Let It Chill: The Role of Interventional Radiology in Complicated Appendicitis. A Case Report

Aldo Javier Vázquez Mézquita¹, Estefanía Murrieta Peralta¹, Jorge Ramírez Landero¹, Giselle Andrea Uribe Campo², Manuel Guerrero Hernández¹

1. Radiology and Molecular Imaging, The American British Cowdray Medical Center, Mexico City, MEX 2. Anesthesiology, The American British Cowdray Medical Center, Mexico City, MEX

✉ **Corresponding author:** Manuel Guerrero Hernández, radiologointervencionistamgh@gmail.com
Disclosures can be found in Additional Information at the end of the article

Abstract

Appendicitis is a common cause of acute abdominal syndrome that affects different group ages. In some cases, complications such as abscess formation or perforation may make taking an immediate surgical approach difficult. We report a case of a 39-year-old male who presented with appendicitis, with the presence of a well-circumscribed abscess. The surgeons and interventional radiologists at our institution preferred a conservative approach by placing ultrasound-guided percutaneous drainage and performing an interval appendectomy weeks after the initial symptoms. Through the presentation of this case, we want to make physicians, mostly in developing countries, aware of the benefits of interventional radiology in the management of complicated appendicitis.

Categories: Radiology, General Surgery

Keywords: complicated appendicitis, interval appendectomy, percutaneous drain, interventional radiology, abscess

Introduction

Appendicitis is one of the most common surgical emergencies, with an incidence of one person per 1000 each year, and according to guidelines of intra-abdominal infections, it is the most common cause of intra-abdominal sepsis [1-2]. These are the reasons why radiologists should be aware to make a prompt diagnosis and include potential complications in the report.

Complications may include abscess formation, perforation, and bowel obstruction among others [3]. One of the most common complications is perforation, which should be immediately sealed or it may become a phlegmon [4]. These are traditionally treated with surgery, but nowadays, there has been a special interest in resolving them in another way in order to have less technical problems during surgery and less morbidity [3].

Originally, interval appendectomy was offered to those patients who underwent conservative treatment and had an appendiceal abscess associated [2]. However, together with antibiotic therapy and the placement of a percutaneous drain, interval appendectomy is an alternative to surgery during the initial phase [3-6].

In this case report, we present a conservative approach by interventional radiology in a patient with complicated appendicitis. The purpose of this case is to make physicians, mostly in

Received 06/10/2019
Review began 06/13/2019
Review ended 06/13/2019
Published 06/16/2019

© Copyright 2019

Vázquez Mézquita et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Vázquez Mézquita A, Murrieta Peralta E, Ramírez Landero J, et al. (June 16, 2019) Let It Chill: The Role of Interventional Radiology in Complicated Appendicitis. A Case Report. Cureus 11(6): e4908. DOI 10.7759/cureus.4908

developing countries, aware of the benefits of interval appendectomy.

Case Presentation

A 39-year-old male patient was received at our emergency department due to unspecified abdominal complaints and fever in the last four days. The patient denied any kind of pre-existing conditions. During the physical examination, the abdomen was soft, without any focal painful site. Blood laboratory tests showed marked leukocytosis ($17 \times 10^9/L$), as well as a high neutrophil ($15.6 \times 10^9/L$) count. A computed tomography (CT) scan was requested by the emergency physician, which showed a rounded hypodense structure located at the end of the appendix, adjacent to the ascending portion of the colon. This structure showed higher attenuation with intravenous contrast material and stranded circumferential fat (Figure 1), which corresponded to an abscess. The appendix showed a diameter of 2 cm.

FIGURE 1: a) Axial non-contrasted abdominal CT scan, showing a collection adjacent to the ascending colon signaled by the white arrow; notice the surrounding stranded fat. b) Axial image of the same CT scan, with the red arrow showing an enlarged appendix with surrounding inflamed fat.

Antibiotic and analgesic treatment was initiated because of the potential risk of complications. The case was presented to the interventional radiology department, which decided that a conservative approach was the best option for the patient. An ultrasound-guided percutaneous drain was placed in the right flank without any complications (Figure 2). After 11 days, the leukocyte count was normal ($7000 \times 10^9/L$) and the patient was afebrile, therefore, the drain was taken out and the patient was discharged without any complications. An appendectomy was performed 14 days later, without any complications. The pathology report concluded inflammatory infiltrates secondary to appendicitis.

FIGURE 2: Coronal IV-contrast abdominal CT scans. a) Image shows the cecum with circumferential stranded fat signaled by the white arrow, notice the ascended position of the cecum. b) The red arrow signals a hypodense liquid-attenuated collection adjacent to the cecum. c) This image corresponds to the CT scan after drain placement signaled by the blue arrow, notice the volume reduction of the collection.

IV: intravenous

Discussion

A non-surgical initial approach for complicated appendicitis has been questioned in the last decades. The purpose of it is to reduce the complication rate related to surgery, such as recurrent abscess and peritonitis, which could result in hemicolectomy. This initial non-surgical method is a well-established option for patients with comorbidities; nevertheless, in recent studies, it has been explored in different adults and children [4,6-7].

