

Review began 12/15/2022

Review ended 12/23/2022

Published 12/27/2022

© Copyright 2022

AlMuhanna et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# Patient Perspective in Saudi Arabia: What Qualities Make a Good and Competent Psychiatrist?

Norah F. AlMuhanna<sup>1</sup>, Omar T. Sodagar<sup>1</sup>, Omar O. Al-Hayek<sup>1</sup>, Feras A. AlQahtani<sup>1</sup>, Mahmoud A. Alghomgham<sup>1</sup>

1. Psychiatry, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Khobar, SAU

**Corresponding author:** Omar O. Al-Hayek, hayekou1@yahoo.com

---

## Abstract

### Introduction

The good qualities of a psychiatrist can vary when asking a psychiatrist, a resident, a student, or even a patient. The patient's perspective, however, is of utmost importance and can be extremely unique. Our study aims to visualize and analyze the perspective of outpatients of the psychiatric department at King Fahad Hospital University on what qualities make a good and competent psychiatrist. As psychiatric medicine depends a lot on the psychological aspect, it yields high importance on studying how patients perceive and see their doctors in different regions of the world. For this reason, we conducted our study in Al-Khobar, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA).

### Materials and methods

A self-structured questionnaire named "What Qualities Make a Good and Competent Psychiatrist?" was developed and used to achieve the study objectives. It encompasses six sections: patients' personal data and demographics, patients' medical data, psychiatrists' personal traits, knowledge domain, social domain, and clinical setting domain.

### Results

After data collection and analysis, our results showed the following. Patients did not have a generalized preference for personal traits or demographics; however, they preferred Saudi and Arabic-speaking physicians among others. Patients preferred their psychiatrists to be up to date with current research. The score per item is the lowest in the clinical domain, indicating that for the respondents, the clinical domain is the most important trait of a psychiatrist. Of the patients, 66.8% reported that appropriate grooming and clothing were crucial for a psychiatrist. Empathy and proper communication were also very important from the patients' points of view. Social and knowledge domains are also of extreme importance in our region.

### Discussion

In our study, there seems to be no preference toward a psychiatrist's demographic traits with the exception of a Saudi nationality and being an Arabic speaker. The traits explored in this study are categorized into three domains: clinical setting domain, knowledge domain, and social domain. Of the three domains mentioned, the clinical setting domain was deemed the most important, followed by the social and knowledge domains.

In a study conducted in Singapore, the social characteristics of the psychiatrist, which represented care and sympathy, were the main concern of patients. A study conducted in the UK showed that the participants were less concerned with the organizational social aspects of the medical processes than the clinical management components. Although the overall requirement for a knowledgeable, skilled, and socially competent psychiatrist and a proper clinical setting is sought by most psychiatric patients in different cultures and countries, there are also significant differences in the priorities of such characteristics. This emphasizes how every region has its standards for this occupation.

### Conclusion

The results of this study suggest a variety of patients' and guardians' perspectives in different cultures with the clinical setting being an integral part of the psychiatrist's practice in Al-Khobar, KSA. The results showed that the clinical setting domain was the most critical domain, followed by social and knowledge domains. To improve patient experience and satisfaction, certain actions should be taken into consideration in our region.

---

**Categories:** Psychiatry

#### How to cite this article

AlMuhanna N F, Sodagar O T, Al-Hayek O O, et al. (December 27, 2022) Patient Perspective in Saudi Arabia: What Qualities Make a Good and Competent Psychiatrist?. Cureus 14(12): e33022. DOI 10.7759/cureus.33022

**Keywords:** saudi arabia, patients' perspective, competent, qualities, psychiatrist

## Introduction

The American Psychiatric Association defines psychiatry as “the branch of medicine focused on the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of mental, emotional, and behavioral disorders.” A psychiatrist is a medical doctor who is trained in treating mental health and substance use disorders [1]. Psychiatry as a specialty is relatively new when compared to older specialties in medicine such as surgery. It was first recognized in the late 1800s in England as a specialty of medicine. Psychiatric patients before were called lunatics or insane. This created a stigma around the specialty among physicians, patients, patients' families, and caregivers [2,3].

The stigma surrounding psychiatry has been declining over the past years but is still present around the world. For example, a paper from the UK showed that this stigma can delay patient care since patients and their families are naturally skeptical about the specialty and the treatment of such conditions [4,5]. Due to the stigma around the specialty, it is important for physicians and psychiatrists to understand what factors contribute to the stigma and what qualities and merits make a good psychiatrist. Since the patient and his family took the first step in asking for help, it is our role as healthcare providers to understand the factors that determine the patient's willingness to continue following up [6].

Psychiatric disorders can be misunderstood by the public and poorly recognized. Depression, anxiety, substance use, and other common mental disorders not only affect the patient but also their family, friends, and coworkers [7]. Psychiatric disorders represent 20% of the global burden of disability [8]. Proper psychiatric care assures good quality of life for the patient and the many people surrounding him [9].

In 2015, a group of psychiatrists and psychologists in South Korea, as well as Australia, studied the qualities of a good psychiatrist through the means of a survey given to the patients. Patients in the study defined a good psychiatrist as an active listener and a good communicator and one who has respect for confidentiality and professionalism [6]. Another study in 2014 conducted in Singapore by a group of psychiatrists and psychologists studied the qualities of a good psychiatrist from the patient's perspective and the psychiatrist. Psychiatrists focused on the merits of professionalism and social interaction, keeping in mind the guidelines of practice and knowing that they are accountable for their actions. Patients, on the other hand, focused more on the similarity of gender, race, culture, and religion between patients and psychiatrists. Allowing more time for the psychiatric consult and letting the patient speak freely are associated with better patient understanding and outcomes [10].

