

Effectiveness of Hyperbaric Oxygen for Fibromyalgia: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Chun-Feng Cao¹, Qian-Lu Li², Hai-Qiang Wang³

1. Department of Orthopedics, Yongchuan Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Yongchuan, CHN 2. Department of Neurology, Yongchuan Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Yongchuan, CHN 3. Spine Surgery, Queen Mary Hospital, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, HKG

Corresponding author: Chun-Feng Cao, caoqu990203@126.com

Categories: Anesthesiology, Pain Management, Rheumatology Keywords: hyperbaric oxygen therapy, fibromyalgia, systematic review, meta-analysis

How to cite this abstract Cao C, Li Q, Wang H (August 04, 2021) Effectiveness of Hyperbaric Oxygen for Fibromyalgia: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Cureus 13(8): a580

Abstract

Background: As characterized by chronic widespread skeletal muscle pain, fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS) is a common rheumatologic disease. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) has been reported as an emerging treatment regimen for FMS, with a paucity of solid evidence. Accordingly, a systematic review and meta-analysis was performed to address the effectiveness of HBOT on FMS.

Methods: We searched Cochrane Database, EMBASE, MEDLINE, PubMed, Clinicaltrials.gov and psyINF, and the reference sections of original studies and systematic reviews from inception to December 2020. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on the treatment of FMS with HBOT were included. Outcome measures included pain, Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ), Tender Points Count (TPC) and side effects. Pooled analysis was performed to standardized mean difference (SMD), 95% confidence interval (CI) and I²

representing heterogeneity, with random-effect and consistent models.

Results: As a result, four RCTs, with 163 participants, were included for analysis. Pooled results showed that HBOT can benefit FMS with significant improvement at the end of treatment, including FIQ (SMD= -1.57, 95% CI -2.34 to -0.80, p<0.0001) and TPC (SMD= -2.50, 95% CI -3.96 to -1.05, p=0.0007). However, there was no significant effect on pain (SMD= -1.68, 95% CI, -4.47 to 1.11, p=0.24). Meanwhile, HBOT significantly increased the incidence of side effects (RR= -24.97, 95% CI 3.75 to 166.47, p = 0.0009).

Conclusion: Collectively, emerging evidence from RCTs indicate that HBOT can benefit FMS patients in FIQ and TPC throughout the observation time phrases. Although HBOT has some side effects, it does not cause serious adverse consequences. Definitive, large-sample studies with consistent intervention are needed, focusing on long-term outcomes and maintenance of the beneficial effects.

Open Access Abstract Published 08/04/2021

Copyright

© Copyright 2021 Cao et al. This is an open access abstract distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0