DOI: 10.7759/cureus.32240

Review began 11/05/2022 Review ended 11/27/2022 Published 12/05/2022

#### © Copyright 2022

Alzahrani et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# Efficacy and Safety of Tofacitinib in Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA): A Retrospective Study From Two Centers in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Zeyad Alzahrani $^1$ , Ahmed Alhazmi $^2$ , Hanan Almalki $^2$ , Noura Aljehani $^2$ , Mohammed Dumyati $^3$ , Hadeel Alabdali $^4$ 

1. Rheumatology, National Guard Health Affairs, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Jeddah, SAU 2. Rheumatology, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, SAU 3. Internal Medicine, National Guard Health Affairs, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Jeddah, SAU 4. Internal Medicine, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Mecca, SAU

Corresponding author: Noura Aljehani, dr.nouraaljehani@hotmail.com

#### **Abstract**

Background: Tofacitinib is the first Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor approved for treating rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Several clinical trials have evaluated the safety and effectiveness of tofacitinib in adult patients with moderately to severely active RA. Real-world studies provide invaluable insights into routine clinical practice. We aim to assess the clinical efficacy and safety of RA patients.

Methods: Over a period of two years, we included 50 consecutive RA patients who were treated with tofacitinib. Clinical disease activity, assessed by disease activity score (DAS) 28 - erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), as well as adverse events (AEs) were evaluated.

Results: A total of 50 patients (84% female) were enrolled in the study. The mean age at initiation of tofacitinib was  $48.54 \pm 15.97$  years. The mean time of treatment with tofacitinib was  $18.06 \pm 2.04$  months. Patients were treated with tofacitinib 5 mg BID with 32% receiving tofacitinib as monotherapy. A total of 74% of the patients had been prescribed at least one biological treatment. The treatment target was achieved in 42 patients (82%). Baseline characteristics and previous treatment regimens did not predict clinical response to tofacitinib. Fifteen patients discontinued the treatment: seven due to ineffectiveness, four due to pregnancy, and five due to adverse events. The most common infectious adverse event was herpes zoster (4%) while the most common observed laboratory abnormalities were elevation in low density lipoprotein (LDL) and high density lipoprotein (HDL) in 6% and 8% of the patients, respectively.

Conclusion: Our results indicate that tofacitinib is effective in real-world settings even as monotherapy. The treatment target was attained by 82% of the patients on tofacitinib. The safety profile of tofacitinib was generally consistent with previous studies.

Categories: Rheumatology

Keywords: rheumatoid arthritis, tofacitinib, remission, low disease activity, safety

### Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic autoimmune disease characterized by chronic inflammation and the destruction of joints. It can lead to functional impairment, disability, and reduced quality of life [1].

The 2019 European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) treatment guidelines recommend "treat-to-target" strategy (T2T) with early and intensive treatment using disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) [2]. T2T strategy's purpose is to achieve remission or low disease activity. Methotrexate (MTX), a conventional synthetic DMARD (csDMARD), has long been the cornerstone of treatment [2]. A biological DMARD (bDMARD) or a Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor should be implemented after the failure of initial csDMARDs in the presence of poor prognostic factors [2].

Tofacitinib is a JAK inhibitor intended for the treatment of moderately to severely active RA in adults who failed or are intolerant to csDMARDs [3]. Tofacitinib, an orally administered small molecule, may provide a convenient benefit over injectable bDMARDs [4]. Clinical trials have demonstrated that tofacitinib, either as monotherapy or in conjunction with csDMARDs, is able to considerably reduce disease activity as measured by the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) response rates, the EULAR responses and Health Assessment Questionnaire–Disability Index (HAQ-DI) scores [5]. Studies comparing tofacitinib with other therapeutic approaches in the treatment of RA propose that the effectiveness of tofacitinib is comparable to that of biological agents [6].

In 2012, tofacitinib was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for adult patients with moderate to severe RA who have previously failed to respond to MTX [7]. Additionally, the European

Medicines Agency (EMA) granted approval in 2017 [8].