In the case of abscess formation, it has been discussed if imaging-guided drain placement is the best alternative, with fewer complication rates, and some articles consider it the first line of therapy [5]. In the Marin study [1], they reported a drain placement success rate of 90%, with a complication rate of 6% in adults [8]. The percutaneous drainage lasts around six days and the criteria for its removal are drain output less than 20 ml per day, fever resolution, and leukocytosis normalization [5,9]. However, it is noted that a control CT scan after drain placement is necessary in order to discard persistent or newly developed abscesses [1,2,5].

Interval appendectomy takes place around 62 days after the initial presentation, and it is considered a good alternative if malignancy is suspected, which has an incidence of 6% in adult patients with complicated appendicitis [5,9]. Nonsurgical treatment based only on antibiotics has been explored in the past; however, this conservative management has a high percentage of recurrent appendicitis between 10% and 25%, therefore, an interval appendectomy after antibiotic therapy is recommended [9].

There are some cases of complicated appendicitis in which early surgery is considered a better alternative, for example, the presence of an appendicolith because of bacterial colonization [10]. Another predictor of unfavorable clinical outcomes of percutaneous placement is the presence of a poorly defined abscess [6,8].

Regarding our case, the patient did not present any signs of instability that required an immediate appendectomy and the periceal abscess was well-circumscribed. Therefore, percutaneous drain placement, as well as an interval appendectomy, were a good alternative in this particular case.

We acknowledge that this is a common approach in developed countries, however, immediate appendectomy is still regarded as the best option in developing countries for complicated and uncomplicated appendicitis [9-11]. Nonetheless, a systematic review by Cheng in 2017 concluded that there is not enough evidence to determine which of both approaches, immediate or interval appendectomy, has a lower complication rate [7]. We want to make aware more physicians that percutaneous drainage placement by interventional radiology and an interval appendectomy is a good option with a low complication rate for patients with complicated appendicitis.

Conclusions

Interval appendectomy is a suitable alternative for cases of complicated appendicitis. A multidisciplinary approach is imperative in order to select the best therapy to reduce the complication rate and time of hospitalization.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following:

Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Marin D, Ho LM, Neville AM, White RR, Paulson EK: Percutaneous abscess drainage in patients with perforated acute appendicitis: effectiveness, safety, and prediction of outcome. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2010, 194:422-429. [10.2214/AJR.09.3098](https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.09.3098)
2. Sartelli M, Viale P, Catena F, Ansaloni L: 2013 WSES guidelines for management of intra-abdominal infections. *World J Emerg Surg*. 2013, 8:3. [10.1186/1749-7922-8-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/1749-7922-8-3)
3. Gorter RR, Dam DA Van, Defoort B, Deijen CL: Diagnosis and management of acute appendicitis. EAES consensus development conference 2015. *Surg Endosc*. 2016, 30:4668-4690. [10.1007/s00464-016-5245-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-016-5245-7)
4. Amin P, Cheng D: Management of complicated appendicitis in the pediatric population: when surgery doesn't cut it. *Semin Intervent Radiol*. 2012, 29:231-236. [10.1055/s-0032-1326934](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1326934)
5. Park J, Charles HW: Intra-abdominal abscess drainage: interval to surgery. *Semin Intervent Radiol*. 2012, 29:311-313. [10.1055/s-0032-1330065](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1330065)
6. Luo C, Cheng K, Huang C, et al.: Therapeutic effectiveness of percutaneous drainage and factors for performing an interval appendectomy in pediatric appendiceal abscess. *BMC Surg*. 2016, 16:72. [10.1186/s12893-016-0188-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12893-016-0188-4)
7. Cheng Y, Xiong X, Lu J, Wu S, Zhou R, Cheng N: Early versus delayed appendicectomy for appendiceal phlegmon or abscess. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017, 6:11670. [10.1002/14651858.CD011670.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011670.pub2)
8. Forsyth J, Lasithiotakis K, Peter M: The evolving management of the appendix mass in the era of laparoscopy and interventional radiology. *Surgeon*. 2016, 15:109-111. [10.1016/j.surge.2016.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2016.08.002)

9. Lasson Å, Lundagårds J, Lorén I, Nilsson PE: Appendiceal abscesses: primary percutaneous drainage and selective interval appendicectomy. *Eur J Surg.* 2002, 168:264-269. [10.1002/ejs.44](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejs.44)
10. Guida E, Pederiva F, Di Grazia M, Codricha D, Lembo MA, Scarpa GM, Rigamontia W: Perforated appendix with abscess: immediate or interval appendectomy? Some examples to explain our choice. *Int J Surg Case Rep.* 2015, 12:5-18. [10.1016/j.ijscr.2015.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2015.05.003)
11. Souza-Gallardo LM, Martínez-Ordaz JL: Apendicitis aguda. Manejo quirúrgico y no quirúrgico [Article in Spanish]. *Rev Med Inst Mex Seg Soc.* 2017, 55:76-81.