DOI: 10.7759/cureus.44427

Review began 08/16/2023 Review ended 08/28/2023 Published 08/31/2023

© Copyright 2023

Kayaokay et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

A Comparison of the Treatment Outcomes With and Without the Use of Intra-articular Corticosteroids for Frozen Shoulder Manipulation

Kemal Kayaokay 1, Derya Arslan Yurtlu 2

1. Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Izmir Katip Çelebi University, Izmir, TUR 2. Department of Anaesthesiology and Reanimation, Izmir Katip Çelebi University, Ataturk Training and Research Hospital, Izmir, TUR

Corresponding author: Kemal Kayaokay, kemalkayaokay@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

Manipulation under anesthesia is known to be an effective treatment method for a frozen shoulder. However, this process is painful and causes difficulty in early physiotherapy. Intra-articular corticosteroids may relieve pain after manipulation. This study compared patients who underwent manipulation under anesthesia with those who only underwent physiotherapy and those who received intra-articular corticosteroid administration and physiotherapy.

Methodology

A total of 33 patients presenting with frozen shoulders were included in this study. Those who underwent manipulation after anesthesia were determined as group 1 (16 patients) and those who received intra-articular corticosteroids in addition to manipulation under anesthesia were determined as group 2 (17 patients). Pain was evaluated using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) scores. Functional outcomes were assessed using the University of California-Los Angeles (UCLA) scores and shoulder range of motion (ROM).

Results

VAS and UCLA scores of both groups were similar at 12 weeks and six months. ROM improved significantly after manipulation in both group 1 and group 2 (p < 0.05). There was no significant difference between the ROM in the two groups after manipulation and physiotherapy. Only the external rotation ROM value was better in group 2 (p = 0.032)

Conclusions

Physiotherapy after manipulation is a successful treatment method for frozen shoulder patients. It reduces pain in the early period compared to patients who are not administered intra-articular corticosteroids. However, it has no functional superiority.

Categories: Anesthesiology, Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Orthopedics

 $\textbf{Keywords:} \ intra-articular\ corticosteroid\ injection,\ frozen\ shoulder,\ adhesive\ capsulitis,\ physiotherapy\ rehabilitation,\ manipulation$

Introduction

A frozen shoulder causes severe limitation of active and passive range of motion (ROM) of the glenohumeral joint and a decrease in the activities of daily living due to severe pain [1]. The incidence of frozen shoulder has been reported at 2-5% [2]. Its incidence increases over the age of 50 [3]. It was also named adhesive capsulitis by Neviaser because of chronic inflammation in the joint capsule, increase in capsule thickness, and progressive fibrosis in the joint capsule shown on the pathological examination of the disease [4]. It is an inflammatory process that affects all soft tissues such as the joint capsule, subacromial scholarship, rotator interval, axillary recess, and biceps tendon sheath. The etiopathogenesis of the disease has not been fully elucidated. However, diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, thyroid metabolism disorders, and hypoadrenalism, which often accompany the clinical picture, are thought to play a role in its etiopathology [5]. Although the etiopathogenesis of frozen shoulder is controversial, the clinical picture of the disease is quite typical and restrictive. Cases where the underlying pathology is thought to be due to $diseases \ such \ as \ diabetes \ mellitus, \ hyperlipidemia, \ thyroid \ metabolism \ disorders, \ and \ hypoadrenalism \ are$ called secondary frozen shoulder [6-8]. Frozen shoulder is a disease that is usually manipulated under anesthesia and physical therapy should be started on the same day. However, the first days of physical therapy may be painful and movements may be limited. This study aimed to investigate the effect of intraarticular corticosteroid administration on pain, joint ROM, and success of physiotherapy at an early stage after manipulation.