The U.S. Corrona RA registry reported that tofacitinib and bDMARD initiators had a similar incidence rate of adverse events including major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), serious infection, malignancy, death, and venous thromboembolism (VTE) between tofacitinib and bDMARDs. However, herpes zoster was significantly higher with tofacitinib [9].

Recently, ORAL Surveillance, which was mandated by the U.S. FDA reported higher incidence rates of MACE and malignancy than tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors. The risk of opportunistic infections (including herpes zoster and tuberculosis), abnormalities on the liver-function tests, and serum lipid levels were more frequent with tofacitinib than with a TNF inhibitor [10].

Because JAK inhibitors are still a relatively new therapeutic option for RA, further clinical experience with tofacitinib is required to further evaluate its safety and efficacy. Therefore, it is of clinical importance to further investigate the effects of tofacitinib, particularly when administered as monotherapy to RA patients.

This study aims to assess the clinical efficacy, safety, and tolerable response to tofacitinib in RA patients encountered in routine clinical practice. To our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating the efficacy of tofacitinib in RA patients in Saudi Arabia.

### **Materials And Methods**

#### Subjects and methods

This is a record-based retrospective study conducted at the National Guard Hospital (NGH) and King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital (KFAFH), Jeddah, Saudi Arabia over a period of two years from January 2020 to January 2022. The participants were diagnosed with RA according to ACR/EULAR 2010 classification criteria [11] and were seen in the outpatient clinics at NGH and KFAFH.

The inclusion criteria were all RA patients aged ≥18 years, who were treated with tofacitinib between 2015 and 2022 and were evaluated at the initial visit (baseline) and every six months thereafter. The exclusion criteria were any patient aged younger than 18 years and those with a recent start on tofacitinib (less than one month).

Patients' records were reviewed for the following information at the start of treatment with tofacitinib: age, gender, comorbid illnesses, disease duration, rheumatoid factor (RF) and anti-citrulline protein antibody (anti-CCP) positivity, presence of erosions and extra-articular manifestation, history of previous DMARDs, and concomitant medications. Clinical disease activity was assessed using disease activity score (DAS) 28 -- ESR for RA [12]. Disease activity was categorized as follows: remission (<2.6), low disease activity (LDA) (2.6-3.2), moderate disease activity (MDA) (>3.2-5.1), and high disease activity (HDA) (>5.1).

Data on medication safety including reasons of discontinuation (if any) and adverse events in the form of MACE, malignancy, serious infection requiring hospitalization, VTE, and herpes zoster were documented. The following laboratory tests were reviewed: hemoglobin (g/dL), platelets (mm3) leukocytes (mm3), lipid profile, serum creatinine, alanine aminotransferase (IU/L), and aspartate aminotransferase (IU/L).

Our study adheres to Helsinki Declaration principles and is approved by the research ethics committee of the NGH and KFAFH. Informed patient consents were not required as there was no direct influence on the participants.

### Data analysis

We analyzed data using SPSS version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The qualitative data were expressed as numbers and percentages, whereas the quantitative data were expressed as mean and standard deviation (Mean SD). The Chi-squared test (2) was used to test the relationship between variables. The Mann-Whitney and Kruskal Wallis tests were used to examine non-parametric variables. A statistically significant p-value was less than 0.05.

### Results

We studied a total of 50 patients. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are represented in Table 1. The mean age at initiation of tofacitinib was  $48.54 \pm 15.97$  years, and 84% were females. The mean duration of the disease was  $10.68 \pm 6.87$  years. A significant proportion of patients had comorbidities (66%). Obesity (body mass index, BMI > 30), hypertension, and diabetes mellitus were prevalent in 47.0%, 30.1%, and 13.0% of patients, respectively. Eighty-six percent of the patients were positive for RF or anti-CCP and 30% had evidence of radiographic erosions. Extra-articular manifestations were found in seven (14%) of the patients, including rheumatoid nodules in two patients, secondary Sjogren syndrome in two patients, interstitial lung disease in two patients, and episcleritis in one. Patients included in the study had moderate

(68%) and high (32%) disease activity at baseline according to DAS 28 -- ESR.

