

Tattoo Pigment in an Intramammary Lymph Node Mimicking Breast Malignancy

Jayda Jung ¹, Gesine Peters ², Shaun Donovan ³, Gudrun Peters ⁴

Review began 09/29/2022

Review ended 10/11/2022

Published 10/15/2022

© Copyright 2022

Jung et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Radiology, Flinders University, Adelaide, AUS 2. University of Tasmania School of Medicine, Royal Hobart Hospital, Hobart, AUS 3. Pathology, Sonic Healthcare, Diagnostic Services, Hobart, AUS 4. Radiology, I-MED Radiology Network, Regional Imaging Tasmania, Hobart, AUS

Corresponding author: Gesine Peters, petersgesine@gmail.com

Abstract

There are many patterns of microcalcification in mammography. Distinguishing between these patterns can be challenging. A malignant cause needs to be assessed through further diagnostic workup. We present a case of a 36-year-old BRCA1 mutation carrier, presenting with a small mass containing calcification on her screening mammogram. A vacuum-assisted biopsy under tomosynthesis guidance was performed and demonstrated an intramammary lymph node showing prominent extracellular black pigment. To our knowledge, this is the first case report of tattoo pigment mimicking breast malignancy on mammography.

Categories: Pathology, Radiology

Keywords: case report, breast malignancy, breast calcification, tattoo pigment, intramammary lymph node

Introduction

Mammographic microcalcification has a wide range of etiologies, both malignant and benign [1]. Microcalcification with an intermediate or high probability of malignancy requires further diagnostic workup, such as in the case presented. Uptake of tattoo ink in an intramammary lymph node mimicking a mass lesion containing calcification has not been previously described, however, as the prevalence of females with tattoos increases, tattoo pigment needs to be considered as a potential differential diagnosis of breast calcification [2].

Case Presentation

A 36-year-old female, who is a known BRCA1 mutation carrier through genetic testing, presented for initial breast imaging surveillance. Mammography demonstrated fatty replacement of the breast tissue and a 5 mm mass lesion containing microcalcification at the 2 o'clock position, 140 mm from the left nipple (Figures 1-3).

How to cite this article

Jung J, Peters G, Donovan S, et al. (October 15, 2022) Tattoo Pigment in an Intramammary Lymph Node Mimicking Breast Malignancy. Cureus 14(10): e30336. DOI 10.7759/cureus.30336




FIGURE 1: Left mediolateral oblique mammogram showing a small mass lesion containing radiopaque material.




FIGURE 2: Left craniocaudal mammogram showing a small mass lesion containing radiopaque material.




FIGURE 3: Spot magnification mammogram showing the small mass lesion containing radiopaque material.

The targeted left breast ultrasound did not demonstrate any abnormality. Therefore, a mammographic breast biopsy was performed using Hologic Selena Dimensions with the Affirm Breast Biopsy Guidance System and ATEC Vacuum-Assisted Breast Biopsy system with a 9-gauge needle.

Post-biopsy specimen X-ray confirmed radiopaque material in the sample (Figure 4).



FIGURE 4: Specimen X-ray confirming radiopaque material within the specimen.

A small titanium clip was placed at the end of the procedure. A post-biopsy two-view mammogram displayed the marker at the target (Figures 5-6).




FIGURE 5: Post-interventional left mediolateral oblique mammogram confirming the marker at the target.




FIGURE 6: Left craniocaudal mammogram confirming the marker at the target.

Histopathology showed an intramammary lymph node with preserved nodal architecture. No evidence of lymphoid atypia was seen within the node. Prominent extracellular black pigment was identified, suggestive of tattoo pigment. No calcification was seen (Figures 7-8).




FIGURE 7: Low-power view of the black tattoo pigment within an otherwise normal lymph node.




FIGURE 8: High-power view of black tattoo pigment within the lymph node.

Thus, the initially presumed calcification within the breast lesion was identified as tattoo pigment in the intramammary lymph node. This correlated with the clinical observation that the patient had multiple tattoos on her left shoulder and upper arm.

Discussion

Tattooing has become increasingly popular with an overall prevalence of 10-29% worldwide [2]. This popularity is mainly driven by the younger population group between 18 and 34 years of age [3,4]. In addition to this, the incidence of females having tattoos has quadrupled since the early 1990s. Whereas males have historically had significantly higher rates of tattoos, the prevalence of tattoos is now gender equal or even 5-10% greater for females in some countries such as Australia [3-5]. As these younger females start breast screening from 40 years of age, there will be continued growth in the prevalence of tattoos within the screening population [6].

Tattooing is a deposition of ink within the dermis via repeated puncture using 200-300 micron-sized needles [7]. Tattoo ink composition varies in quality but commonly consists of metallic salts with a carrier solvent such as water, alcohol, binders, or surfactants [8,9]. The choice of metals depends on the desired color, the artist is wanting to produce, for example, iron for black, mercury for red, cobalt for blue, and cadmium for yellow [10]. These materials make the tattoo pigment particles radiopaque and therefore they appear as calcifications on imaging including mammograms [11,12]. The skin injuries caused by repeated puncturing activate an inflammatory response, resulting in phagocytosis, vasodilation, and influx of monocytes [13]. Macrophages containing deposited ink either remain in the dermis, resulting in permanent tattoo visible on the skin or are excreted via lymphatic drainage [7,10].

