

The Feline and the Swine: A Peculiar Case in an Allergy Clinic

Review began 09/14/2023

Review ended 09/26/2023

Published 09/30/2023

© Copyright 2023

Kile et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Mahlon R. Kile¹, Matthew D. Lucas², Malika P. Ganguli³, Ves Dimov⁴

1. Internal Medicine, Ross University School of Medicine, Bridgetown, BRB 2. Internal Medicine, American University of the Caribbean School of Medicine, Cupecoy, SXM 3. Medicine, Ross University School of Medicine, Bridgetown, BRB 4. Allergy and Immunology, Cleveland Clinic Hospital of Florida, Weston, USA

Corresponding author: Mahlon R. Kile, mkile047@yahoo.com

Abstract

Cross-reactivity between mammalian proteins, such as that in Pork Cat Syndrome, remains a topic of great interest. This syndrome, characterized by an immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated response to porcine albumin triggered by sensitization through cat epithelium, has been sparsely documented. We discuss a 41-year-old female who developed a pruritic rash within 30 minutes of consuming pork. Notably, she exhibited elevated serum IgE levels with specific reactions to cat dander, dog dander, and pork. A skin prick test for pork was positive. The patient was treated conservatively with allergen avoidance, vitamin D supplementation, fexofenadine, and doxycycline for systemic reactions, and topical corticosteroids for localized skin reactions, yielding a resolution of symptoms. This case underscores the significance of recognizing rare cross-reactivities in allergy and immunology and the manifestations of Pork Cat Syndrome, necessitating a comprehensive patient history and awareness for improved diagnosis and management.

Categories: Internal Medicine, Allergy/Immunology

Keywords: cross-reactivity, cross-sensitization, albumin sensitivity, meat allergy, pork cat syndrome

Introduction

Cross-reactivity between mammalian proteins has always intrigued allergists and immunologists. One such interesting phenomenon is the molecular mimicry between certain proteins of different animal species, leading to unexpected allergic responses. Beyond well-known cross-reactivities like those between birch pollen and apple or between latex and bananas, mammals have their share in the debate. The molecular structure of serum albumins, which are highly conserved across many animals of shared lineage, provides a backdrop for such cross-reactions. For instance, individuals allergic to α -1,3-galactose, a carbohydrate found in the meat of some mammals, may react to red meats such as beef, lamb, and pork [1,2]. Similarly, milk proteins, particularly caseins and whey proteins, have shown cross-reactivity with beef proteins, explaining some beef allergies in milk-allergic individuals [3]. Among these cross-reactivities, Pork Cat Syndrome is a less commonly encountered, yet intriguing, topic. This condition involves an immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated immune reaction to porcine albumin, initiated by sensitization through cat epithelium, generally manifesting post-adolescence [4]. Drouet et al. first described this interesting interaction in 1994 [5], and few cases are reported sporadically. Not unlike other allergies, the presentation of this condition is notably diverse, with patients displaying symptoms ranging from urticaria to anaphylaxis [6-9]. Data on the incidence of those with cat allergies who develop pork allergy indicate it ranges from 1-3% [10]. Here, we present a case of suspected Pork Cat Syndrome.

Case Presentation

A 41-year-old female with a past medical history of chronic allergic rhinitis and hypothyroidism presented at our clinic post-exposure to pork, which led to a pruritic rash developing within 30 minutes of consumption. This dermatitis was characterized by a widespread eczematous pruritic rash on both upper and lower extremities, intensified on her fingers, accompanied by sporadic episodes of urticaria. Serum IgE levels were elevated at 220.6 kU/l, and she exhibited a class 2 reaction to specific allergens like cat dander (IgE 0.75 kU/l), dog dander (IgE 2.4 kU/l), and pork (IgE 1.17 kU/l). Laboratory tests pinpointed additional vitamin D deficiency. A patch test for contact allergens came back negative, and a skin prick test for pork displayed a positive reaction. Comprehensive lab values gathered for serum tryptase, *Helicobacter* (H.) pylori, α 1 and 2 globulin, gamma globulin, hepatitis C, complements 3 and 4, complement 1 esterase inhibitor, and urticaria-inducing activity were all within normal limits. Our treatment approach encompassed vitamin D supplementation, fexofenadine, doxycycline, and allergen avoidance. Over-the-counter occlusive agents and topical steroids were recommended. While urticaria subsided, sporadic pruritus remained. With the underlying laboratory cause of this pruritus remaining elusive, our suspicions were directed toward Pork Cat Syndrome as the culprit behind this pruritus.

Discussion

The pathogenesis of Pork Cat Syndrome involves the sensitization to feline epithelium, subsequently leading

How to cite this article

Kile M R, Lucas M D, Ganguli M P, et al. (September 30, 2023) The Feline and the Swine: A Peculiar Case in an Allergy Clinic. Cureus 15(9): e46284. DOI 10.7759/cureus.46284

to cross-reactivity with other porcine albumin. However, presentation is variable and treatment remains in its infancy, necessitating further research [10]. While documented cases of Pork Cat Syndrome in published and clinical science remain sporadic, they contribute to the growing body of literature exploring allergic responses prompted by sensitization through unique animal sources. Accompanying this growth are the various symptomatic manifestations of those affected.

Alvarez-Perea et al. chronicled a case where Pork Cat Syndrome manifested as rhinoconjunctivitis alongside symptoms like coughing, wheezing, and shortness of breath. Initially diagnosed with pollen and cat dander-induced allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and mild intermittent asthma at age 14, the patient's condition heightened to new levels when she undertook a job involving the handling of cured meats and cutting of pork bones at age 20. Notably, while prior to this employment her symptoms were manageable with cat avoidance and seasonally manifested, the new occupation saw a surge in rhinoconjunctivitis and recurrent asthma exacerbations. A nasal challenge with a pork bone extract confirmed the diagnosis when it resulted in intense rhinorrhea, sneezing, and a 52% reduction in peak nasal inspiratory flow. This patient's symptoms were effectively controlled with oral corticosteroids.

