

Review began 01/19/2023
Review ended 02/04/2023
Published 02/06/2023

© Copyright 2023
CM et al. This is an open access article
distributed under the terms of the Creative
Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0.,
which permits unrestricted use, distribution,
and reproduction in any medium, provided
the original author and source are credited.

Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Single-Centre Observational Study From a Tertiary Care Hospital in South India

Wazeem CM¹, Gopalakrishna Pillai¹, Arun Divakar¹, Renjitha Bhaskaran²

1. Internal Medicine, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Center, Kochi, IND 2. Biostatistics, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Center, Kochi, IND

Corresponding author: Wazeem CM, towazeemcm@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

There is a high prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (LVDD) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The influencing factors of LVDD in T2DM are not fully understood.

Objective

This study aimed at assessing the prevalence of LVDD in T2DM as well as looking at the association between various parameters related to T2DM with LVDD in patients with T2DM.

Materials and methods

This was a single-centre cross-sectional study in Kerala, India. The primary objective of the study was to assess the prevalence of LVDD in T2DM. The secondary objectives were to look for an association between higher glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), complications of T2DM, age, and gender of the patient with the presence of LVDD.

Results

A total of 80 patients were included in the study. There were 40 patients with LVDD with a prevalence of 50%. There was a statistically significant positive association between increased age, longer duration of diabetes, higher HbA1C, the presence of diabetic neuropathy, diabetic retinopathy, and diabetic nephropathy with the prevalence of LVDD. A logistic regression analysis demonstrated that the presence of diabetic retinopathy is a risk factor for LVDD in the study subjects.

Categories: Cardiology, Endocrinology/Diabetes/Metabolism, Internal Medicine

Keywords: hba1c, south india, prevalence, left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, diabetes mellitus

Introduction

In 2021, 536.6 million people aged between 20 to 79 years were estimated to have diabetes with a prevalence of 10.5% with a projected increase to 783.2 million people in 2045 with a prevalence of 12.2% [1]. In the state of Kerala in India, which is thought to have a high prevalence of diabetes, a 10-year prospective cohort study showed an incidence rate of 24.5 per 1000 person-years for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and 45.01 per 1000 person-years for impaired fasting glucose [2].

Diabetes can cause structural and functional changes within the heart, even without the development of atherosclerotic disease. Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (LVDD) is thought to represent one of the first pre-clinical manifestations associated with diabetic cardiomyopathy and can precede systolic dysfunction with the ability to evolve into symptomatic cardiac failure [3]. The underlying mechanism of LVDD is attributed to the development of left ventricular hypertrophy with associated myocardial interstitial fibrosis with subsequent myocardial stiffness as well as diastolic relaxation impairment [4]. Over the course of time, diastolic dysfunction may progress to diastolic heart failure in the absence of intervention and this makes it an important entity to be picked up early.

With a high prevalence of T2DM in our general population, data on the prevalence of LVDD in this subset of the population with T2DM is lacking from South India. Hence, we conducted a single-centre prospective observational study to look at the prevalence of LVDD in T2DM as well as look at the association between various parameters related to T2DM with LVDD in patients with T2DM in Kerala.

How to cite this article

CM W, Pillai G, Divakar A, et al. (February 06, 2023) Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Single-Centre Observational Study From a Tertiary Care Hospital in South India. Cureus 15(2): e34667. DOI 10.7759/cureus.34667

Materials And Methods

This was a single-centre cross-sectional study conducted at Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kerala, India for a period of two years between April 2020 and April 2022. The primary objective of the study was to assess the prevalence of LVDD in T2DM. The secondary objectives were to look for an association between higher glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), complications of T2DM, age, and gender of the patient with the presence of LVDD. Ethical committee clearance was obtained from the institutional review board at Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre (approval no: ECASM-AIMS-2022-254).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

A total of 80 patients were included in the study. All patients who fit the inclusion criteria during the pre-determined study period were enrolled in the study after written informed consent. The patients were recruited from the Internal Medicine and Endocrinology department outpatient clinics. The inclusion criteria were patients aged above 30 years with T2DM. Patients were excluded if they had established coronary heart disease, congenital heart disease, valvular heart disease, cardiomyopathy, or any other pre-existing heart conditions. They were also excluded if they were hypertensive, had a poor window on trans-thoracic echocardiography if the patient had Type 1 diabetes or underlying thyroid conditions, or if they were alcoholics, defined as the intake of more than 14 units of alcohol per week.

