A Rare Insidious Case of Skin and Soft Tissue Infection Due to Mycobacterium abscessus: A Case Report
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Abstract

Mycobacterium abscessus complex (MABc) is part of the rapid-growing non-tuberculous mycobacteria group that usually resides in natural water sources. When it affects humans, it can be highly resistant and difficult to manage. The most common presentation is localized, mainly in the lungs and soft tissue, but can be generalized in immunocompromised patients. Here we present a case report of a 40-year-old female with a chronic infection of the abdominal wall after abdominoplasty.

Introduction

Mycobacterium abscessus complex (MABc) is one of the most important causes of nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) after Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC). This group of microorganisms is fast-growing and has shown difficulties in treatment due to the development of highly drug-resistant pathogens [1-2]. The broad spectrum of infection is mainly pulmonary and soft tissue disease, but reports of bone disease and disseminated disease are more common in immunocompromised individuals. The non-pulmonary infections have been associated with postsurgical or post-injection wounds with a rate of 43% of cases, localized community-acquired wound infections with a rate of 23% of cases, and disseminated cutaneous infections with a rate of 20% of cases [3].

This article describes a case of chronic recurrent draining sinus infection located in the abdominal wall after a lipoabdominoplasty.

Case Presentation

A 40-year-old female came to our facility due to chronic recurrent draining sinus on the abdomen wall. The patient underwent a lipoabdominoplasty in the Dominican Republic three months before hospitalization. The patient had a course of antibiotics with ertapenem and piperacillin/tazobactam in another facility, which was unsuccessful in clearing the infection. On the day of admission to our facility, the patient had recurrent fevers and generalized abdominal pain. Laboratory workup demonstrated normal leukocyte count, microcytic-hypochromic anemia, preserved renal function, elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and normal C-reactive protein (Table 1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood test</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference value</th>
<th>Units of measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyte</td>
<td>7280</td>
<td>4000-11000</td>
<td>mm$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>12.1-15.5</td>
<td>g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>37-47</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6-24</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8-1.1</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>mm/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8-1.0</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1: Test results of the patient during the first admission to our facility**

BUN: blood urea nitrogen; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein

The computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen and pelvis with contrast showed diffuse abdominal wall subcutaneous stranding without abdominal wall abscess (Figure 1).
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**FIGURE 1: CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast**

The arrow shows diffuse abdominal wall subcutaneous stranding with no abdominal wall abscess.

The patient received ceftriaxone 2 g intravenously (IV) daily and had incision and drainage of the postoperative wound with debridement of 224 cm$^2$ sinus tract of the abdomen. The wound vac was applied twice on this admission. Tissue specimen was sent for anaerobic, aerobic, fungal cultures, and acid-fast bacilli (AFB) and tissue for pathology. After six days of hospital course, the patient was discharged empirically on amoxicillin and clavulanic acid with an outpatient follow-up in the infectious disease clinic.

During a clinic visit, the cultures results of the tissue sample came back positive for AFB *Mycobacterium abscesses* complex, but no susceptibilities were available at this point. The antibiotics were changed to linezolid 600 mg orally every 12 hours and clarithromycin 500 mg every 12 hours. The patient did not improve and after one month was readmitted to our facility. At this admission, CT abdomen/pelvis without contrast demonstrated unchanged abdominal wall subcutaneous stranding with no evidence for abdominal wall abscess (Figure 2).

![CT abdomen and pelvis without contrast](image)
FIGURE 2: CT abdomen and pelvis

The arrow shows abdominal wall subcutaneous stranding is unchanged no evidence for abdominal wall abscess.

The patient received meropenem 1 g IV every eight hours, amikacin 700mg IV daily, and continued clarithromycin 500 mg every 12 hours. The patient was discharged with IV meropenem, amikacin, and oral clarithromycin. The final results of the tissue sample demonstrated susceptibility to tigecycline, amikacin, and clarithromycin (Table 2). Antibiotics were changed, and the patient received omadacycline 300 mg daily, amikacin 900 mg IV daily, and oral clarithromycin 500 mg daily for six months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Unit of Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>16 S</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoxitin</td>
<td>32 I</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>&gt;4 R</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>1 S</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>&gt;16 R</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>16 I</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>32 R</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minocycline</td>
<td>&gt;8 R</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moxifloxacin</td>
<td>&gt;8 R</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigecycline</td>
<td>0.5 S</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrimethoprinSulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>&gt;8/152 R</td>
<td>mcg/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2: Antibiotic susceptibility of Mycobacterium abscessus complex of the tissue sample obtained in the incision and drainage