In contrast, Posthumus et al. described a 21-year-old female presenting with abdominal cramping, nausea, and oropharyngeal itching beginning after pork consumption. These symptoms were also accompanied by rhinitis, conjunctivitis, and urticaria. Immunoassays displayed not only elevated total IgE but also reactions to both cat dander and pork allergens. Confirmatory laboratory tests and presenting symptoms led to the conclusion of Pork Cat Syndrome. This patient was advised to restrict pork consumption.

These cases cast a spotlight on the diverse symptomatology related to Pork Cat Syndrome - be it gastrointestinal, respiratory, or dermatological in nature. Accordingly, the present case serves as yet another example of the diversity of allergic presentations with the dermatological symptoms of rash and persistent pruritus prevailing. It is crucial to note an anaphylactic response has been recorded and remains yet another manifestation requiring immediate intervention [8,9]. With the treatment regimen in this case encompassing allergen and diet avoidance, vitamin supplementation, and antihistamines, and with knowledge of the diverse manifestations of Pork Cat Syndrome, this report underscores the essence of a comprehensive consideration of diagnoses and management approach for rare Pork Cat Syndrome patients, and further considerations should be made regarding available treatment options, such as that suggested in Barradas Lopes et al., with specific Fel d 2 immunotherapy [10].

Conclusions

Through this case, we accentuate the importance of recognizing and understanding rare instances like Pork Cat Syndrome in allergy and immunology. Such unique cross-reactivities call for a continued dedication to acquiring a detailed and comprehensive patient history, along with an awareness of Pork Cat Syndrome, ultimately leading to improved diagnosis and management. In an effort to improve the quality of life of these patients, this case dictates a relevance that future management of these patients should involve education among those with allergies to cat epithelium, and their own risk of possible cross-sensitization. In the event of a cross-sensitized reaction, multiple reports depict antihistamines, corticosteroids, and anaphylaxis protocols being utilized as the first-line treatment for Pork Cat Syndrome. This case and those before it serve as awareness of the diagnosis itself and the variety of manifestations, and highlight treatment and prevention options for patients with this intriguing condition.

Additional Information

Author Contributions

All authors have reviewed the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Concept and design: Mahlon R. Kile, Malika P. Ganguli, Matthew D. Lucas, Ves Dimov

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Mahlon R. Kile, Malika P. Ganguli, Matthew D. Lucas, Ves Dimov

Drafting of the manuscript: Mahlon R. Kile, Malika P. Ganguli, Matthew D. Lucas, Ves Dimov

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Mahlon R. Kile, Malika P. Ganguli, Matthew D. Lucas, Ves Dimov

Supervision: Ves Dimov

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services**

info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Commins SP, Satinover SM, Hosen J, et al.: Delayed anaphylaxis, angioedema, or urticaria after consumption of red meat in patients with IgE antibodies specific for galactose-alpha-1,3-galactose. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2009, 123:426-33. [10.1016/j.jaci.2008.10.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2008.10.052)
2. Wilson JM, Schuyler AJ, Workman L, et al.: Investigation into the α -gal syndrome: characteristics of 261 children and adults reporting red meat allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2019, 7:2348-2358.e4. [10.1016/j.jaip.2019.03.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2019.03.031)
3. Restani P, Gaiaschi A, Plebani A, et al.: Cross-reactivity between milk proteins from different animal species. *Clin Exp Allergy.* 1999, 29:997-1004. [10.1046/j.1365-2222.1999.00563.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2222.1999.00563.x)
4. Sagawa N, Inomata N, Suzuki K, Sano S, Watanabe Y, Aihara M: Pork-cat syndrome caused by ingestion of beef intestines in an 8-year-old child. *Allergol Int.* 2021, 70:395-7. [10.1016/j.alit.2020.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alit.2020.12.001)
5. Drouet M, Boutet S, Lauret MG, et al.: The pork-cat syndrome or crossed allergy between pork meat and cat epithelia (1) [Article in French]. *Allerg Immunol (Paris).* 1994, 26:166-8.
6. Alvarez-Perea A, Caralli ME, Zubeldia JM, Baeza ML: Pork-cat syndrome as a cause of occupational asthma. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol.* 2014, 24:209-11.
7. Posthumus J, James HR, Lane CJ, Matos LA, Platts-Mills TA, Commins SP: Initial description of pork-cat syndrome in the United States. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2013, 131:923-5. [10.1016/j.jaci.2012.12.665](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.12.665)
8. Shiratsuki R, Chinuki Y, Fukushiro S, Morita E: A case of pork-cat syndrome that developed as food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 2020, 100:adv00233. [10.2340/00015555-3584](https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-3584)
9. Abreu C, Gomes R, Bartolome Borja BA, Falcão H, Cunha L: Pork-cat syndrome?. *Clin Transl Allergy.* 2015, 5:P164. [10.1186/2045-7022-5-S3-P164](https://doi.org/10.1186/2045-7022-5-S3-P164)
10. Barradas Lopes J, Labrador-Horrilo M, Bartolomé B, Cunha L: The role of molecular allergens in the diagnosis of cat-pork syndrome: an unusual case report. *Acta Med Port.* 2022, 35:388-91. [10.20344/amp.15483](https://doi.org/10.20344/amp.15483)