All patients enrolled in the study had a detailed clinical history, physical examination, and biochemistry tests including a complete blood count (CBC), renal function tests, liver function tests, fasting blood sugar (FBS), post-prandial blood sugar (PPBS), HbA1C, a fasting lipid profile, and a urine protein estimation. Subsequently, they underwent a 2-D directed M mode trans-thoracic echocardiogram (TTE) with a 3.5 MHz transducer using a Philips HD 15 ECHO machine. Inter-performer variability was minimised by having all the TTEs performed by the same two TTE technicians followed by an image review by the same two cardiologists.

Definitions

T2DM was defined as HbA1c $\geq 6.5\%$ or random blood sugar (RBS) ≥ 200 mg/dl or FBS ≥ 126 mg/dl or oral glucose tolerance testing (OGTT) showing an OGTT 2-hour glucose in venous plasma ≥ 200 mg/dl [5].

TTE evaluation of LVDD was performed by the measurement of trans-mitral flow parameters which included early (E) and late (A) diastolic filling velocities, and using the E/A ratio. An E/A ratio of 0.75-1.5 was considered normal and any patient with an E/A ratio of less than 0.75 was considered to have LVDD [6].

Diabetic nephropathy was defined as the presence of overt macroalbuminuria at a level ≥ 300 mg/24 hours [7]. Diabetic retinopathy and diabetic neuropathy were clinical diagnoses.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and frequencies. Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation. The statistical association was checked using T-tests for continuous variables and Fischer's exact test for categorical variables. A backward elimination stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis was also performed to study the impact of the studied parameters against the presence of LVDD. A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

A total of 80 patients were enrolled in the study. There were 40 (50%) patients with LVDD while 40 (50%) patients did not have any evidence of LVDD. The mean (M) \pm standard deviation (SD) age of the patients was 59.4 ± 9 years. The M \pm SD age in the group with LVDD was 59.5 ± 9.9 years. The M \pm SD age in the group without LVDD was 55.4 ± 7.5 years. There was a statistically significant association between increased age and the presence of LVDD ($p = 0.044$). There were 50 (62.5%) males and 30 (37.5%) females in the study. In the group of patients with LVDD, 26 (65%) were males while 14 (35%) were females. In the group without LVDD, 24 (60%) were males while 16 (40%) were females. There was no statistically significant association between gender and the presence of LVDD ($p = 0.82$). The M \pm SD duration of diabetes in the study was 13.3 ± 6.7 years. The M \pm SD duration of diabetes in the group with LVDD was 15 ± 6.3 years. The M \pm SD duration of diabetes in the group without LVDD was 11.7 ± 6.8 years. There was a statistically significant association between increased duration of diabetes and the presence of LVDD ($p = 0.015$).

With respect to evidence of diabetic neuropathy, 31 (38.8%) patients had diabetic neuropathy while 39 (61.2%) patients did not. In the group of patients with LVDD, 23 (57.5%) had diabetic neuropathy while 17 (42.5%) did not. In the group without LVDD, 8 (20%) had diabetic neuropathy while 32 (80%) did not. There was a statistically significant association between diabetic neuropathy and the presence of LVDD ($p = 0.001$). With respect to evidence of diabetic retinopathy, 20 (25%) patients had evidence of retinopathy while 60 (75%) patients did not. In the group of patients with LVDD, 17 (42.5%) had diabetic retinopathy while 23 (57.5%) did not. In the group without LVDD, 3 (7.5%) had diabetic retinopathy while 37 (92.5%) did not.

not. There was a statistically significant association between diabetic neuropathy and the presence of LVDD ($p < 0.001$). With respect to evidence of diabetic nephropathy, 17 (21.3%) patients had evidence of diabetic nephropathy while 63 (78.7%) patients did not. In the group of patients with LVDD, 14 (35%) had diabetic nephropathy while 26 (65%) did not. In the group without LVDD, 3 (7.5%) had diabetic nephropathy while 37 (92.5%) did not. There was a statistically significant association between diabetic nephropathy and the presence of LVDD ($p = 0.005$).

