Epiploic Appendagitis Masquerading as Acute Appendicitis: A Report of Two Cases
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Abstract

Epiploic appendagitis (EA) is a rare clinical entity caused by an inflammatory/ischemic process involving the serosal outpouchings of the colon. Its clinical presentation of acute, localised, lower abdominal pain often mimics more common conditions like diverticulitis or appendicitis. The diagnosis of EA is challenging due to the lack of pathognomonic clinical features. The definitive diagnosis primarily relies on cross-sectional imaging modalities like abdominal ultrasound or computed tomography (CT). Being a benign and self-limiting condition, it can be managed conservatively with analgesic and anti-inflammatory drugs. We present two cases to highlight EA as an important differential diagnosis for cases of acute lower abdominal pain, crucial to prevent unnecessary antibiotic therapy and surgical interventions.
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Introduction

Epiploic appendagitis (EA) is a benign, mostly self-limiting, inflammatory/ischemic disorder of the epiploic appendages, which are fat-filled serosal outpouchings of the colon. Clinically, it often mimics the more common causes of acute lower abdominal pain such as acute appendicitis or acute diverticulitis [1-3]. Owing to its rarity and non-specific clinical presentation, the clinical diagnosis of EA is challenging. There has been a growing number of case reports of EA in the surgical literature following the increasing usage of abdominal computed tomography (CT) in the investigation of acute abdominal pain and the increase in diagnostic laparoscopic procedures. Additionally, the management is mostly conservative, with diagnostic laparoscopy undertaken in cases with unsure diagnosis or complications. We present here two unique cases to highlight this condition as an important differential diagnosis of acute lower abdominal pain, with an objective to avoid unnecessary surgical interventions when possible.

Case Presentation

The first case is of a 43-year-old female with a body mass index (BMI) of 42 kg/m 2, who presented to the emergency department with a three-day history of an acute onset right iliac fossa pain with no other associated symptoms. Her past medical history included hypertension and a previous laparoscopy for ovarian cyst. On admission, her vital signs were stable. Abdominal examination revealed an extremely tender right iliac fossa, with guarding and no rebound tenderness. Blood investigations showed normal inflammatory and biochemical markers. Abdominal CT revealed a normal appendix with some inflammatory stranding seen in the mesoappendix (Figure 1).
With the clinical diagnosis of an early acute appendicitis, an emergency diagnostic laparoscopy was performed. On laparoscopy, the appendix appeared normal, although a diverticulum of the appendix was noted with a tortuous and infarcted appendage in the mesoappendix (Figure 2).

The specimen was retrieved and sent for histopathology that showed a normal appendix with hemorrhagic infarction and fat necrosis of the EA (Figure 3).
The second case is of a 22-year-old female with a BMI of 32 kg/m² who was admitted with an acute onset right iliac fossa pain. Her past medical history was unremarkable. On examination, she had marked right iliac fossa tenderness and guarding with positive rebound tenderness. Rovsing and psoas signs were negative. With a clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis, she underwent an emergency diagnostic laparoscopy that revealed a macroscopically normal-looking appendix. There was minimal serosanguinous fluid in the pelvis and no pelvic pathology was visualised on inspection. On further exploration, attached to the parietal peritoneum in the right iliac fossa, there was a pedunculated appendage that appeared infarcted and was therefore excised (Figure 4).
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EA [4].

The incidence of EA ranges from 2% to 7% in cases of provisional diagnosis of acute diverticulitis and 0.3%
to 1% in suspected acute appendicitis cases [10]. Acute EA of the abdomen, which is the inflammation of the
epiploic appendages close to the vermiform appendix, is even rarer accounting for only 3% of all the EA
cases [4], often masquerading as acute appendicitis. Most reports suggest male predominance [5,11,12]
whereas some reports mentioned that it is more prevalent in female gender [13], but both our cases were
females. An association with obesity, colonic diverticula, strenuous exercise and hernias has been noted in
previous reports [12-14]. One of our patients had a BMI of 42. Adults are commonly afflicted, where the age
of the reported cases ranges from 8 to 80 years with a peak incidence around 40 years [13,15].

Clinically, EA presents with acute colicky or constant abdominal pain mimicking diverticulitis, appendicitis
or cholecystitis [2] depending on its site. Usually self-resolving, the pain lasts from a week to several months.
Associated constitutional symptoms like fever are rare. Appetite is usually preserved and nausea and
vomiting are uncommon. The clinical examination usually reveals localised rebound tenderness without
rigidity. Furthermore, apart from pain from torsion or thrombosis, the inflammation of the appendage could
later result in band adhesions presenting with intestinal obstruction. Some cases of primary band adhesion
in virgin abdomens may represent a previously overlooked EA. When the pedicle atrophies as a result of
aseptic fat necrosis, the appendage becomes an intra-peritoneal loose body, which may be detected at
laparotomy/laparoscopy or on imaging. In rare instances, the loose body can re-attach to a peritoneal
surface as in our second case, or rarely over the spleen as a parasitized appendix epiploica [16].

Given the non-specific laboratory investigations, imaging modalities are vital for diagnosis [3]. The hallmark
ultrasonographic feature is the presence of a hyperechoic non-compressible pendulous tender mass
adjacent to the bowel wall with absent flow on colour Doppler. The pathognomonic CT finding is a 2- to 4-
cm oval-shaped fat density lesion with a central focal area of hyper-attenuation surrounded by
inflammatory stranding [1,11]. Thickening of the adjacent parietal peritoneum may be seen. Unlike
diverticulitis, the colonic wall usually does not show any signs of thickening [17]. A CT scan is more specific
as compared to ultrasound for diagnosis. The natural history of EA is self-limiting, lasting up to two weeks
with analgesic and anti-inflammatory medications. In any patient undergoing diagnostic laparoscopy, if
there is a presence of serosanguinous fluid in the pelvis with no associated abdominal or pelvic pathology,
the colon should be thoroughly examined for the presence of EA. When the surgeon encounters an EA on
diagnostic laparoscopy in a symptomatic patient, the best treatment option is excision of the lesion
[1,18,19].

Conclusions

Although rare, EA should be an important differential diagnosis for the acute onset of localised abdominal
pain without raised inflammatory markers. If suspected, an appropriate imaging modality, either abdominal
ultrasound or CT scan, should be ordered to rule outEA. Early pre-operative diagnosis can prevent unnecessary hospitalisations, antibiotic therapy and surgical interventions. On diagnostic laparoscopy, in presence of serosanguinous free fluid in the abdomen and no associated pelvic pathology, a thorough examination of the colon should be done to look for any evidence of EA. Additionally, conservative management with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should be considered as its initial management in the absence of other complications.
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