S: susceptible; I: intermediate; R: resistant

This assay detects inducible resistance to macrolide due to erm gene by extended 14 days incubation for clarithromycin

Discussion
Mycobacterium abscessus complex is classified as a rapid-growing mycobacterium (RGM), non-tuberculosis pathogen, and by far the most pathogenic of the RGM group [1,2]. Normally, this type of microorganism is found in natural and drinking water sources, sewage water, household plumbing, hospital wastewater, and soil and is non-pathogenic in most cases [4,5]. In the past, MABC was only reported in immunosuppressed. Lately, the number of cases has increased, and the population that has been affected is immunocompetent individuals [6]. Pre-existing conditions, such as cystic fibrosis and HIV, are more related to the pulmonary and disseminated presentation; on the other hand, soft tissue infections are linked to healthcare-associated infections [5].

MABC can be divided into three subspecies: Mycobacterium (M.) abscessus subspecies abscessus (45-65% cases reported), M. abscessus subspecies massiliense (20-55% cases reported), and M. abscessus subspecies bolletii (1-18% cases reported) [2].

Skin and soft tissue infections with MABC are related to surgical, plastic procedures, trauma, transplantation, and cancer, but nowadays, there are cases related to simple cosmetic procedures, such as pedicures, tattooing mesotherapy, and body piercing [4,7,8]. The presentation can be an abscess formation, chronic indolent ulcers, or disseminated disease [4,9]. Our patient had a surgical procedure that most likely put her at risk of developing this type of infection. Some hypotheses explain the potential causes of wound infection after cosmetic and surgical procedures. Open wounds can be contaminated with Gentian violet, antiseptic solutions, the use of tap water for postoperative irrigation or to clear surgical instruments, reuse of liposuction catheters, and the inexistence of autoclaving in those facilities [5,10].

According to the guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, the treatment is based on a combination therapy of antibiotics with surgical debridement. This type of bacteria usually is susceptible to macrolides, amikacin, cefoxitin, linezolid, and imipenem [2-5,11]. Clarithromycin has been demonstrated to be the drug of choice in the treatment of MABC infections. Treatment has been demonstrated to be difficult due to the high resistance; however, the extrapulmonary infection has better outcomes after four to six months of treatment, whereas pulmonary infection has high failure rates, even after more than 12 to 24 months of therapy [2,6-9].

There have been several described mechanisms of resistance in the MABC organism that can be intrinsic and extrinsic resistance [12]; was cell barrier with high levels of lipids and biofilm formation decreases the penetration of antibiotics, and the formation of gene mutations, erm(41) and rrl, which confer macrolide resistance, rrs gene mutation with amikacin resistance, and gyrA and gyrB genes with quinolone resistance. The form to test the presence of resistant microorganisms is by performing a prolonged incubation period of 14 days. Genetic studies suggest that the three subspecies differ in specific erm(41) mutations and intrinsic clarithromycin susceptibility patterns. The strains that have intrinsic resistance to macrolides are M. abscessus subspecies abscessus and M. abscessus subspecies bolletii, because they possess a full-length and functional erm(41) gene. M. abscessus subspecies massiliense is more susceptible to macrolides due to a truncated, non-functional erm(41) gene but may acquire inducible macrolide resistance with antibiotic exposure [13-14].

Seif et al. describe risk factors for treatment failure, disseminated infection, resistance to clarithromycin, IV amikacin treatment receipt, acute kidney injury, presence of prosthetic device after prior transplantation, and immunosuppressive therapy [9]. The patient does not meet these risk factors but failed treatment with linezolid and clarithromycin due to linezolid resistance. The patient did respond well to omadacycline, amikacin, and clarithromycin.

Conclusions
In conclusion, for a patient that reports chronic skin infections after any surgical procedure or invasive procedure without any improvement in the clinic scenario with empiric treatment and with negative tissue cultures, AFB smear and mycobacterium culture must be done to rule out NTM infectious. Regarding treatment, there has not been much investigation in the field, but according to the guidelines, the treatment is a combination of surgical debridement and a combination of antibiotics.
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