DOI: 10.7759/cureus.35445

Review began 02/09/2023 Review ended 02/19/2023 Published 02/25/2023

© Copyright 2023

Domingues et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Under-Recognized Pathogens in Peritoneal Dialysis Associated-Peritonitis: The Importance of Early Detection

Patrícia Domingues ¹, Teresa Furtado ², Patrícia Valério ², José Matias ², Liliana Cunha ²

1. Nephrology, Setubal Hospital Center, Setubal, PRT 2. Nephrology, Centro Hospitalar De Setubal, Setubal, PRT

Corresponding author: Patrícia Domingues, patriciacostad@gmail.com

Abstract

Peritoneal dialysis-associated-peritonitis remains a major concern, increasing patient morbidity and mortality. Empirical antibiotics should be quickly started to allow a rapid resolution of symptoms and preservation of the peritoneal membrane.

We report a case of peritoneal dialysis-associated-peritonitis due to *Prevotella salivae* and *Corynebacterium jeikeium*, in a 51-year-old male. Suspected peritonitis led to an immediate prescription of vancomycin and ceftazidime, with no clinical improvement. *Prevotella* is difficult to identify in culture since it's a gramnegative anaerobic bacterium, so metronidazole administration was delayed over days.

New diagnostic techniques have been explored for the early diagnosis of peritonitis, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for bacterial DNA fragments. A multiplex PCR panel that includes *Prevotella*, already available for other applications, could be an advantage in cases like this.

Categories: Infectious Disease, Nephrology

Keywords: gram negative infection, end-stage kidney disease (eskd), prevotella, peritonitis, peritoneal dialysis

Introduction

Peritonitis remains a major complication of peritoneal dialysis (PD) [1]. Despite PD peritonitis rates decreasing over time, Europe still has an estimated rate of 0.303 episodes/patient-year in 2019 [2]. A rapid presumptive diagnosis is essential because a delay in treatment is related to high morbidity and mortality [1,2]. The diagnosis can be suspected when [1]:

- clinical features consistent with peritonitis are present (abdominal pain and/or cloudy dialysis effluent);
- dialysis effluent white cell count is greater than 100/mL (or greater than 0.1×10^9 /L, after a dwell time of at least two hours), with more than 50 percent of polymorphonuclear cells (PMN)
- when there is a positive dialysis effluent culture.

As recommended by the International Society of PD (ISPD) peritonitis guideline, two or more criteria are required for PD-associated-peritonitis diagnosis [1].

An appropriate method for PD effluent culture is the most important step to identify the causative organism. Nevertheless, PD effluent cultures can be negative for several reasons, namely recent antibiotic exposure, suboptimal specimen collection, fungal and mycobacterial peritonitis, or misclassification [1].

Empirical antibiotics should be started to allow a faster resolution of inflammation and abdominal pain, and also to preserve the peritoneal membrane [2]. Apart from the direct impact on patient survival, it remains the primary reason for changing dialysis modality from PD to hemodialysis [3,4].

Case Presentation

We report the case of a 51-year-old male with a history of long-term hypertension, immunity to hepatitis B virus due to exposure, chronic kidney disease due to anti-glomerular basement membrane disease on intermittent PD program for one year, and secondary hyperparathyroidism. PD was complicated by past infection complications: three episodes of exit-site infections, with detection of meticillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA), which lead to external cuff catheter extrusion; one episode of combined exit-site, tunnel infection, and peritonitis due to MSSA; peritonitis due to *Streptococcus mitis*; and exit-site infection due to *Corynebacterium amycolatum*. Infections are described in Table 1.

Cureus

Time	Type of infection	Isolated B acteria	Antibiotic	Time of therapy	Administration
September 2021	Exit-site infection	MSSA	Flucloxacilin	2 weeks	Oral
October 2021	Exit-site infection	MSSA	Flucloxacilin	3 weeks	Oral
November 2021	Exit-site infection	MSSA	Flucloxacilin + Vancomicin	6 weeks	IV
February 2022	Peritonitis + Tunelitis + Exit-site infection	MSSA	Vancomicin à Flucloxacilin	3 weeks	IV à Oral
May 2022	Peritonitis + Exit-site infection	Streptococcus mitis	Vancomicin + Ceftazidime à Vancomicin	3 weeks	IV
June 2022	Exit-site infection	Corynebacterium amycolatum	Gentamicin + Amoxicilin + Clavulanate	2 weeks	Topic + Oral

TABLE 1: History of infections over time.

MSSA - Methicillin Sensitive Staphylococcus aureus; IV - intravenous.

One month after his last infection, treated with a two weeks regimen of oral amoxicillin/ clavulanate and topical gentamicin (according to an antibiotic sensitivity test), he presented with abdominal pain and cloudy effluent.

An empiric intraperitoneal antibiotic was started (vancomycin and ceftazidime) after the effluent leukocytes count result (810/mL with 85% of PMN cells). On the next day, the peritoneal catheter was dysfunctional because it was misplaced (the position was confirmed by abdominal x-ray), with no improvement despite the laxatives prescription. Therefore, the patient started hemodialysis (HD) by central catheter, with antibiotic administration after sessions.