The difference in the patient's and physician's perspectives can be seen in other specialties as well. According to a systematic review conducted by the European Task Force on Patient Evaluations of General Practice Care (EUROPEP), the most important quality patients look for is how well a physician communicates and relates to the patient, followed by their competence [11]. In other words, those patients expect to be involved in their treatment plan and to be aware of the options. Not involving patients in the treatment plan can cause major dissatisfaction to them [12]. A questionnaire-based study conducted in Scotland showed that patients prioritize a physician who listens to their needs and avoids harming them [13]. Moreover, in a separate study that was conducted in the Netherlands, patients appreciated a consultation time that was suitable to their situation, whereas the physician was more focused on a different aspect such as the continuity of care [14].

The good qualities of a psychiatrist can vary when asking a psychiatrist, a resident, a student, or even a patient. The patient's perspective, however, is of utmost importance and can be extremely unique due to gender, race, culture, religion, previous interactions, mental disorders, and many other factors. Since culture, religion, and race can influence the perspective of patients, this study is conducted in Saudi Arabia to see the difference in results published in different countries, hopefully applying these findings to improve the quality of care in the psychiatric setting [15].

## Materials And Methods

### Study design and setting

An exploratory study design was adopted to reveal the characteristics and traits of a good psychiatrist from the perspective of patients, family members, and caregivers. This study was conducted at King Fahad Hospital of the University (KFHU), Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia, from May 18 to July 12, 2022.

### Subjects

#### *Sample Size and Technique*

Using convenience sampling, 804 patients who satisfied the inclusion criteria were selected and administered the questionnaire named “What Qualities Make a Good and Competent Psychiatrist?” Of the 804 patients, 199 have responded and completed the questionnaire, demonstrating a 24.75% response rate.

*Inclusion Criteria*

All patients and guardians visiting the outpatient psychiatry department (OPD) of the King Fahad Hospital of the University (KFHU) who are 18 years of age and above and who are not classified as having severe psychiatric illness according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) were considered as the population for this study.

*Exclusion Criteria*

Patients under 18 years of age, classified as having severe psychiatric illness according to DSM-5 or not under the care of the OPD of KFHU were excluded from this study.

*IRB Approval*

The Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University Institutional Review Board issued approval number IRB-UGS-2021-01-393.

**Variables**

*Independent Variables*

Demographical characteristics of the patients and their family members, such as age, sex, gender, nationality, social status, educational qualification, and residence, were considered as the independent variables. Concerning the influence of the psychiatrist’s traits on the respondents’ overall perception, the psychiatrist’s traits, such as knowledge and social domain, were the independent variables.

*Dependent Variables*

The knowledge domain, social domain, and overall perception of the patients and their family members were considered the dependent variables. Concerning the influence of the psychiatrist’s traits on the respondents’ overall perception, the respondents’ overall perception was the dependent variable.

**Materials**

In the current literature, there is a lack of comprehensive questionnaires that cover all domains studied as well as cultural and religious differences. As a result, a self-structured questionnaire named “What Qualities Make a Good and Competent Psychiatrist?” was developed by combining different existing questionnaires from other studies and adding questions relevant to our population to achieve this study’s objectives.

This questionnaire encompassed six sections: patients’ personal data and demographics (nine items), patients’ medical data (five items), psychiatrists’ personal traits (five items), knowledge domain (five items), social domain (eight items), and clinical setting domain (five items).

The respondent’s level of agreement with items in the knowledge domain, social domain, and clinical setting domain was expressed on a five-point Likert scale (1: totally disagree, 2: disagree, 3: neutral, 4: agree, 5: totally agree).

Regarding reliability, the internal consistency of the three domains, i.e., knowledge domain, social domain, and clinical setting domain, was assessed using Cronbach’s alpha (Table 1).

| Domain    | Cronbach’s alpha | Cronbach’s alpha based on standardized items | Number of items |
|-----------|------------------|--|-----------------|
| Knowledge | 0.827            | 0.831  | 5               |
| Social    | 0.827            | 0.831  | 5               |
| Clinical  | 0.307            | 0.468  | 5               |

**TABLE 1: Test for internal consistency of the items with the knowledge domain, social domain, and clinical setting domain**

**Procedures**

The questionnaire was uploaded on QuestionPro. A QR code of the questionnaire was distributed to the

psychiatry outpatient department (OPD). Patients, family members, or caregivers who have recently visited the psychiatry OPD were contacted. After a brief explanation, consent was obtained, and QR codes were provided with instructions via short message service (SMS) or WhatsApp. No confirmation of a response was elicited.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the demographic and medical data of the patients and the psychiatrist’s personal traits, knowledge, and social domain. Before starting with the data analysis, data cleaning was performed, which involved the following steps. Firstly, nonessential rows at the top of the dataset were removed so that each column header can be used as variable names. Secondly, data from respondents who did not complete the interview were removed from the dataset so that the analysis can be done only on the completed set of interviews. Lastly, variable names were shortened to avoid errors during the analysis. Short variable names also help in conducting the analysis efficiently.

Three separate composite scores were calculated for the knowledge domain, social domain, and clinical setting domain. The calculation of the composite scores was done as follows. Firstly, options against each of the questions within the domain were expressed using a five-point Likert scale. Secondly, the composite scores in each of the domains were calculated for each of the respondents by adding the scores of their selected options for each of the questions in the domain. Finally, since there are an unequal number of items in each of the domains, composite scores were divided by the number of items in the domain to make them comparable.

The higher the score per item of a respondent on a domain, the lesser its perceived importance for a good psychiatrist. For example, a respondent with a score per item of one on the knowledge domain gives more importance to knowledge than a respondent who has a score per item of two on the knowledge domain. Thereafter, descriptive statistics for each of the variables in the dataset were calculated. For categorical variables, proportions and percentages were calculated; for continuous variables, means and standard deviations were calculated. Additionally, for the continuous variables, Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for normality assumption were performed. Apart from the “age” variable, none of the variables were found to be satisfying the assumption of normal distribution (Table 2).

|  | Kolmogorov-Smirnov |                    |              |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
|  | Statistic          | Degrees of freedom | Significance |
| Age                                    | 0.072              | 199                | 0.014        |
| Knowledge domain score per item        | 0.123              | 199                | 0.000        |
| Social domain score per item           | 0.146              | 199                | 0.000        |
| Clinical setting domain score per item | 0.101              | 199                | 0.000        |

TABLE 2: Test for the assumption of normal distribution for continuous variable

The internal consistency of the three domains, i.e., knowledge domain, social domain, and clinical setting domain, was assessed using Cronbach’s alpha. While internal consistency was found to be good for the knowledge and social domains of the questionnaire (Cronbach’s alpha of 0.827 and 0.932, respectively), the internal consistency of the clinical setting set of questions was poor (Cronbach’s alpha of 0.307). However, it was found that dropping the question “I prefer physical clinics over virtual clinics (i.e., through phone, Zoom, etc.)” from the analysis increases Cronbach’s alpha to 0.592, which is a good score for internal consistency (Table 1).