Variable	All patients
valianie	(n = 50)
Age at initiation of tofacitinib, years (mean ± SD)	48.54 ± 15.97
Duration of disease, years (mean ± SD)	10.68 ± 6.87
Gender	
Female	42 (84)
Male	8 (16)
BMI	
< 24.9	10 (20%)
25-29.9	16 (32%)
≥ 30	24 (48%)
Comorbidities	
None	17 (34%)
DM	16 (32%)
HTN	24 (48%)
IHD	2 (4%)
Dyslipidemia	13 (26%)
Others	14 (28%)
Positive RF or CCP	
No	7 (14)
Yes	43 (86)
Presence of erosion	
No	35 (70)
Yes	15 (30)
Extra-articular manifestations	
No	43 (86)
Yes	7 (14)
Disease activity at baseline	
Moderate disease activity	34 (68)
Severe disease activity	16 (32)

### TABLE 1: Baseline patient sociodemographic and clinical characteristics.

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellites; HTN, hypertension; IHD, ischemic heart disease; RF, rheumatoid factor; anti-CCP, anti-citrulline protein antibody

All patients were initiated on to facitinib at 5 mg twice daily of which 32% received to facitinib as monotherapy. Conventional synthetic DMARDs were taken concurrently with to facitinib by 68% of the patients while 42% were on oral steroids at doses ranging from 2.5 to 7.5 mg. The current treatment regimen is detailed in Table  $\it 2$ .

Variable	All patients
	(n = 50)
Tofacitinib as monotherapy	
No	34 (68)
Yes	16 (32)
Concomitant csDMARDs	
Hydroxychloroquine	4 (8)
Methotrexate	28 (56)
Sulfasalazine	2 (4)
None	18 (32)
Concomitant prednisolone	21 (42)
Given after a biological agent	
No	13 (26)
Yes	37 (74)
Number of previous csDMARDs	1.5 ± 0.58
Number of previous bDMARDs	1.34 ± 1.9

### **TABLE 2: Treatment regimen.**

csDMARDs, conventional synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; bDMARDs, biological disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs

A total of 74% of the patients had been previously exposed to at least one biological agent. The overall number of patients who had been prescribed one, two, three, or more biologics were 16, 14, and 7, respectively. During the evaluation, 70% of patients were still on tofacitinib, the mean duration of therapy was  $18.06 \pm 2.04$  months. In our study, remission was observed in half of the patients and low-disease activity was achieved in 30%. The time to achieve the treatment target was between 12 and 26 weeks.

During follow-up, tofacitinib was discontinued in 15 (30%) patients. A total of seven patients stopped tofacitinib due to insufficient response, four due to pregnancy, and five due to adverse events. Herpes zoster was developed in two patients after starting tofacitinib, two patients developed serious infections requiring hospitalization (complicated urinary tract infection, UTI and pneumonia), and a patient developed neutropenia less than 1000/mm3. It should be noted that the herpes zoster vaccine was not available at that time in Saudi Arabia. For patients who discontinued treatment because of infection, tofacitinib was resumed after infection resolution while patients with herpes zoster discontinued tofacitinib permanently. Herpes zoster infections occurred 8-16 weeks after starting tofacitinib. None of the studied patients developed serious adverse events (VTE, MACE, or malignancy) during the follow-up period. The reasons for treatment discontinuation are summarized and shown in Table 3.