Materials And Methods

This study was conducted among patients who presented to the Orthopaedics and Traumatology Clinic with a frozen shoulder after obtaining the approval of the İzmir Kâtip Çelebi University Non-interventional Clinical Research Ethics Committee (approval number: 0199-2023). Clinical and laboratory data of patients hospitalized for frozen shoulder between January 2017 and December 2022 were retrospectively reviewed. Patients older than 18 years of age, who underwent standard manipulation procedures under deep sedation in the operating room, who started physiotherapy on the same day after manipulation, and who regularly performed physiotherapy for 12 weeks were included in the study. Patients with a rotator cuff tear in the shoulder, who previously had a shoulder-related pathology, who underwent open arthroscopic surgery, and who had a history of fractures or trauma to the same limb were excluded from the study.

Standard manipulation under anesthesia in the chair position was performed as arm abduction, flexion, external rotation when the arm was in neutral position, and external and internal rotation when the arm was in 90° abduction [9]. The preference for intra-articular corticosteroid administration was the practitioner's own choice and was made at random. Generally, practitioners preferred long-acting betamethasone dipropionate 6.43 mg (equivalent to 5 mg betamethasone) for intra-articular injections. It was also mixed with 1% lidocaine hydrochloride as a local anesthetic. In this study, the same standard manipulation was applied to all patients by different surgeons. After the manipulation, physiotherapy was started on the same day in the service, accompanied by a physiotherapist. Patients who underwent physiotherapy and regularly attended the first-week, sixth-week, and 12th-week follow-ups were included in the study. Retrospectively, patients were divided into the following two groups: patients who underwent manipulation only (group 1), and patients who received intra-articular corticosteroids after manipulation (group 2).

Demographic characteristics of the patients, including age, gender, and comorbidities, were recorded. Preoperative and postoperative ROM (flexion-abduction-external rotation-internal rotation) were measured using a goniometer. The University of California-Los Angeles (UCLA) shoulder scores were compared functionally at the pre-manipulation and post-manipulation controls [10]. Pain was recorded using the Visual Analog Scale (0: no pain; 10: the worst pain ever experienced) [11].

SPSS Statistics version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) software was used for data analysis. For comparisons between the groups, the Pearson chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were used to evaluate categorical data, and the Mann-Whitney U-test was used for statistical analysis of continuous data that did not correspond to normal data. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 39 patients presented to our clinic between 2017 and 2022 and were diagnosed with a frozen shoulder. Of these, 33 patients who were over the age of 18 and could be followed up for 12 weeks were included in this study. Overall, 11 of the patients participating in the study were male and 22 were female. The mean age of the 33 patients in our study was 53.03 ± 10.25 years. There were 16 patients in group 1 and 17 patients in group 2. While the mean age of the patients was 52.69 ± 8.49 years in group 1, which underwent manipulation only, it was 53.35 ± 11.93 years in group 2, which underwent manipulation and intra-articular injection. Frozen shoulder was more common in women aged 40-60 years.

The mean ROM of patients in group 1 before manipulation was 86.25° for flexion, 79.06° for abduction, 39.69° for external rotation, and 31.25° for internal rotation. In group 2, the mean ROM before manipulation was 87.94° for flexion, 81.76° for abduction, 39.71° for external rotation, and 34.41° for internal rotation. The ROM in group 1 ROM after manipulation was 123.13° for flexion, 111.56° for abduction, 71.25° for external rotation, and 68.75° for internal rotation. In group 2, it was measured as 133.53° for flexion, 118.24° for abduction, 82.35° for external rotation, and 78.82° for internal rotation. Group 1 external rotation change ROM difference was significantly higher than group 2 (Table 1).