The $M \pm SD$ HbA1C was $8.8 \pm 1.8\%$. The $M \pm SD$ HbA1C in the group with LVDD was $9.5 \pm 1.9\%$. The $M \pm SD$ HbA1C in the group without LVDD was $8.2 \pm 1.4\%$. There was a statistically significant association between increased HbA1C and the presence of LVDD ($p = 0.003$). The $M \pm SD$ FBS in the study was 173.2 ± 69.9 mg/dL. The $M \pm SD$ FBS in the group with LVDD was 183.5 ± 80.1 mg/dL. The $M \pm SD$ FBS in the group without LVDD was 162.8 ± 57.2 mg/dL. There was no statistically significant association between FBS and the presence of LVDD ($p = 0.275$). The $M \pm SD$ PPBS in the study was 282.1 ± 88 mg/dL. The $M \pm SD$ PPBS in the group with LVDD was 295.3 ± 93.6 mg/dL. The $M \pm SD$ PPBS in the group without LVDD was 269 ± 81.2 mg/dL. There was no statistically significant association between PPBS and the presence of LVDD ($p = 0.184$). Various study parameters and associations are summarised in Table 1.

| Parameter | LVDD group | No LVDD group | p-value |
|---|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age ¹ (years) | 59.5 ± 9.9 | 55.4 ± 7.5 | 0.044 |
| Male gender | 26 (65%) | 24 (60%) | 0.82 |
| Female gender | 14 (35%) | 16 (40%) | |
| Duration of diabetes ¹ (years) | 15 ± 6.3 | 11.7 ± 6.8 | 0.015 |
| Diabetic neuropathy | 23 (57.5%) | 8 (20%) | 0.001 |
| Diabetic retinopathy | 17 (42.5%) | 3 (7.5%) | <0.001 |
| Diabetic nephropathy | 14 (35%) | 3 (7.5%) | 0.005 |
| HbA1C ¹ (percentage) | 9.5 ± 1.9 | 8.2 ± 1.4 | 0.003 |
| FBS ¹ (mg/dL) | 183.5 ± 80.1 | 162.8 ± 57.2 | 0.275 |
| PPBS ¹ (mg/dL) | 295.3 ± 93.6 | 269 ± 81.2 | 0.184 |

TABLE 1: Table showing the various study parameters

¹ Expressed as mean \pm standard deviation

LVDD: left ventricular diastolic dysfunction; HbA1c: Glycated haemoglobin; FBS: Fasting blood sugar; PPBS: Post-prandial blood sugar

A backward elimination stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis showed a significant association between the presence of diabetic retinopathy with the presence of LVDD ($p = 0.001$). No other studied parameters were found to have a significant association with the presence of LVDD. The stepwise backward elimination regression analysis is summarised in Table 2.