An inter-item correlation matrix was generated for each of the three domains, namely, the knowledge domain, the social domain, and the clinical setting domain (Tables 3-5).

|   | I highly give importance to the psychiatrist's knowledge and skills. | I like to know the psychiatrist's credentials and qualifications before meeting them. | I look into a doctor's subspecialty before choosing them. | I want my psychiatrist to be up to date on the most recent research available. | The most important trait in a psychiatrist is that they are knowledgeable. |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| I highly give importance to the psychiatrist's knowledge and skills.                  | 1.000  | 0.392   | 0.459   | 0.474  | 0.634  |
| I like to know the psychiatrist's credentials and qualifications before meeting them. | 0.392  | 1.000   | 0.686   | 0.385  | 0.330  |
| I look into a doctor's subspecialty before choosing them.                             | 0.459  | 0.686   | 1.000   | 0.537  | 0.480  |
| I want my psychiatrist to be up to date on the most recent research available.        | 0.474  | 0.385   | 0.537   | 1.000  | 0.575  |
| The most important trait in a psychiatrist is that they are knowledgeable.            | 0.634  | 0.330   | 0.480   | 0.575  | 1.000  |

**TABLE 3: Inter-item correlation between items in the knowledge domain**

|   | I give more importance to the psychiatrist's social skills. | The psychiatrist should be clear and direct while speaking. | The psychiatrist should speak slowly and ensure my understanding. | The psychiatrist should allow me time to speak openly. | The psychiatrist should maintain eye contact at all times. | The psychiatrist should pay attention to their nonverbal communication (body language, posture, and facial expression). | I value my psychiatrist's dress and grooming. | The most important trait in a psychiatrist is that they have good social skills. |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| I give more importance to the psychiatrist's social skills.   | 1.000   | 0.664   | 0.635   | 0.665  | 0.554  | 0.490   | 0.541   | 0.684  |
| The psychiatrist should be clear and direct while speaking.   | 0.664   | 1.000   | 0.730   | 0.767  | 0.542  | 0.569   | 0.529   | 0.699  |
| The psychiatrist should speak slowly and ensure my understanding.   | 0.635   | 0.730   | 1.000   | 0.798  | 0.541  | 0.624   | 0.527   | 0.677  |
| The psychiatrist should allow me time to speak openly.  | 0.665   | 0.767   | 0.798   | 1.000  | 0.621  | 0.642   | 0.568   | 0.741  |
| The psychiatrist should maintain eye contact at all times.  | 0.554   | 0.542   | 0.541   | 0.621  | 1.000  | 0.697   | 0.667   | 0.625  |
| The psychiatrist should pay attention to their nonverbal communication (body language, posture, and facial expression). | 0.490   | 0.569   | 0.624   | 0.642  | 0.697  | 1.000   | 0.605   | 0.645  |
| I value my psychiatrist's dress and grooming.   | 0.541   | 0.529   | 0.527   | 0.568  | 0.667  | 0.605   | 1.000   | 0.682  |
| The most important trait in a psychiatrist is that they have good social skills.  | 0.684   | 0.699   | 0.677   | 0.741  | 0.625  | 0.645   | 0.682   | 1.000  |

TABLE 4: Inter-item correlation between items in the social domain

|   | I highly give importance to the clinical setting of my psychiatrist. | I prefer physical clinics over virtual clinics (i.e., through phone, Zoom, etc.). | I prefer to speak with the psychiatrist only without my caregiver, escort, relatives, nurses, or medical interns/students. | My consultation time with the psychiatrist should be sufficient (from 15 minutes to more than one hour), and it must be valuable. | Overall, my psychiatrist should be kind, knowledgeable, and skillful. |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| I highly give importance to the clinical setting of my psychiatrist.  | 1.000  | 0.006   | 0.070  | 0.382   | 0.445   |
| I prefer physical clinics over virtual clinics (i.e., through phone, Zoom, etc.).   | 0.006  | 1.000   | 0.040  | -0.192  | -0.221  |
| I prefer to speak with the psychiatrist only without my caregiver, escort, relatives, nurses, or medical interns/students.        | 0.070  | 0.040   | 1.000  | 0.159   | 0.196   |
| My consultation time with the psychiatrist should be sufficient (from 15 minutes to more than one hour), and it must be valuable. | 0.382  | -0.192  | 0.159  | 1.000   | 0.609   |
| Overall, my psychiatrist should be kind, knowledgeable, and skillful.   | 0.445  | -0.221  | 0.196  | 0.609   | 1.000   |

**TABLE 5: Inter-item correlation between items in the clinical setting domain**

For inferential statistics, the nonparametric chi-squared test, Mann-Whitney U test, and Kruskal-Wallis test were conducted to compare preferences between respondents of different sex, marital status, qualification, occupation, controllability of disorders, etc. (Tables 6-8).

| Grouped by sex variable |                                 |                              |                              |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                         | Knowledge domain score per item | Social domain score per item | Social domain score per item |
| Mann-Whitney U          | 4549.500                        | 4334.000                     | 4815.000                     |
| p-value (two-tailed)    | 0.329                           | 0.130                        | 0.749                        |