Variable	All patients	
	(n = 50)	
Total duration of therapy, months (mean ± SD)	18.06 ± 2.04	
Discontinuation of tofacitinib		
No	35 (70)	
Yes	15 (30)	
Reason of discontinuation?		
Lack of efficacy	7 (14)	
Pregnancy	4 (8)	
Adverse events	5 (10)	
Adverse events		
Dyslipidemia	3 (6)	
Herpes zoster	2 (4)	
Serious infection requiring hospitalization	2 (4)	
Neutropenia	1 (2)	

#### **TABLE 3: Treatment discontinuation.**

SD, standard deviation

Laboratory values including hemoglobin, white blood cell counts, liver enzymes, creatinine, and lipid profile were followed during tofacitinib treatment, as shown in Table 4. Among the studied subjects, 12% had decreased hemoglobin levels of less than 2 g, while only 2% had neutropenia. An increase in cholesterol or low density lipoprotein (LDL) level was detected in 6% and increased high density lipoprotein (HDL) in 8%. None of the studied patients developed lymphopenia, an increase in liver enzymes, or creatinine.

Variable	All patients
	(n = 50)
Anemia (decreased Hb by > 1 g)	6 (12)
Leukopenia (<4000 / mm3)	1 (2)
Neutropenia (<1500 / mm3)	1 (2)
Lipid profile	
Elevation in TG	1 (2)
Elevation in total cholesterol	3 (6)
Elevation in LDL	3 (6)
Elevation in HDL	4 (8)

### **TABLE 4: Laboratory changes.**

 $Hb,\ hemoglobin;\ TG,\ triglyceride;\ LDL,\ low\ density\ lipoprotein;\ HDL,\ high\ density\ lipoprotein$ 

The predictors of clinical response to to facitinib are shown in Table  $\it 5$ . No significant relationship was found between to facitinib efficacy and patients' demographics at baseline, disease characteristics, or previous treatment regimen.

	Efficacy			
Variable	Did not achieve the therapy goal	Achieved the therapy goal No. (%)	χ2	p-value
	No. (%)			
Age at initiation of tofacitinib	46.44 ± 14.12	49 ± 16.471	0.43*	0.487
Gender				
Female	6 (66.7)	36 (87.8)	2.45	0.117
Male	3 (33.3)	5 (12.2)		
Duration of disease (years)	10 ± 6.02	10.61 ± 7.1	5	0.518
ВМІ				
< 24.9	4 (44.4)	6(14.7)		
25-29.9	0 (0.0)	16 (39)	7.44	0.19
≥ 30	5 (66.6)	19 (46.4)		
Comorbidities				
No	2 (22.2)	15 (36.6)	0.67	0.41
Yes	7 (77.8)	26 (63.4)		
Serology				
Seronegative	3 (33.3)	4 (9.8)	3.4	0.065
Seropositive	6 (66.7)	37 (90.2)		
Presence of erosion				
No	7 (77.8)	28 (68.3)	0.31	0.574
Yes	2 (22.2)	13 (31.7)		
Extra-articular manifestation				
None	8 (88.9)	35 (85.4)	0.07	0.783
Yes	1 (11.1)	6 (14.6)		
Tofacitinib as monotherapy				
No	7 (77.8)	27 (65.9)	0.48	0.487
Yes	2 (22.2)	14 (34.1)		
Given after a biological agent				
No	1 (11.1)	12 (29.3)	1.26	0.261
Yes	8 (88.9)	29 (70.7)		
Number of previous csDMARDs	$1.44 \pm 0.72$	1.51 ± 0.55	0.56	0.619
Number of previous bDMARDs	1.89 ± 1.36	1.22 ± 1.01	0.18	0.211

## TABLE 5: Predictors of achievement of the treatment target.

BMI, body mass index; csDMARDs, conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; bDMARDs, biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs;  $\chi$ 2, Chi-square

### **Discussion**

This retrospective real-world study analyzing patients with RA treated with tofacitinib from two centers in Western Saudi Arabia showed a good response to tofacitinib treatment even without concomitant use of

MTX and in patients who had multiple bDMARD failure. To facitinib significantly reduced disease activity, with 82% achieving the treatment target at follow-up. In the clinical trials, the treatment target was achieved according to DAS28-ESR in up to 47.5% [13-15] while in real-world studies, it is up to 58% [16-17].