ROM	Group 1	Group 1		Group 2		
	Preoperative	Postoperative	Preoperative	Postoperative		
Flexion (mean ± SD)	86.25 ± 12.22	123.13 ± 21.97	87.94 ± 6.62	133.53 ± 12.59		
Abduction (mean ± SD)	79.06 ± 12.93	111.56 ± 16.60	81.76 ± 7.2	118.24 ± 12.61		
External rotation (mean ± SD)	39.69 ± 12.31	71.25 ± 17.17	39.71 ± 10.52	82.35 ± 10.77		
Internal rotation (mean ± SD)	31.25 ± 12.17	68.75 ± 17.07	34.41 ± 7.68	78.82 ± 11.92		

TABLE 1: Comparison of ROM preoperatively and postoperatively.

ROM: range of motion; SD: standard deviation

ROM increased significantly after manipulation in both group 1 and group 2 (p < 0.05). There was no significant difference between the ROM of the two groups after manipulation and physiotherapy. After manipulation, there was a statistically significant difference in external rotation ROM in group 2 compared to group 1, but no difference was observed between other ROMs (Table 2).

ROM	Group	Group 2	P-value
Flexion	123.13 ± 21.97	133.53 ± 12.59	0.103
Abduction	111.56 ± 16.60	118.24 ± 12.61	0.202
External rotation	71.25 ± 17.17	82.35 ± 10.77	0.032
Internal rotation	68.75 ± 17.07	78.82 ± 11.92	0.057

TABLE 2: Comparison of ROM 12 weeks after treatment.

ROM: range of motion; SD: standard deviation

The VAS and UCLA scores before and after manipulation were similar in both groups (Table 3).

	Group 1	Group 1		Group 2	
	Preoperative	Postoperative	Preoperative	Postoperative	
VAS (mean ± SD)	7.44 ± 1.31	1.37 ± 1.25	6.59 ± 1.27	0.76 ± 1.03	0.137
UCLA (mean ± SD)	10.38 ± 5.03	29.56 ± 4.38	12.76 ± 4.45	31.76 ± 3.36	0.114

TABLE 3: Comparison of VAS and UCLA scores of both groups.

VAS: Visual Analog Scale; UCLA: University of California-Los Angeles; SD: standard deviation

Discussion

The results of the study showed that intra-articular corticosteroid administration after manipulation was not superior to the control group except for external rotation movements.

In frozen shoulder, the goal is to achieve a painless ROM of the joint. Achieving this goal includes a wide spectrum of treatments such as manipulation, intra-articular injection, hydration, ultrasonography-guided injections, platelet-rich plasma (PRP) injection, conservative therapy, and arthroscopic loosening [12,13]. While the issue of which treatment is superior has been the subject of debate, it has led to many studies comparing these options. In general, conservative treatment, analgesia, and physiotherapy are performed. In patients who do not experience improvement and an increase in movements for more than three to six

months, manipulation or arthroscopic relaxation is performed under anesthesia.

The goal of applying corticosteroids after manipulation treatment is to suppress new inflammation that will occur after manipulation as well as to reduce pain [14,15]. Reducing pain is important in increasing the success of physiotherapy in the early period [16]. In this study, pain was evaluated with VAS and there was no difference between the two groups. Kiwimaki et al. compared manipulation and isolated physiotherapy programs and found that there was no significant difference between them at the end of the one-year follow-up [17]. Afzal et al. and Mobini et al. reported that corticosteroid along with physiotherapy was more successful than physiotherapy alone [18,19]. Ranalletta et al. found that patients who received corticosteroid injections along with physiotherapy experienced a faster reduction in pain and improved shoulder motion and function [20]. Khallaf et al. reported that the functional results of patients who received intra-articular corticosteroids were more successful after physiotherapy [21]. Song et al. reported that hydrodistension under manipulation was more successful than corticosteroid injection [22]. Shahzad et al., in their study of 202 patients, reported improvement in VAS score, UCLA, and ROM after intra-articular injection of PRP compared to intra-articular injection of corticosteroids [23]. Ryans et al. reported significant improvement in patients receiving intra-articular corticosteroids at week six in a randomized controlled trial [24]. They also reported that physiotherapy improved external rotation at six weeks. In our study, external rotation was significantly better in group 2 patients at 12 weeks. We attributed this to our injection from the posterior shoulder. Therefore, it led us to predict that intra-articular corticosteroid administration from different regions can increase other movements.