**TABLE 6: Mann-Whitney U test to compare scores per item in different domains segregated by sex**

| Grouping variable                        | Knowledge domain score per item |         | Social domain score per item |         | Clinical setting domain score per item |         |
|--|---------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|--|---------|
|  | Chi-squared                     | p-value | Chi-squared                  | p-value | Chi-squared                            | p-value |
| Marital status                           | 1.591                           | 0.661   | 4.159                        | 0.245   | 1.577                                  | 0.665   |
| Qualification                            | 5.162                           | 0.396   | 3.466                        | 0.629   | 4.092                                  | 0.536   |
| Occupation                               | 8.974                           | 0.110   | 6.561                        | 0.255   | 3.214                                  | 0.667   |
| Is the patient's condition controllable? | 9.850                           | 0.043   | 6.287                        | 0.179   | 3.764                                  | 0.439   |
| Frequency of follow-up                   | 16.338                          | 0.006   | 4.849                        | 0.435   | 7.419                                  | 0.191   |

**TABLE 7: Kruskal-Wallis test to compare scores per item in different domains segregated by demographic variables**

| Grouping variable | Doctor's gender |         | Doctor's age |         |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|---------|
|                   | Chi-squared     | p-value | Chi-squared  | p-value |
| Sex               | 3.309           | 0.191   | 2.967        | 0.705   |
| Marital status    | 8.827           | 0.184   | 19.377       | 0.197   |
| Qualification     | 4.944           | 0.895   | 17.671       | 0.856   |
| Occupation        | 8.382           | 0.592   | 43.658       | 0.012   |

**TABLE 8: Chi-squared test to compare preferences about psychiatrist's personal traits segregated by demographic variables**

Statistical analysis was carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (IBM SPSS Statistics, Armonk, NY, USA).

## Results

### Personal demographics

In this study, 199 patients responded to the questionnaire. Among those respondents, males and females are almost equal among the patients. Except for nine, all respondents are Saudi Arabia nationals. Of those, 98.9% were from the eastern region. Furthermore, 44.7% (n=89) were married and 39.1% (n=74) hold a bachelor's degree. Almost half of the patients are either unemployed or housewives. Finally, 68.8% (n=137) of the responses were filled by the patients themselves (Table 9).

| Demographic variables | Frequency (percentage) (number (%)) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Sex                   |                                     |
| Male                  | 96 (48.24%)                         |
| Female                | 103 (51.75%)                        |
| Marital status        |                                     |
| Single                | 83 (41.7%)                          |
| Married               | 89 (44.72%)                         |
| Widowed               | 5 (2.51%)                           |
| Divorced              | 22 (11.05%)                         |



| Number of children        |              |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| 1                         | 98 (49.24%)  |
| 2                         | 10 (5.02%)   |
| 3                         | 22 (11.05%)  |
| 4                         | 20 (10.05%)  |
| 5                         | 19 (9.54%)   |
| 6                         | 13 (6.53%)   |
| 7                         | 3 (1.5%)     |
| 8                         | 4 (2.01%)    |
| 9                         | 10 (5.02%)   |
| Qualification             |              |
| Illiterate                | 9 (4.52%)    |
| Elementary/intermediate   | 23 (11.55%)  |
| Highschool degree         | 74 (37.18%)  |
| Bachelor's degree         | 78 (39.19%)  |
| Postgraduate              | 11 (5.52%)   |
| Doctorate                 | 4 (2.01%)    |
| Occupation                |              |
| Unemployed/housewife      | 91 (45.72%)  |
| Teacher                   | 18 (9.04%)   |
| Military/police           | 6 (3.01%)    |
| Engineer/doctor/professor | 16 (8.04%)   |
| Retired                   | 11 (5.52%)   |
| Other                     | 57 (28.64%)  |
| Nationality               |              |
| Saudi                     | 190 (95.47%) |
| Non-Saudi                 | 9 (4.52%)    |
| Residential area          |              |
| Eastern province          | 197 (98.99%) |
| Western region            | 0 (0%)       |
| Central region            | 0 (0%)       |
| Northern region           | 2 (1%)       |
| Southern region           | 0 (0%)       |
| Relation to patient       |              |
| Patient                   | 137 (68.84%) |
| Sibling                   | 26 (13.06%)  |
| Parent                    | 14 (7.03%)   |
| Son/daughter              | 9 (4.52%)    |
| Caregiver                 | 5 (2.51%)    |
| Other                     | 8 (4.02%)    |

| Continuous demographic variable: age | Mean (standard deviation) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age                                  | 36.24 (14.166)            |

TABLE 9: Respondents' demographic profile

Patient medical data

Of the respondents, 34.17% (n=68) reported the patient's condition as major depressive disorder, followed by 20.6% (n=41) who responded with bipolar disorder. Furthermore, 3% (n=6) reported the patient's condition as narcolepsy disorder, 80.4% (n=160) reported the patient's condition as controllable, and 80.4% (n=160) reported that the patient did not require hospitalization. Of the patients, 27.6% (n=55) reported that the patient generally complains about the management plan. The percentage of patients having their monthly (n=70) and quarterly (n=67) follow-ups was observed at 35% and 33%, respectively (Table 10). Also, respondents who had monthly or quarterly follow-ups scored statistically significantly higher (lesser importance) on the knowledge domain than the rest (Table 7).

| Patients' medical variables                                | Frequency (percentage) (number (%)) |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Disorder the patient is diagnosed with                     |                                     |
| Major depressive disorder                                  | 68 (34.17%)                         |
| Bipolar disorder   | 41 (20.6%)                          |
| General anxiety disorder                                   | 41 (20.6%)                          |
| Schizophrenia  | 24 (12.06%)                         |
| Narcolepsy   | 6 (3.01%)                           |
| Obsessive-compulsive disorder                              | 14 (7.03%)                          |
| Psychotic disorder   | 15 (7.53%)                          |
| Dementia   | 7 (3.51%)                           |
| Eating disorder  | 5 (2.51%)                           |
| Is the patient's condition controllable?                   |                                     |
| Totally agree  | 90 (45.22%)                         |
| Agree  | 70 (35.17%)                         |
| Neutral  | 31 (15.57%)                         |
| Disagree   | 5 (2.51%)                           |
| Totally disagree   | 3 (1.5%)                            |
| Frequency of follow-up                                     |                                     |
| Weekly   | 16 (8.04%)                          |
| Monthly  | 70 (35.17%)                         |
| Quarterly  | 67 (33.66%)                         |
| Half-yearly  | 19 (9.54%)                          |
| Annually   | 12 (6.03%)                          |
| Other  | 15 (7.53%)                          |
| Did the patient ever need to be hospitalized?              |                                     |
| Yes  | 71 (35.67%)                         |
| No   | 128 (64.32%)                        |
| The patient generally complaints about the management plan |                                     |
| Totally agree  | 25 (12.56%)                         |
| Agree  | 30 (15.07%)                         |
| Neutral  | 71 (35.67%)                         |
| Disagree   | 32 (16.08%)                         |
| Totally disagree   | 41 (20.6%)                          |