The lymphatic drainage of skin varies by its location but also varies depending on the individual. Tattoo pigment from upper limbs will drain to ipsilateral sentinel nodes in the axilla 90-91% of the time, as well as into the internal mammary sentinel node in 1% of cases [14]. Lymphatic drainage from the trunk to intramammary lymph nodes has also been described in the literature [15]. There have been several studies that have reported tattoo pigment mimicking axillary lymph node calcifications [16,17]. To our knowledge, this is the first case report of pigment from an upper limb tattoo mimicking breast malignancy.

Conclusions

Tattoo ink can mimic breast calcification as presented in this case. As cosmetic tattooing becomes more prevalent among the female population, tattoo pigment should be considered as a differential diagnosis for microcalcification seen on breast mammography. Further diagnostic workup will be required to differentiate between breast malignancy and tattoo pigment.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- Shin HJ, Kim HH, Ko MS, Kim HJ, Moon JH, Son BH, Ahn SH: BI-RADS descriptors for mammographically detected microcalcifications verified by histopathology after needle-localized open breast biopsy. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2010, 195:1466-71. [10.2214/AJR.10.4316](https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.10.4316)
- Kluger N: Insights into worldwide interest in tattoos using Google trends. *Dermatology*. 2019, 235:240-2. [10.1159/000496986](https://doi.org/10.1159/000496986)
- Kluger N: Epidemiology of tattoos in industrialized countries. *Curr Probl Dermatol*. 2015, 48:6-20. [10.1159/000369175](https://doi.org/10.1159/000369175)
- Tranter B, Grant R: A class act? Social background and body modifications in Australia. *J Sociol*. 2018, 54:412-28. [10.1177/1440783318755017](https://doi.org/10.1177/1440783318755017)
- Tattoos in Australia; Perceptions, trends and regrets. (2020). Accessed: July 2022: https://mccrindle.com.au/article/blog/tattoos-in-australia-perceptions-trends-and-regrets-2/?fbclid=IwAR3823_eGUX2SnT...
- BreastScreen Australia Program. (2022). Accessed: July 2022: <https://www.health.gov.au/initiatives-and-programs/breastscreen-australia-program>.
- Lawal I, Rohilla P, Marston J: Visualization of drug delivery via tattooing: effect of needle reciprocating frequency and fluid properties. *J Vis*. 2022, 25:501-9. [10.1007/s12650-021-00816-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12650-021-00816-5)
- Desmedt B, Vanhamme M, Deconinck E: The purity of tattoo inks, screening substances of high concern. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2022, 129:105123. [10.1016/j.yrtph.2022.105123](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2022.105123)
- Jang D, Kim D, Moon J: Influence of fluid physical properties on ink-jet printability. *Langmuir*. 2009, 25:2629-35. [10.1021/la900059m](https://doi.org/10.1021/la900059m)
- Matsika A, Srinivasan B, Gray JM, Galbraith CR: Tattoo pigment in axillary lymph node mimicking calcification of breast cancer. *BMJ Case Rep*. 2013, 2013:bcr2013200284. [10.1136/bcr-2013-200284](https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2013-200284)
- Hayakawa A, Sano R, Takei H, et al.: Tattoo image composed of radiopaque deposits demonstrated by postmortem computed tomography. *Leg Med*. 2018, 35:9-11. [10.1016/j.legalmed.2018.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.legalmed.2018.09.002)
- Heaney RM, Sweeney L, Smith C, O'Brien A: Much 'tattoo' about nothing; tattoo pigment mimicking breast

- microcalcifications on mammography. Radiol Case Rep. 2021, 16:1833-5. [10.1016/j.radcr.2021.04.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radcr.2021.04.044)
13. Schreiber I, Hesse B, Seim C, et al.: Synchrotron-based v-XRF mapping and μ -FTIR microscopy enable to look into the fate and effects of tattoo pigments in human skin. Sci Rep. 2017, 7:1-12. [10.1038/s41598-017-11721-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11721-z)
14. Uren RF, Howman-Giles R, Thompson JF: Patterns of lymphatic drainage from the skin in patients with melanoma. J Nucl Med. 2003, 44:570-582.
15. Lyo V, Jaigirdar AA, Thummala S, Morita ET, Treseler PA, Kashani-Sabet M, Leong SP: In-transit intramammary sentinel lymph nodes from malignant melanoma of the trunk. Ann Surg. 2012, 255:122-7. [10.1097/SLA.0b013e31823c0890](https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e31823c0890)
16. Lee W, Shetty S, Ng V, Tan S: Tattoo pigment in axillary lymph nodes mimics occult breast malignancy: a case report. Ann Breast Surg. 2020, 4:1-5. [10.21037/abs-20-49](https://doi.org/10.21037/abs-20-49)
17. Litton TP, Ghate VS: Tattoo pigment mimicking axillary lymph node calcifications on mammography . Radiol Case Rep. 2020, 15:1194-6. [10.1016/j.radcr.2020.05.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radcr.2020.05.014)