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250085)
49. Guidoboni G, Harris A, Cassani S, et al.: Intraocular pressure, blood pressure, and retinal blood flow autoregulation: a mathematical model to clarify their relationship and clinical relevance. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2014, 55:4105-18. [10.1167/iovs.13-13611](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.13-13611)
50. Topouzis F, Coleman AL, Harris A, et al.: Association of blood pressure status with the optic disk structure in non-glaucoma subjects: the Thessaloniki eye study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2006, 142:60-7. [10.1016/j.ajo.2006.02.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2006.02.055)
51. Zhao D, Cho J, Kim MH, Friedman DS, Guallar E: Diabetes, fasting glucose, and the risk of glaucoma: a meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology*. 2015, 122:72-8. [10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.07.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.07.051)
52. Mori K, Ando F, Nomura H, Sato Y, Shimokata H: Relationship between intraocular pressure and obesity in Japan. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2000, 29:661-6. [10.1093/ije/29.4.661](https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/29.4.661)
53. Hashemi H, Kashi AH, Fotouhi A, Mohammad K: Distribution of intraocular pressure in healthy Iranian individuals: the Tehran Eye Study. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2005, 89:652-7. [10.1136/bjo.2004.058057](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.2004.058057)
54. Mapstone R, Clark CV: Prevalence of diabetes in glaucoma. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1985, 291:93-5. [10.1136/bmj.291.6488.93](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.291.6488.93)
55. Mitchell P, Smith W, Chey T, Healey PR: Open-angle glaucoma and diabetes: the Blue Mountains eye study, Australia. *Ophthalmology*. 1997, 104:712-8. [10.1016/S0161-6420\(97\)30247-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(97)30247-4)
56. Yoshida M, Take S, Ishikawa M, Kokaze A, Karita K, Harada M, Ohno H: Association of smoking with intraocular pressure in middle-aged and older Japanese residents. *Environ Health Prev Med*. 2014, 19:100-7. [10.1007/s12199-013-0359-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12199-013-0359-1)
57. Wang PP, Ke CM, Yao DY, et al.: A cohort study on associations between fundus/intraocular pressure abnormality and medical check-up items. *Curr Eye Res*. 2021, 46:704-9. [10.1080/02713683.2020.1826978](https://doi.org/10.1080/02713683.2020.1826978)
58. McCann P, Hogg R, Wright DM, et al.: Intraocular pressure and circumferential retinal nerve fibre layer thickness in the Northern Ireland Cohort for the Longitudinal Study of Ageing (NICOLA): distributions and associations. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2021, 105:948-56. [10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-316499](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-316499)

59. Tan NY, Sng CC, Jonas JB, Wong TY, Jansonius NM, Ang M: Glaucoma in myopia: Diagnostic dilemmas. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2019, 103:1347-55. [10.1136/bjophthalmol-2018-313530](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2018-313530)
60. Landers J, Henderson T, Craig J: Distribution and associations of intraocular pressure in indigenous Australians within central Australia: the Central Australian Ocular Health Study. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2011, 39:607-13. [10.1111/j.1442-9071.2011.02507.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9071.2011.02507.x)
61. Hoehn R, Mirshahi A, Hoffmann EM, Kottler UB, Wild PS, Laubert-Reh D, Pfeiffer N: Distribution of intraocular pressure and its association with ocular features and cardiovascular risk factors: the Gutenberg Health Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2013, 120:961-8. [10.1016/j.ophtha.2012.10.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2012.10.031)