Ten days after initial antibiotic treatment, he was admitted to the hospital with intense abdominal pain located at the exit site of the peritoneal catheter. Another intra-abdominal disease was excluded. The catheter was removed since he had a previous episode of catheter-related infection, a history of recurrent infection, intense pain, and increased levels of inflammatory markers $(11\times10^9/L\ leukocytes,\ C$ -reactive protein of 42,7 mg/dL).

Dialysis effluent culture was delayed since the first culture was polymicrobial and a repeated culture was required. *Corynebacterium jeikeium* and *Prevotella salivae* bacteria were identified, and no sensitivity antibiotic test was provided. PD catheter culture did not isolate any microorganisms.

Worsening of the patient's general condition without laboratory improvement led to metronidazole administration, eleven days after initial therapy with vancomycin and ceftazidime. The patient was able to recover after completing seven days of metronidazole and seventeen days of vancomycin and ceftazidime.

Discussion

Corynebacterium species have been considered harmless, but nowadays they are recognized as responsible for gram-positive infections, including, PD peritonitis [1,5]. Although typically susceptible to vancomycin, *Corynebacterium spp.* are considered at moderate risk for requiring catheter removal [1,5]. Improved recognition of its pathogenicity allows for increased identification and treatment.

Prevotella salivae is an anaerobic gram-negative bacillus, commonly associated with oral and gastrointestinal infections, chronic osteomyelitis, bite-related infections, or rheumatoid infections. This bacterium can produce beta-lactamase, making Ceftazidime (cephalosporin antibiotic) a poor option, for this reason, metronidazole was started, the recommended antibiotic for empiric uses in these cases [6].

Antibiotic therapy according to the susceptibility of isolated microorganisms is the preferable approach. However, Prevotella, as an anaerobic gram-negative, represents a challenge for identifying, requiring the expertise of the laboratory [7,8]. Methods to test the susceptibility of anaerobes are well standardized, but they are difficult and most of the time they are not cost-effective since most anaerobes have predictable susceptibility profiles [8]. Some studies propose that the development of a multiplex polymerase chain

Cureus

reaction (PCR) panel could have an important impact on detecting anaerobic bacterial [7,9]. This method enables faster detection of anaerobes, overcoming difficulties related to anaerobic detection based on culture [7,9]. Besides that, multiplex PCR could also be useful in antibiotic-resistant Prevotella, identifying genes involved in decreased susceptibility of Prevotella to metronidazole, however, not all resistance mechanisms are genetically dependent [10].

Conclusions

Identifying and recognizing the potential pathogenicity of Prevotella salivae during the interpretation of culture results is the first step for a successful treatment. Nephrologists should be aware of this possibility. Future use of multiplex PCR technique could be the answer for an early diagnosis and treatment anticipation with metronidazole.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- 1. Li PK, Chow KM, Cho Y, et al.: ISPD peritonitis guideline recommendations: 2022 update on prevention and treatment. Perit Dial Int. 2022, 42:110-53. 10.1177/08968608221080586
- Marshall MR: A systematic review of peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis rates over time from national or regional population-based registries and databases. Perit Dial Int. 2022. 42:39-47. 10.1177/0896860821996096
- Perl J, Davies SJ, Lambie M, et al.: The Peritoneal Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (PDOPPS): unifying efforts to inform practice and improve global outcomes in peritoneal dialysis. Perit Dial Int. 2016, 36:297-307. 10.3747/pdi.2014.00288
- Jaar BG, Plantinga LC, Crews DC, et al.: Timing, causes, predictors and prognosis of switching from peritoneal dialysis to hemodialysis: a prospective study. BMC Nephrol. 2009, 10:3. 10.1186/1471-2369-10-3
- Cho Y, Badve SV, Hawley CM, et al.: Peritoneal dialysis outcomes after temporary haemodialysis transfer for peritonitis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2014, 29:1940-7. 10.1093/ndt/gfu050
- Sharma G, Garg N, Hasan S, Shirodkar S: Prevotella: an insight into its characteristics and associated virulence factors. Microb Pathog. 2022, 169:105673. 10.1016/j.micpath.2022.105673
- Olcu M, Atalay MA, Percin Renders D: Development of multiplex PCR panel for detection of anaerobic bacteria in clinical samples. Anaerobe. 2022, 76:102611. 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2022.102611
- Hindiyeh M, Acevedo V, Carroll KC: Comparison of three transport systems (Starplex StarSwab II, the new Copan Vi-Pak Amies Agar Gel collection and transport swabs, and BBL Port-A-Cul) for maintenance of anaerobic and fastidious aerobic organisms. J Clin Microbiol. 2001, 39:377-80. 10.1128/JCM.39.1.377-380.2001
- García L, Tercero JC, Legido B, Ramos JA, Alemany J, Sanz M: Rapid detection of Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans, Prevotella intermedia and Porphyromona gingivalis by multiplex PCR. J Periodontal Res. 1998, 33:59-64. 10.1111/j.1600-0765.1998.tb02292.x
- Alauzet C, Mory F, Teyssier C, Hallage H, Carlier JP, Grollier G, Lozniewski A: Metronidazole resistance in Prevotella spp. and description of a new nim gene in Prevotella baroniae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010, 54:60-4. 10.1128/AAC.01003-09