To facitinib was discontinued by 14% of the patients due to ineffectiveness, which is lower than the results of other clinical studies [15, 18]. In an open-label study, 20.4% of patients did not achieve ACR 20 after 24 months [19]. In real-life data, discontinuation due to ineffectiveness ranges from 14% to 68% [17]

To facitinib failure was not predicted by any baseline characteristics at the time of starting to facitinib therapy. In our study, 26% of the patients were biologic-naïve. There was no significant difference in response whether patients were naïve or had been exposed to biological agents. Serologic status has no impact on treatment outcome. The likelihood of achieving the treatment target for to facitinib was higher in biologic-naïve patients in many studies of bDMARDs [20-21]. In a retrospective Turkish single-center study, it was found that negative RF was an independent predictor of a good response to to facitinib [22]. However, there is no conclusive evidence on the relationship between serologic status and to facitinib response.

Adverse events leading to discontinuation of tofacitinib treatment were observed in 10% of the patients which was comparable to published data. The reported rate of discontinuation due to adverse events ranges from 4% to 26% in observational studies [17]. In our study, the most common infectious adverse events were herpes zoster (4%), pneumonia (2%), and complicated UTI (2%). In a three-year study post-marketing surveillance of tofacitinib in Japan, 3.7% developed herpes zoster [23]. The incidence rates of herpes zoster and other infections in a retrospective Turkish single-center study were found to be 3.9 and 1.4 per 100 patient years, respectively [22].

Few patients (6%) developed an increase in LDL cholesterol following the initiation of tofacitinib. It has been reported that the treatment with tofacitinib is associated with an elevation in total cholesterol, LDL, and HDL; however, it does not appear to be associated with an increased cardiovascular risk [23].

Neither hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation nor tuberculosis was reported. None of the enrolled patients had VTE, MACE, or malignancy; however, the follow-up period was not enough to make a conclusion. Several limitations of this study must be mentioned such as the small number of participants. The duration of the study is insufficient to capture serious adverse events such as MACE, malignancy, and VTE.

#### **Conclusions**

To facitinib is a valuable and well-tolerated treatment option for RA patients. To facitinib induced high rates of low disease activity and remission in moderate to highly active RA as monotherapy or in combination with csDMARDs, which reinforces to facitinib effectiveness in real clinical practice.

### **Additional Information**

#### **Disclosures**

**Human subjects:** Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

### References

- Drossaers-Bakker KW, Zwinderman AH, Vliet Vlieland TP, Van Zeben D, Vos K, Breedveld FC, Hazes JM:
   Long-term outcome in rheumatoid arthritis: a simple algorithm of baseline parameters can predict
   radiographic damage, disability, and disease course at 12-year followup. Arthritis Rheum. 2002, 47:383-390.
   10.1002/art 10513
- Smolen JS, Landewé RB, Bijlsma JW, et al.: EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2019 update. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020, 79:685-699. 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216655
- 3. Iwamoto N, Tsuji S, Takatani A, et al.: Efficacy and safety at 24 weeks of daily clinical use of tofacitinib in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. PLoS One. 2017, 12:e0177057. 10.1371/journal.pone.0177057
- Fisher A, Hudson M, Platt RW, Dormuth CR: Tofacitinib persistence in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a retrospective cohort study. J Rheumatol. 2021, 48:16-24. 10.3899/jrheum.191252
- Tanaka Y, Suzuki M, Nakamura H, Toyoizumi S, Zwillich SH: Phase II study of tofacitinib (CP-690,550) combined with methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to methotrexate. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011, 63:1150-1158. 10.1002/acr.20494
- van Vollenhoven RF, Fleischmann R, Cohen S, et al.: Tofacitinib or adalimumab versus placebo in rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med. 2012, 367:508-519. 10.1056/NEJMoa1112072