**TABLE 10: Patients' medical profile**

### Psychiatrist personal trait

While most respondents showed a clear preference for Saudi nationals and Arabic-speaking psychiatrists, more than half of the respondents said that the gender and age of the psychiatrists did not matter to them. Of the respondents, 51.7% (n=103) had no preference for the psychiatrist's sex, 53.2% (n=107) did not have a

preference regarding the psychiatrist's age, and 59.2% (n=118) preferred Arabic-speaking psychiatrists. Conversely, 21.6% (n=43) did not have a language preference, and 85.4% (n=170) of the respondents reported that they had chosen their psychiatrist based on reputation and word of mouth (Table 11).

| Variables   | Frequency (percentage) (number (%)) |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Preferred gender  |                                     |
| Male  | 57 (28.64%)                         |
| Female  | 39 (19.59%)                         |
| No difference   | 103 (51.75%)                        |
| Preferred age   |                                     |
| 30-40 years   | 24 (12.06%)                         |
| 41-50 years   | 36 (18.09%)                         |
| 51-60 years   | 32 (16.08%)                         |
| More than 60 years  | 5 (2.51%)                           |
| No difference   | 107 (53.76%)                        |
| Preferred nationality/cultural background                           |                                     |
| Saudi   | 106 (53.26%)                        |
| Non-Saudi   | 8 (4.02%)                           |
| Does not matter   | 85 (42.71%)                         |
| Psychiatrist's preferred language                                   |                                     |
| Arabic  | 118 (59.29%)                        |
| English   | 9 (4.52%)                           |
| No preference   | 43 (21.6%)                          |
| Only speak one language   | 29 (14.57%)                         |
| I chose my psychiatrist based on their reputation and word of mouth |                                     |
| Totally agree   | 55 (27.63%)                         |
| Agree   | 40 (20.1%)                          |
| Neutral   | 75 (37.68%)                         |
| Disagree  | 11 (5.52%)                          |
| Totally disagree  | 18 (9.04%)                          |

**TABLE 11: Respondents' preference for a psychiatrist's personal traits**

### Appealing and unappealing attributes of a psychiatrist

Regarding the knowledge domain, 69.3% (n=138) of the respondents reported that they highly value the psychiatrist's knowledge and skills. More than 51.7% of them wanted to know a psychiatrist's credentials, qualifications, and subspecialty before choosing them, and 81.4% of them wanted their psychiatrist to be up to date on the most recent research. Furthermore, 91.9% reported that the most crucial trait for a psychiatrist is being knowledgeable. Further analyzing the social domain, 66.8% of the respondents reported giving more importance to the psychiatrist's social skills, and 74.3% reported that the most critical trait for a psychiatrist is having good social skills. Of the respondents, 66.8% valued their psychiatrist's dress and grooming, and 73.8% preferred that their psychiatrist should be clear and direct while speaking. Moreover, 77.8% reported that the psychiatrist should allow them time to speak openly. However, 48.3% reported that the psychiatrist should not always maintain eye contact. Overall, 97.7% of the respondents reported that their psychiatrist should be kind, knowledgeable, and skillful. More than 80% of the respondents either "totally agreed" or "agreed" that the psychiatrist should be up to date on the most recent research available.

More than 90% of the respondents either “totally agreed” or “agreed” that being knowledgeable is a psychiatrist’s most important trait. Approximately 75% of the respondents either “totally agreed” or “agreed” that having good social skills is the most important trait of a psychiatrist. Approximately 95% of the respondents either “totally agreed” or “agreed” that their psychiatrist should be kind, knowledgeable, and skillful (Table 12).

| Questions and options for different characteristics of a psychiatrist                 | Frequency (percentage) (number (%)) |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Knowledge domain  |                                     |
| I highly give importance to the psychiatrist’s knowledge and skills.                  |                                     |
| Totally agree   | 85 (42.71%)                         |
| Agree   | 53 (26.63%)                         |
| Neutral   | 60 (30.15%)                         |
| Disagree  | 0 (0%)                              |
| Totally disagree  | 1 (0.5%)                            |
| I like to know the psychiatrist’s credentials and qualifications before meeting them. |                                     |
| Totally agree   | 51 (25.62%)                         |
| Agree   | 52 (26.13%)                         |
| Neutral   | 87 (43.71%)                         |
| Disagree  | 9 (4.52%)                           |
| Totally disagree  | 0 (0%)                              |
| I look into a doctor’s subspecialty before choosing them.                             |                                     |
| Totally agree   | 50 (25.12%)                         |
| Agree   | 47 (23.61%)                         |
| Neutral   | 92 (46.23%)                         |
| Disagree  | 9 (4.52%)                           |
| Totally disagree  | 1 (0.5%)                            |
| I want my psychiatrist to be up to date on the most recent research available.        |                                     |
| Totally agree   | 75 (37.68%)                         |
| Agree   | 87 (43.71%)                         |
| Neutral   | 35 (17.58%)                         |
| Disagree  | 1 (0.5%)                            |
| Totally disagree  | 1 (0.5%)                            |
| The most important trait in a psychiatrist is that they are knowledgeable.            |                                     |
| Totally agree   | 102 (51.25%)                        |
| Agree   | 81 (40.7%)                          |
| Neutral   | 14 (7.03%)                          |
| Disagree  | 1 (0.5%)                            |
| Totally disagree  | 1 (0.5%)                            |
| Social domain   |                                     |
| I give more importance to the psychiatrist’s social skills.                           |                                     |
| Totally agree   | 78 (39.19%)                         |