Gam et al. reported that 18 patients had good ROM after 15 years of follow-up [25]. In our study, there was no difference between the sixth and 12th-week follow-up of patients who received intra-articular corticosteroid injection after manipulation and those who did not. Again, the sixth-month follow-up was similar. However, in the first days after manipulation, the pain was less in patients who received corticosteroid injections in terms of VAS score. UCLA scores were similar in both groups after manipulation. Compared to before the manipulation, both groups showed improvement in UCLA scores.

Physiotherapy after manipulation is a successful treatment modality in frozen shoulder patients. There are studies that report functional improvement after intra-articular corticosteroids are added to physiotherapy. Oh et al. reported that physiotherapy performed after an intra-articular injection of a single dose of corticosteroid led to better functional outcomes than physiotherapy alone [26]. However, intra-articular corticosteroid administration reduces pain in the early period.

The limitation of our study was that it was retrospective and had a small patient group. Another limitation is that patients were not classified according to their daily performance differences. The short follow-up period is also one of the limitations of this study.

Conclusions

Manipulation and physiotherapy under anesthesia is a successful treatment modality in the treatment of frozen shoulder. In our study, intra-articular corticosteroid administration after manipulation increased external rotation ROM. Intra-articular corticosteroid administration after manipulation has no superiority in terms of pain and functional scores.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. İzmir Kâtip Çelebi University Non-interventional Clinical Research Ethics Committee issued approval 0199-2023. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- 1. Grey RG: The natural history of "idiopathic" frozen shoulder . J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1978, 60:564.
- de la Serna D, Navarro-Ledesma S, Alayón F, López E, Pruimboom L: A comprehensive view of frozen shoulder: a mystery syndrome. Front Med (Lausanne). 2021, 8:663703. 10.3389/fmed.2021.663703
- Rizk TE, Pinals RS: Frozen shoulder. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 1982, 11:440-52. 10.1016/0049-0172(82)90030-0
- Neviaser AS, Neviaser RJ: Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder . J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2011, 19:536-42. 10.5435/00124635-201109000-00004
- Zuckerman JD, Rokito A: Frozen shoulder: a consensus definition. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2011, 20:322-5. 10.1016/j.jse.2010.07.008