- 7. Traynor K: FDA approves tofacitinib for rheumatoid arthritis. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2012, 69:2120-2121.
- Xeljanz: EMA confirms Xeljanz to be used with caution in patients at high risk of blood clots . European Medicines Agency. 2019,
- Kremer JM, Bingham CO 3rd, Cappelli LC, et al.: Postapproval comparative safety study of tofacitinib and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 5-year results from a United States-based rheumatoid arthritis registry. ACR Open Rheumatol. 2021, 3:173-184. 10.1002/acr2.11232
- Ytterberg SR, Bhatt DL, Mikuls TR, et al.: Cardiovascular and cancer risk with tofacitinib in rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med. 2022, 386:316-326. 10.1056/NEJMoa2109927
- Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, et al.: 2010 Rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis Rheum. 2010, 62:2569-2581. 10.1002/art.27584
- Anderson J, Caplan L, Yazdany J, et al.: Rheumatoid arthritis disease activity measures: American College of Rheumatology recommendations for use in clinical practice. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2012, 64:640-647. 10.1002/acr.21649
- Fleischmann R, Mysler E, Hall S, et al.: Efficacy and safety of tofacitinib monotherapy, tofacitinib with methotrexate, and adalimumab with methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (ORAL Strategy): A phase 3b/4, double-blind, head-to-head, randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2017, 390:457-468.
- Lee EB, Fleischmann R, Hall S, et al.: Tofacitinib versus methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med. 2014, 370:2377-2386. 10.1056/NEJMoa1310476
- Mueller RB, Hasler C, Popp F, et al.: Effectiveness, tolerability, and safety of tofacitinib in rheumatoid arthritis: a retrospective analysis of real-world data from the St. Gallen and Aarau cohorts. J Clin Med,2019. 8:1548.
- Bertoldi I, Caporali R: Tofacitinib: real-world data and treatment persistence in rheumatoid arthritis. Open Access Rheumatol. 2021, 13:221-237. 10.2147/OARRR.S322086
- Charles-Schoeman C, Burmester G, Nash P, et al.: Efficacy and safety of tofacitinib following inadequate response to conventional synthetic or biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. Ann Rheum Dis. 2016, 75:1293-1301. 10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-207178
- Wollenhaupt J, Lee EB, Curtis JR, et al.: Safety and efficacy of tofacitinib for up to 9.5 years in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: final results of a global, open-label, long-term extension study. Arthritis Res Ther. 2019, 21:89. 10.1186/s13075-019-1866-2
- Takeuchi T, Tanaka Y, Kaneko Y, et al.: Effectiveness and safety of adalimumab in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis: retrospective analyses of data collected during the first year of adalimumab treatment in routine clinical practice (HARMONY study). Modern Rheumatol. 2012, 22:327-338. 10.3109/s10165-011-0516-6
- Mori S, Yoshitama T, Ueki Y: Tofacitinib therapy for rheumatoid arthritis: a direct comparison study between biologic-naïve and experienced patients. Intern Med. 2017, 57:663-670.
   10.2169/internalmedicine.9341-17
- Bilgin E, Ceylan F, Duran E, et al.: Efficacy, retention, and safety of tofacitinib in real-life: Hur-bio monocentric experience. Turk J Med Sci. 2021, 51:297-308. 10.3906/sag-2007-123
- Tamura N, Kuwana M, Atsumi T, et al.: Infection events in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with tofacitinib: interim all-case post-marketing surveillance (2018) ACR Meeting Abstracts. Arthritis Rheumatol. Wiley, NJ, USA; 2018. 70:
- Charles-Schoeman C, Gonzalez-Gay MA, Kaplan I, et al.: Effects of tofacitinib and other DMARDs on lipid
  profiles in rheumatoid arthritis: implications for the rheumatologist. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2016, 46:7180. 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2016.03.004