|   |              |
|---|--------------|
| Agree   | 55 (27.63%)  |
| Neutral   | 64 (32.16%)  |
| Disagree  | 2 (1%)       |
| Totally disagree  | 0 (0%)       |
| The psychiatrist should be clear and direct while speaking.   |              |
| Totally agree   | 93 (46.73%)  |
| Agree   | 54 (27.13%)  |
| Neutral   | 52 (26.13%)  |
| Disagree  | 0 (0%)       |
| Totally disagree  | 0 (0%)       |
| The psychiatrist should speak slowly and ensure my understanding.   |              |
| Totally agree   | 89 (44.72%)  |
| Agree   | 62 (31.15%)  |
| Neutral   | 47 (23.61%)  |
| Disagree  | 0 (0%)       |
| Totally disagree  | 1 (0.5%)     |
| The psychiatrist should allow me time to speak openly.  |              |
| Totally agree   | 105 (52.76%) |
| Agree   | 50 (25.12%)  |
| Neutral   | 43 (21.6%)   |
| Disagree  | 0 (0%)       |
| Totally disagree  | 1 (0.5%)     |
| The psychiatrist should maintain eye contact at all times.  |              |
| Totally agree   | 68 (34.17%)  |
| Agree   | 67 (33.66%)  |
| Neutral   | 62 (31.15%)  |
| Disagree  | 1 (0.5%)     |
| Totally disagree  | 1 (0.5%)     |
| The psychiatrist should pay attention to their nonverbal communication (body language, posture, and facial expression). |              |
| Totally agree   | 72 (36.18%)  |
| Agree   | 67 (33.66%)  |
| Neutral   | 55 (27.63%)  |
| Disagree  | 5 (2.51%)    |
| Totally disagree  | 0 (0%)       |
| I value my psychiatrist's dress and grooming.   |              |
| Totally agree   | 74 (37.18%)  |
| Agree   | 59 (29.64%)  |
| Neutral   | 62 (31.15%)  |
| Disagree  | 3 (1.5%)     |

|   |              |
|---|--------------|
| Totally disagree  | 1 (0.5%)     |
| The most important trait in a psychiatrist is that they have good social skills.  |              |
| Totally agree   | 87 (43.71%)  |
| Agree   | 61 (30.65%)  |
| Neutral   | 49 (24.62%)  |
| Disagree  | 2 (1%)       |
| Totally disagree  | 0 (0%)       |
| Clinical Setting Domain   |              |
| I highly give importance to the clinical setting of my psychiatrist.  |              |
| Totally agree   | 63 (31.65%)  |
| Agree   | 56 (28.14%)  |
| Neutral   | 77 (38.69%)  |
| Disagree  | 3 (1.5%)     |
| Totally disagree  | 0 (0%)       |
| I prefer physical clinics over virtual clinics (i.e., through phone, Zoom, etc.).   |              |
| Totally agree   | 32 (16.08%)  |
| Agree   | 29 (14.57%)  |
| Neutral   | 45 (22.61%)  |
| Disagree  | 48 (24.12%)  |
| Totally disagree  | 45 (22.61%)  |
| I prefer to speak with the psychiatrist only without my caregiver, escort, relatives, nurses, or medical interns/students.        |              |
| Totally agree   | 81 (40.7%)   |
| Agree   | 60 (30.15%)  |
| Neutral   | 47 (23.61%)  |
| Disagree  | 7 (3.51%)    |
| Totally disagree  | 4 (2.01%)    |
| My consultation time with the psychiatrist should be sufficient (from 15 minutes to more than one hour), and it must be valuable. |              |
| Totally agree   | 103 (51.75%) |
| Agree   | 70 (35.17%)  |
| Neutral   | 22 (11.05%)  |
| Disagree  | 3 (1.5%)     |
| Totally disagree  | 1 (0.5%)     |
| Overall, my psychiatrist should be kind, knowledgeable, and skillful.   |              |
| Totally agree   | 112 (56.28%) |
| Agree   | 76 (38.19%)  |
| Neutral   | 11 (5.52%)   |
| Disagree  | 0 (0%)       |
| Totally disagree  | 0 (0%)       |

TABLE 12: Appealing and unappealing characteristics of a psychiatrist

The preference of psychiatrist’s traits on knowledge, social, or clinical setting domain did not statistically differ between respondents of the two genders (Table 6). No statistical difference was found in the preference for psychiatrist’s traits for respondents segregated by marital status, qualification, and occupation. However, respondents who agreed that the patient’s condition was controllable scored statistically significantly higher (lesser importance) on the knowledge domain than those who disagreed (Table 7).

Discussion

Patients’ personal preferences toward a good and competent psychiatrist may vary depending on many different factors, including age, gender, race, religion, and much more [16]. Based on our literature review, there were no studies conducted in Saudi Arabia evaluating this topic from the patient’s perspective. For this reason, this study was conducted in Saudi Arabia to establish preferences in this region of the world.

We gathered the responses of outpatients and their guardians visiting King Fahad Hospital of the University via an online survey, breaking down different components of interest we labeled as “domains” to interpret and analyze the answers. Some of the respondents had difficulty using their electronic devices, and some had difficulty reading. Most of these respondents had a relative, caregiver, or guardian to help fill out the survey. None of the respondents complained about the inability to complete the survey. We have compared respondents’ demographics to a number of domains that can influence the decision of whether a certain psychiatrist is deemed a good and competent doctor. These domains were the personal traits, the knowledge domain, the social domain including verbal and nonverbal communication, and the clinical setting domain.

In our study, results showed that most respondents had no preference for the psychiatrist’s age. Moreover, half of the respondents had no preference regarding the physician’s sex. Furthermore, most of the respondents chose their doctor based on reputation or word of mouth. In other words, there are no obvious preferences toward a psychiatrist’s personal or demographic traits except for being Saudi and speaking in the Arabic language as they were the most favorable traits. However, more concern lies in a different domain.

