DOI: 10.7759/cureus.48366

Be or Not to Be With ChatGPT?

Aynur Aliyeva ¹ , Elif Sari ²

Review began 10/20/2023 Review ended 11/04/2023 Published 11/06/2023

© Copyright 2023

Aliyeva et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, USA 2. Department of Otorhinolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, Istanbul Aydın University VM Medical Park Florya Hospital, Istanbul, TUR

Corresponding author: Aynur Aliyeva, aynur_aliyeva86@mail.ru

Abstract

In the ever-evolving realm of scientific research, this letter underscores the vital role of ChatGPT as an invaluable ally in manuscript creation, focusing on its remarkable grammar and spelling error correction capabilities. Furthermore, it highlights ChatGPT's efficacy in expediting the manuscript preparation process by streamlining the collection and highlighting critical scientific information. By elucidating the aim of this letter and the multifaceted benefits of ChatGPT, we aspire to illuminate the path toward a future where scientific writing achieves unparalleled efficiency and precision.

Categories: Other, Medical Education, Healthcare Technology

Keywords: artificial intelligence, future in medicine, research, scientific manuscript, chatgpt

Editorial

To the Editor,

In the rapidly advancing scientific landscape, tools that aid in the streamlined creation of research papers are not just luxuries but necessities. ChatGPT has emerged as a stellar auxiliary tool in this context, aiming to enhance the quality and efficiency of scientific manuscript writing [1]. This letter outlines the indispensable roles played by ChatGPT in facilitating the production of high-quality scientific manuscripts, focusing on their grammatical prowess and ability to sift through and analyze voluminous scientific data.

Grammar and spelling error correction: ChatGPT has exhibited a remarkable capacity for grammar and spelling error correction, essentially serving as a vigilant editor that enhances the linguistic quality of the manuscript. It effectively eradicates errors that could potentially mar the readability and credibility of a research document, thereby acting as a reliable first line of defense against linguistic imperfections [2].

Facilitating the collection and highlighting of scientific information: In the cutthroat environment where timely publication can be crucial, ChatGPT serves scientists by accelerating the manuscript preparation process. It enables a swift review of the general results found in various articles, highlighting pivotal data for the discussion section, thereby augmenting scientific discourse's overall quality and depth [3].

ChatGPT shines in helping researchers to collate and focus on pertinent scientific data drawn from a plethora of sources, guiding them in formulating well-grounded arguments and discussions. This ensures a higher quality manuscript that can be completed in a fraction of the time, offering researchers the luxury of focusing more on analysis rather than the arduous data collection task [4].

Limitations of ChatGPT in scientific writing: While ChatGPT can provide general insights and generate text based on its last training data, it is not ideal for sourcing the latest citations or highly specialized sources. Its knowledge is limited to the cut-off date of its last training set, and it lacks real-time access to current databases or journals. Thus, researchers must cross-check and source citations from credible and up-to-date platforms. ChatGPT's expertise might not cover the depth and breadth required in highly specialized or niche fields. While it can generate text based on its training, it does not replace domain experts or specialized databases. One potential risk is the oversimplification of complex topics. ChatGPT aims to generate coherent and contextually relevant responses, but there might be cases where the generated content lacks the depth or nuance required for scientific discourse. While ChatGPT can be a useful tool for initial drafts or brainstorming, researchers must critically evaluate and verify the information generated. It is essential to uphold the rigorous standards of scientific writing and ensure the accuracy and credibility of the content [5].

The future with ChatGPT: Envisioning the roadmap ahead, ChatGPT stands as a frontier tool poised to redefine the terrain of scientific paper writing. It harbors the potential to foster collaborations, bridge gaps, and enhance research manuscripts' comprehensiveness.

As we contemplate the question, "to be or not to be with ChatGPT," it becomes clear that embracing this tool

Cureus

could mean stepping into an era of heightened efficiency and precision in scientific writing. It demands a balanced approach, where we utilize ChatGPT to its fullest while retaining a critical approach to verify and substantiate the information it provides, thus upholding the principles of scientific rigor [5].

By merging the advanced capabilities of ChatGPT with human ingenuity, we can foster a future where scientific manuscripts are not just technically sound but are enriched with depth, focusing on groundbreaking discoveries in science.

With the aid of ChatGPT, scientific research papers can achieve a harmonious marriage of quality and efficiency, promising a revolutionized approach to manuscript writing. The tool ensures grammatical correctness and acts as a researcher's assistant, aiding data collection and its intelligent highlight in discussions, fostering ground for deeper and more nuanced scientific analysis.

Yours faithfully

Additional Information

Author Contributions

All authors have reviewed the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Concept and design: Aynur Aliyeva, Elif Sari

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Aynur Aliyeva, Elif Sari

Drafting of the manuscript: Aynur Aliyeva, Elif Sari

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Aynur Aliyeva, Elif Sari

Supervision: Aynur Aliyeva, Elif Sari

Disclosures

Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- $\label{eq:continuous} \textbf{1.} \quad \text{Koçak Z, Altay S: Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots in scientific publications} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots in scientific publications} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots in scientific publications} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots in scientific publications} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots in scientific publications} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots in scientific publications} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots in scientific publications} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots in scientific publications} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots in scientific publications} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy on the use of chatbots} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy} \ . \ \textbf{Balkan Medical Journal policy}$
- Meyer JG, Urbanowicz RJ, Martin PC, et al.: ChatGPT and large language models in academia: opportunities and challenges. BioData Min. 2023, 16:20. 10.1186/s13040-023-00339-9
- Hosseini M, Horbach SP: Fighting reviewer fatigue or amplifying bias? Considerations and recommendations for use of ChatGPT and other large language models in scholarly peer review. Res Integr Peer Rev. 2023, 8:4. 10.1186/s41073-023-00133-5
- Hill-Yardin EL, Hutchinson MR, Laycock R, Spencer SJ: A chat(GPT) about the future of scientific publishing. Brain Behav Immun. 2023, 110:152-4. 10.1016/j.bbi.2023.02.022
- Ong CW, Blackbourn HD, Migliori GB: GPT-4, artificial intelligence and implications for publishing. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2023, 27:425-6. 10.5588/ijtld.23.0143