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Abstract

Solitary fibrous tumors (SFT) are a rare type of mesenchymal-derived tumor not commonly found in the pediatric population, especially in the head and neck. Tumors of this nature are most commonly seen in the adult population and are identified with unique immunohistochemical markers, specifically signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 (STAT6) and hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen (CD34). Including SFTs in the differential diagnosis while working up a mass can be difficult considering their relatively non-descript appearance on imaging and the low yield immunohistochemical staining that must be ordered to confirm diagnosis. The current literature identifies only a handful of cases of SFTs occurring in the pediatric population, with a majority arising from the pleura. We present the case of a 13-year-old male who underwent radical excision of a left occipital triangle neck mass after radiological and pathological workup failed to conclusively make a diagnosis. Postoperative pathologic analysis revealed it to be an SFT. Due to the exceptionally rare presentation of SFTs in pediatric patients, the aim of this case report is to discuss diagnostic measures, solitary fibrous tumor etiology, as well as a recent risk stratification system used for the evaluation of postoperative disease progression. Our hope is that clinicians will include SFTs in their differential diagnosis when working up a neck mass in the pediatric population.

Introduction

Solitary fibrous tumors (SFT) are a rare type of mesenchymal-derived tumor with characteristic hemangiopericytoma-like vascular branching patterns that occur throughout the body, including the mediastinum, lung, pleura, liver, kidney, orbit, and meninges [1-3].

These tumors most commonly present in the adult population [3]. Solitary fibrous tumors are exceedingly rare in the pediatric population and create a diagnostic dilemma. Once identified, the treatment of choice for SFTs is radical surgical resection [4]. Given the rarity of SFTs overall, the presenting age of the patient and atypical location of the tumor warrant further discussion in terms of diagnostic measures and case management of pediatric patients presenting with neck masses. The purpose of this paper is to discuss the case of a SFT arising in the left occipital triangle of the neck in a 13-year-old male patient.

Case Presentation

A 13-year-old male was referred to outpatient head and neck surgical oncology for a neck mass presenting in the left occipital triangle. The mass slowly grew over several months and initially presented with some slight irritation during rotation of the neck, in addition to an obvious cosmetic deformity. The patient’s review of symptoms was unremarkable and did not include fever, night sweats, or weight loss. Past medical history and past surgical history were negative. There was no known family history of cancer or lymphoma. Physical exam was otherwise negative. The patient had no accessory nerve or other cranial nerve palsy. The patient had no other neck masses or enlarged lymph nodes present. Laboratory data displayed a normal white blood cell count of 4.6, hemoglobin of 15.2, hematocrit of 46.3, and a platelet count of 272. All electrolyte values were within normal limits.

The differential diagnosis included lipoma, lymphoma, lymphangioma, and malignancy. Ultrasound identified an ovoid, well-circumscribed mass, deep to the muscular layers of the occipital triangle, measuring 4.5 x 3.0 x 1.5 cm in diameter (Figure 1).
FIGURE 1: Ultrasound imaging of the solitary fibrous tumor

No other imaging was performed. A fine-needle aspiration (FNA) yielded inconclusive results, and the decision was made to proceed with surgical removal. Intraoperatively, the mass appeared as a pink-tan to gray lesion that easily broke apart into irregularly shaped fragments, which were homogeneous with focal areas of hemorrhage. The mass, and the associated fragments, were fully removed and the specimen was sent to pathology. The post-surgical pathology, like the previous FNA, initially came back as inconclusive. A sample was then sent to an outside head and neck pathologist for further examination.

Examination of the soft tissue specimen determined the mass to be consistent with an SFT (Figures 2-4).

FIGURE 2: Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stain showing staghorn vessels of the solitary fibrous tumor (100x)
Histologic examination showed evidence of cellular spindle cell proliferation with staghorn-type blood vessels. Further immunohistochemical testing indicated that the spindle cells were positive for the cellular markers hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen (CD34) and signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 (STAT6), both consistent with SFTs [2, 5]. The outside pathologist confirmed that the patient was in a low-
The inclusion of SFTs into the diagnostic differential diagnosis for neck masses in the pediatric population, however slight, it is wise to continue to observe the patient over the long term. The patients in the study by Demicco, et al. metastatic potential, largely in part due to the median age (53-years-old) and range (19 to 83-years-old) of the tumors. Fortunately, in our case, even this robust analysis leaves questions to be answered regarding the patient’s recurrence and metastasis. This risk stratification scale may be used to determine the level of follow-up as well as the treatment modalities for children. 

A risk stratification system has been devised by Demicco, et al., and should be utilized to evaluate for risk of recurrence and metastasis [3]. A score is determined by the patient’s age (< 55, zero points; > 55, one point), tumor size (< 5 cm, zero points; 5-10 cm, one point; 10-15 cm, two points; > 15 cm, three points), and mitotic figures present per 10x high power field (zero figures, zero points; 1-3 figures, one point; > four figures, two points). Through this model, cumulative scores of 0-2 are deemed low risk for metastasis, scores of 3-4 are considered moderate risk for metastasis, and scores of 5-6 place the patient in a high-risk category for metastasis. This risk stratification scale may be used to determine the level of follow-up as well as the treatment modalities for these tumors in adults, but no such literature currently exists in children. 

Unfortunately, in our case, even this robust analysis leaves questions to be answered regarding the patient’s metastatic potential, largely in part due to the median age (55-years-old) and range (19 to 83-years-old) of the patients in the study by Demicco, et al. [3]. This being said, while considering the risks of metastasis, however slight, it is wise to continue to observe the patient over the long term. 

The inclusion of SFTs into the diagnostic differential diagnosis for neck masses in the pediatric population may be of greater importance than previously thought. Most present as asymptomatic masses and as such...
can prove to be a diagnostic challenge [6]. CT and MRI studies have been shown to have some benefit in helping differentiate SFTs from other more malignant neoplasms; they may also be considered in the preoperative management of these cases. The addition of appropriate preoperative imaging studies and conclusive FNA could potentially help identify SFTs quicker. Adding SFTs to the differential diagnosis of lipoma, lymphoma, smooth muscle tumors, nerve sheath tumors, and fibrous histiocytomas allows for a fully comprehensive approach to the management of pediatric neck masses.

Conclusions

Solitary fibrous tumors are a rare entity, especially in the pediatric population. Tumors of this nature originate from the pleura and are most commonly present in the adult population. These mesenchymal-derived tumors have characteristic hemangiopericytoma-like vascular branching patterns and stain positive with CD34 and STAT6 immunohistochemical markers. Surgical excision and close monitoring of this tumor in the pediatric population is currently the recommended therapy.
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