The knowledge domain was highly valued by respondents as 69.34% valued their psychiatrist’s knowledge and skills. Of the patients, 81.39% preferred their psychiatrist to be up to date on the recent research available. However, the patients had a lower interest in the credentials, qualifications, and subspecialty of their psychiatrist as most of the patients’ responses were neutral (Table 12).

As for the social domain, the greatest emphasis was placed on traits such as the doctor’s rate of speech and explaining abilities. Patients preferred their psychiatrist to speak slowly and to insure their understanding. The patient-physician relationship in psychiatry is a fundamental part of the practice [17]. Results illustrate how empathy and proper communication are important for patients, as they are looking for someone who can understand them and make them feel comfortable, as well as make them understand their condition with simple words rather than complicated scientific explanations.

Although the knowledge and social domains highly influence the perception of a patient to their psychiatrist, the clinical domain was deemed the most crucial as it scored the least points per item, indicating that for the respondents, the clinical domain is the most important aspect of a psychiatrist’s practice (Table 13). The greatest emphasis in the clinical domain was on the session time where 86.92% of the respondents agreed that the consultation time with the psychiatrist should be of sufficient time from 15 minutes at least extending to more than one hour long. Moreover, having a private talk with the psychiatrist was an important need for most of the patients as 70.85% preferred to speak with the psychiatrists only without their caregiver, escort, relatives, nurses, or medical students. Many patients preferred virtual clinics over physical clinics (Table 12). Managing professional boundaries and principles, ensuring privacy and confidentiality, and creating realistic expectations regarding digital interactions are key ethical issues that digital technology creates for psychiatrists [18].



| Variable                                      | Mean  | Standard deviation |
|---|-------|--------------------|
| Score per item in the knowledge domain        | 2.437 | 0.7264             |
| Score per item in the social domain           | 2.146 | 0.8008             |
| Score per item in the clinical setting domain | 2.083 | 0.4933             |

**TABLE 13: Mean and standard deviation for the calculated scores per item for each of the knowledge, social, and clinical setting domain**

Our results suggest that the clinical domain is an integral part of a psychiatrist’s practice as these settings allow the psychiatrist to openly discuss treatment with the patient in a respectful and understanding environment, ensuring privacy and enough time for them to discuss their complaints with comfort [19]. Incorporation of these adjustments and skills from different domains in the approach to teaching and treating mental health might improve the overall outcome and patient satisfaction.

Of the respondents, 95% were Arabic speakers and 60% preferred their psychiatrist to speak in Arabic. Therefore, we recommend that psychiatrists must be made aware of patients’ preferences for language and refrain from using other languages in the interview. If the psychiatrist needs to speak in another language in the context of explaining the disorder or the management plan, the patient should be informed and offered the option of his/her preferred language.

Furthermore, 68% of the patients reported that physical clinics have no advantage over virtual clinics. Therefore, we recommend that virtual clinics be incorporated into the psychiatry practice and for patients be given the choice of virtual or physical clinics. This in turn will decrease the patient load in the hospital and improve access to healthcare for patients in more remote places.

Moreover, 70% of the patients prefer to speak to the psychiatrist alone. This was not a surprising result; as we know, the psychiatrist-patient relationship especially can be sensitive and private to the patients more than any other specialty. In teaching hospitals such as KFHU, the outpatient department is a valuable teaching setting for interns and students. However, there must be a balance between teaching and patient care. There is a constant dilemma in teaching hospitals of balancing teaching opportunities and patient privacy. Based on patient preferences in our region, we suggest that consent for students’ and interns’ attendance at clinics be taken with care. Our research focuses on the patient’s perspective; however, the impact of our recommendation on the teaching capacity and competence of trainees is not well studied in our research.

Of the patients, 50% prefer to know the psychiatrist’s credentials, qualifications, and subspecialty before choosing or meeting them. Therefore, making the psychiatrist’s credentials and qualifications readily accessible and clear to the patients, whether on the hospital website or made available in the outpatient department, can improve patient satisfaction and willingness to continue following up. Although only 5% of the patients preferred not knowing the credentials and qualifications of the psychiatrist, we believe implementing these changes has an overall positive effect on the psychiatrist’s practice.

In a qualitative study conducted on Singaporean psychiatrists, patients were especially concerned about the social characteristics of the psychiatrist. Extra efforts from the psychiatrist were a key factor in convincing patients to go through the management plan, as it represented care and sympathy. Patients preferred physicians from an opposite race and gender as they were looking for a more open-minded, nonjudgmental physician that can understand them without judging them [10].

A study conducted in the UK found that the most appreciated characteristic of a competent psychiatrist was their ability to make sound clinical decisions, followed by the ability to appraise staff members. This indicates that the participants were less concerned with the organizational social aspects of the medical processes than the clinical management components [20].

Although the overall requirement for a knowledgeable, skilled, and socially competent psychiatrist and a proper clinical setting is sought by most psychiatric patients in different cultures and countries, there are also significant differences in the priorities of such characteristics. This emphasizes how every region has its standards for this occupation [21,22].

Limitations and recommendations

Having a relatively low response rate of approximately 25%, not having any respondents from the inpatient department, and not taking into consideration the non-Islamic religions are the limitations of the study. The

results of this study are not applicable to inpatient departments or non-Muslims. We recommend for future studies of this nature to target a larger sample size and include different regions of Saudi Arabia to have a broader appreciation of differences within the country. Also, more research of such kind is needed in different countries, cultures, and religious groups. Moreover, research examining the relationship between the quality of medical teaching outcomes and patient privacy is needed in our region.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, our results showed that the clinical setting was the most critical domain, followed by the social and knowledge domains. To improve patient experience and satisfaction, certain actions should be taken into consideration in our region. The patient should be made aware of the psychiatrist's language and offered the option of their preferred language. Moreover, incorporating virtual clinics into the psychiatry practice has benefits for both the hospital and the patients. Furthermore, psychiatrists in teaching hospitals should take consent from the patient regarding the attendance of students and interns in the clinic. Finally, the psychiatrist's credentials, qualifications, and subspecialty should be readily available and clear to all patients. These results suggest a variety in patients' and guardians' perspectives in different cultures with the clinical setting being an integral part of psychiatrist's practice in our region.