| Backward elimination steps | Logistic regression coefficient | Standard error | significance (p-value) | Odds ratio | 95% Confidence Interval | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Step 1 | Age | .027 | .033 | .418 | 1.027 | .962 1.097 |
| | Duration of T2DM | .017 | .042 | .689 | 1.017 | .936 1.105 |
| | HbA1C | .652 | .606 | .282 | 1.919 | .585 6.291 |
| | Diabetic Neuropathy | .537 | .653 | .411 | 1.711 | .476 6.147 |
| | Diabetic Retinopathy | 1.253 | .822 | .127 | 3.502 | .699 17.538 |
| | Diabetic Nephropathy | .782 | .854 | .359 | 2.186 | .410 11.649 |
| | Constant | -2.854 | 1.881 | .129 | .058 | |
| Step 2 | Age | .031 | .032 | .324 | 1.032 | .970 1.098 |
| | HbA1C | .654 | .605 | .279 | 1.924 | .588 6.299 |
| | Diabetic Neuropathy | .533 | .652 | .414 | 1.705 | .475 6.123 |
| | Diabetic Retinopathy | 1.289 | .816 | .114 | 3.627 | .733 17.962 |
| | Diabetic Nephropathy | .849 | .834 | .308 | 2.338 | .456 11.979 |
| | Constant | -2.897 | 1.883 | .124 | .055 | |
| Step 3 | Age | .035 | .031 | .255 | 1.036 | .975 1.101 |
| | HbA1C | .773 | .589 | .189 | 2.167 | .683 6.872 |
| | Diabetic Retinopathy | 1.506 | .762 | .048 | 4.507 | 1.012 20.067 |
| | Diabetic Nephropathy | 1.041 | .794 | .190 | 2.833 | .598 13.427 |
| | Constant | -3.107 | 1.852 | .093 | .045 | |
| Step 4 | HbA1C | .751 | .587 | .201 | 2.119 | .670 6.697 |
| | Diabetic Retinopathy | 1.709 | .743 | .021 | 5.523 | 1.288 23.680 |
| | Diabetic Nephropathy | .970 | .785 | .217 | 2.638 | .566 12.297 |
| | Constant | -1.102 | .514 | .032 | .332 | |
| Step 5 | HbA1C | .844 | .588 | .151 | 2.326 | .734 7.372 |
| | Diabetic Retinopathy | 2.086 | .688 | .002 | 8.049 | 2.092 30.972 |
| | Constant | -1.087 | .519 | .036 | .337 | |
| Step 6 | Diabetic Retinopathy | 2.210 | .680 | .001 | 9.116 | 2.403 34.577 |
| | Constant | -.475 | .266 | .073 | .622 | |

TABLE 2: Backward elimination stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis

T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus; HbA1c: Glycated haemoglobin

Discussion

This was a single-centre observational study aimed at studying the association between T2DM and LVDD in patients with T2DM in Kerala, India. Our study had an equal split of patients with T2DM who did and did not have LVDD which suggests that one in two patients with T2DM have LVDD, a prevalence of 50%. Yadava et al. found a very similar prevalence for LVDD of 47.8% in a cohort of patients with T2DM in a study from Nepal [8]. In a large systematic review, Bouthoorn et al. also found a similar prevalence for LVDD where it was 48% for hospital patients with T2DM and 35% for patients with T2DM in the community [9]. This suggests that all patients with T2DM should undergo screening for LVDD.

We found that increasing age, longer duration of T2DM, the presence of diabetic neuropathy, diabetic retinopathy, diabetic nephropathy, and higher HbA1C values correlated with the presence of LVDD. Yadava et al. also found an association between LVDD with increasing age and longer duration of T2DM while they failed to demonstrate an association with higher HbA1C [8]. Kurioka et al. from Japan found significant associations between increasing age, increasing diabetes duration, and diabetic retinopathy with the prevalence of LVDD [10].

However, in our study, on a multivariate logistic regression analysis, only the presence of diabetic retinopathy was found to be associated with LVDD. Interestingly, in Kurioka et al.'s study as well a regression analysis demonstrated a significant correlation only between increasing age and increasing grades of diabetic retinopathy with the presence of asymptomatic diastolic dysfunction [10]. These findings suggest that in the setting of a microvascular complication of T2DM such as retinopathy, the importance of assessing for underlying LVDD increases and there should be an active effort to look for LVDD in these patients. Establishing the presence of retinopathy is also a quick and economical process that can be done in a clinical setting and this could provide a clue toward associated cardiovascular complications.