- Cucchi D, Marmotti A, De Giorgi S, et al.: Risk factors for shoulder stiffness: current concepts. Joints. 2017, 5:217-23. 10.1055/s-0037-1608951
- Itoi E, Arce G, Bain GI, et al.: Shoulder stiffness: current concepts and concerns. Arthroscopy. 2016, 32:1402-14. 10.1016/j.arthro.2016.03.024
- Zreik NH, Malik RA, Charalambous CP: Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder and diabetes: a meta-analysis of prevalence. Muscles Ligaments Tendons J. 2016, 6:26-34. 10.11138/mltj/2016.6.1.026
- Lin HH, Huang TF, Ma HL, Liu CL: Body mass index and active range of motion exercise treatment after intra-articular injection in adhesive capsulitis. J Chin Med Assoc. 2013, 76:225-8. 10.1016/j.jcma.2012.12.008
- Ellman H, Kay SP: Arthroscopic subacromial decompression for chronic impingement. Two- to five-year results. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1991, 73:395-8. 10.1302/0301-620X.73B3.1670435
- $11. \quad \text{McCormack HM, Horne DJ, Sheather S: Clinical applications of visual analogue scales: a critical review .} \\ \quad \text{Psychol Med. 1988, } 18:1007-19.10.1017/s0033291700009934}$
- Griesser MJ, Harris JD, Campbell JE, Jones GL: Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder: a systematic review of the effectiveness of intra-articular corticosteroid injections. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011, 93:1727-33.
 10.2106/JBIS L01275
- Deng Z, Li Z, Li X, et al.: Comparison of outcomes of two different corticosteroid injection approaches for primary frozen shoulder: a randomized controlled study. J Rehabil Med. 2023, 55:jrm00361. 10.2340/jrm.v55.2201
- Challoumas D, Biddle M, McLean M, Millar NL: Comparison of treatments for frozen shoulder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open. 2020, 3:e2029581. 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.29581
- Sun Y, Zhang P, Liu S, Li H, Jiang J, Chen S, Chen J: Intra-articular steroid injection for frozen shoulder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials with trial sequential analysis. Am J Sports Med. 2017. 45:2171-9. 10.1177/0363546516669944
- Lee DH, Yoon SH, Lee MY, Kwack KS, Rah UW: Capsule-preserving hydrodilatation with corticosteroid versus corticosteroid injection alone in refractory adhesive capsulitis of shoulder: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2017, 98:815-21. 10.1016/j.apmr.2016.10.012
- Kivimäki J, Pohjolainen T, Malmivaara A, Kannisto M, Guillaume J, Seitsalo S, Nissinen M: Manipulation under anesthesia with home exercises versus home exercises alone in the treatment of frozen shoulder: a randomized, controlled trial with 125 patients. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2007, 16:722-6.
 10.1016/j.jse.2007.02.125
- Afzal T, Chaudhry AA, Gillani SF, Rafiq A, Dar UZ, Sultan N: Intra-articular corticosteroid with physiotherapy versus physiotherapy alone for the treatment of frozen shoulder: a randomized controlled trial. J Pak Orthop Assoc. 2019, 31:85-9.
- Mobini M, Adeleh B, Morteza Y: Comparison of corticosteroid injections, physiotherapy, and combination therapy in treatment of frozen shoulder. Pak I Med Sci. 2012. 28:648-51.
- Ranalletta M, Rossi LA, Bongiovanni SL, Tanoira I, Elizondo CM, Maignon GD: Corticosteroid injections
 accelerate pain relief and recovery of function compared with oral NSAIDs in patients with adhesive
 capsulitis: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Sports Med. 2016, 44:474-81. 10.1177/0363546515616238
- Khallaf SF, Hussein MI, El-Barbary AM, El Khouly RM: Efficacy of ultrasonography-guided intra-articular steroid injection of the shoulder and excercising in patients with adhesive capsulitis: glenohumeral versus subacromial approaches. Egyptian Rheumatol. 2018, 40:277-80. 10.1016/j.ejr.2018.01.005
- Song C, Song C, Li C: Outcome of manipulation under anesthesia with or without intra-articular steroid injection for treating frozen shoulder: a retrospective cohort study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2021, 100:e23893. 10.1097/MD.000000000023893
- Shahzad HF, Taqi M, Gillani SF, Masood F, Ali M: Comparison of functional outcome between intra-articular injection of corticosteroid versus platelet-rich plasma in frozen shoulder: a randomized controlled trial. Cureus. 2021. 13:e20560. 10.7759/cureus.20560
- Ryans I, Montgomery A, Galway R, Kernohan WG, McKane R: A randomized controlled trial of intraarticular triamcinolone and/or physiotherapy in shoulder capsulitis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2005, 44:529-35. 10.1093/rheumatology/keh535
- Gam AN, Schydlowsky P, Rossel I, Remvig L, Jensen EM: Treatment of "frozen shoulder" with distension and glucorticoid compared with glucorticoid alone. A randomised controlled trial. Scand J Rheumatol. 1998, 27:425-30. 10.1080/03007408442244
- Oh JH, Oh CH, Choi JA, Kim SH, Kim JH, Yoon JP: Comparison of glenohumeral and subacromial steroid injection in primary frozen shoulder: a prospective, randomized short-term comparison study. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2011, 20:1034-40. 10.1016/j.jse.2011.04.029