## Additional Information

### Disclosures

**Human subjects:** Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. The Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University Institutional Review Board (IRB) issued approval IRB-UGS-2021-01-393. The application was reviewed and approved by Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University IRB through an Expedited Review on Sunday, October 31, 2021. Approval is given for eight months from the date of approval. Projects, which have not commenced within four months of the original approval, must be resubmitted to the University Institutional Review Board (IRB) Committee. If you are unable to complete your research within the validation period, you will be required to request an extension from the IRB Committee. On completion of the research, the Principal Investigator is required to advise the Institutional Review Board if any changes are made to the protocol. A revised protocol must be submitted to the Institutional Review Board for reconsideration. Approval is given on the understanding that the "Guidelines for Ethical Research Practice" are adhered to. Where required, a signed written consent form must be obtained from each participant in the study group. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

## References

1. What is psychiatry?. (2022). Accessed: March 28, 2022: <https://www.psychiatry.org/patients-families/what-is-psychiatry-menu>.
2. Pichot P: The history of psychiatry as a medical specialty. New Oxford textbook of psychiatry. Gelder M, Lopez-Ibor JJ, Andreasen N (ed): Oxford University Press, Oxford, England; 2000. 17-26. [10.1093/med/9780199696758.003.0005](https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780199696758.003.0005)
3. Shorter E: History of psychiatry. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2008, 21:593-7. [10.1097/YCO.0b013e32830aba12](https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e32830aba12)
4. Witusik A: Stigmatization of psychiatrists by pedagogues. Pol Merkur Lekarski. 2017, 43:264-7.
5. Gray AJ: Stigma in psychiatry. J R Soc Med. 2002, 95:72-6. [10.1177/014107680209500205](https://doi.org/10.1177/014107680209500205)
6. Kim JH, Tor PC, King J, Seo JS: A Korean survey on qualities and definition of a good psychiatrist. J Korean Med Sci. 2015, 30:632-8. [10.3346/jkms.2015.30.5.632](https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2015.30.5.632)
7. Kallivayalil RA: The importance of psychiatry in undergraduate medical education in India. Indian J Psychiatry. 2012, 54:208-16. [10.4103/0019-5545.102336](https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.102336)
8. American Psychiatric Association Publishing: The U.N.'s unfortunate exclusion. (2011). Accessed: March 28, 2022: [https://psychnews.psychiatryonline.org/doi/full/10.1176/pn.46.19.psychnews\\_46\\_19\\_8\\_2](https://psychnews.psychiatryonline.org/doi/full/10.1176/pn.46.19.psychnews_46_19_8_2).
9. Molu NG, Ozkan B, Icel S: Quality of life for chronic psychiatric illnesses and home care. Pak J Med Sci. 2016, 32:511-5. [10.12669/pjms.322.8794](https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.322.8794)
10. Tor PC, Tan JO: Qualities of a good Singaporean psychiatrist: qualitative differences between psychiatrists and patients. Asia Pac Psychiatry. 2015, 7:135-42. [10.1111/appy.12149](https://doi.org/10.1111/appy.12149)
11. Wensing M, Jung HP, Mainz J, Olesen F, Grol R: A systematic review of the literature on patient priorities for general practice care. Part 1: description of the research domain. Soc Sci Med. 1998, 47:1573-88. [10.1016/s0277-9536\(98\)00222-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536(98)00222-6)
12. Elwyn G, Edwards A, Kinnersley P: Shared decision-making in primary care: the neglected second half of the consultation. Br J Gen Pract. 1999, 49:477-82.
13. Carroll L, Sullivan FM, Colledge M: Good health care: patient and professional perspectives. Br J Gen Pract. 1998, 48:1507-8.
14. Jung HP, Wensing M, Grol R: What makes a good general practitioner: do patients and doctors have different views? Br J Gen Pract. 1997, 47:805-9.
15. Say RE, Thomson R: The importance of patient preferences in treatment decisions--challenges for doctors.

- BMJ. 2003, 327:542-5. [10.1136/bmj.327.7414.542](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7414.542)
16. Wright KM, McKeown M: Essentials of mental health nursing. SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks, California; 2018.
  17. Esagian G, Esagian-Pouftsis S, Kaprinis SG: [Empathy in psychiatry and psychotherapy]. *Psychiatriki*. 2019, 30:156-64. [10.22365/jpsych.2019.302.156](https://doi.org/10.22365/jpsych.2019.302.156)
  18. Sabin JE, Harland JC: Professional ethics for digital age psychiatry: boundaries, privacy, and communication. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2017, 19:55. [10.1007/s11920-017-0815-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-017-0815-5)
  19. Maben J, Griffiths P, Penfold C, et al.: One size fits all? Mixed methods evaluation of the impact of 100% single-room accommodation on staff and patient experience, safety and costs. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2016, 25:241-56. [10.1136/bmjqs-2015-004265](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2015-004265)
  20. Bhugra D, Sivakumar K, Holsgrove G, Butler G, Leese M: What makes a good psychiatrist? A survey of clinical tutors responsible for psychiatric training in the UK and Eire. *World Psychiatry*. 2009, 8:119-20. [10.1002/j.2051-5545.2009.tb00226.x](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2051-5545.2009.tb00226.x)
  21. Law J, Perlman C: Exploring geographic variation of mental health risk and service utilization of doctors and hospitals in Toronto: a shared component spatial modeling approach. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018, 15:[10.3390/ijerph15040593](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15040593)
  22. Public Health Service, Office of the Surgeon General, Center for Mental Health Services (US), National Institute of Mental Health (US), Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration: Mental health: culture, race, and ethnicity: a supplement to mental health: a report of the surgeon general. Department of Health and Human Services, US Public Health Service, Washington, DC; 2001.