In contrast, however, Poulsen et al. [11] found a prevalence of 40% for LVDD in T2DM and in addition found a significant association between the presence of LVDD and abnormal myocardial perfusion. They however found a much weaker association between LVDD and vascular function. Based on this, they suggested that LVDD in T2DM was associated with intrinsic LV dysfunction rather than due to vascular disease or arterial stiffening. These findings, coupled with the association we found in our study to diabetic retinopathy, could indicate that LVDD in T2DM could be multi-factorial with both intrinsic LV dysfunction along with arterial or vascular dysfunction playing a combined role.

Our study had several limitations. Although the study was designed before the COVID-19 pandemic, the study period encompassed the bulk of the pandemic and lockdowns, which resulted in a relatively small sample size. There could also be confounders posed by COVID-19 in the selection of the patients with patients who were actively shielding not having visited the hospital. The diagnosis of LVDD is also done using echocardiography which has an element of inter-performer variability although, in an attempt to minimise this, the same two echo technicians performed all the scans and the same cardiology doctors reviewed the images for all patients. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and due to the nature of the way the clinics from which the patients were recruited were run during the pandemic with minimal contact, data on the body mass index of the patients could not be captured which could have influenced our results. Finally, this was a cross-sectional study looking at an association between LVDD and various parameters but a longitudinal study would have been preferable to look at these associations.

Conclusions

There is a high prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in T2DM. The presence of LVDD correlated with increasing age, poorer glycemic control, a longer duration of T2DM, and the presence of microvascular complications such as diabetic retinopathy.

Patients with T2DM even without known cardiovascular disease or systemic hypertension should undergo active screening for LVDD, especially in the setting of established retinopathy and advanced age. Further longitudinal studies are necessary to establish the relationship between LVDD and T2DM and associated complications.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre Ethical Committee issued approval ECASM-AIMS-2022-254. Ethical committee clearance was obtained from the institutional review board at Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre with approval number ECASM-AIMS-2022-254. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, et al.: IDF Diabetes Atlas: global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2022, 183:109119. [10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119)
2. Vijayakumar G, Manghat S, Vijayakumar R, et al.: Incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and prediabetes in Kerala, India: results from a 10-year prospective cohort. *BMC Public Health.* 2019, 19:140. [10.1186/s12889-019-0611-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-0611-0)

[019-6445-6](#)

3. Freire CM, Moura AL, Barbosa Mde M, Machado LJ, Nogueira AI, Ribeiro-Oliveira A Jr: Left ventricle diastolic dysfunction in diabetes: an update. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol*. 2007, 51:168-75. [10.1590/s0004-27302007000200005](#)
4. Suh SH, Oh TR, Choi HS, et al.: Association of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction with cardiovascular outcomes in patients with pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease: findings from KNOW-CKD study. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2022, 9:844312. [10.3389/fcvm.2022.844312](#)
5. Kerner W, Brückel J: Definition, classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*. 2014, 122:384-6. [10.1055/s-0034-1366278](#)
6. Mottram PM, Marwick TH: Assessment of diastolic function: what the general cardiologist needs to know. *Heart*. 2005, 91:681-95. [10.1136/hrt.2003.029413](#)
7. Lim AKh: Diabetic nephropathy - complications and treatment. *Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis*. 2014, 7:361-81. [10.2147/IJNRD.S40172](#)
8. Yadava SK, Dolma N, Lamichhane G, Poudel N, Barakoti M, Karki DB: Prevalence of diastolic dysfunction in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ)*. 2017, 15:212-6.
9. Bouthoorn S, Valstar GB, Gohar A, den Ruijter HM, Reitsma HB, Hoes AW, Rutten FH: The prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction in men and women with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2018, 15:477-93. [10.1177/1479164118787415](#)
10. Kurioka S, Ose H, Fukuma K, Yoshimoto K: Severity of diabetic retinopathy is associated with left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2013, 99:287-91. [10.1016/j.diabres.2012.12.021](#)
11. Poulsen MK, Henriksen JE, Dahl J, et al.: Left ventricular diastolic function in type 2 diabetes mellitus: prevalence and association with myocardial and vascular disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010, 3:24-31. [10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.109.855510](#)