

# Frame-based and Masked Stereotactic Radiosurgery: A Patient Experience Comparison with the Gamma Knife Icon

Michael Schulder <sup>1</sup>, Troy Dawley <sup>2</sup>, Zaker Rana <sup>3</sup>, Anuj Goenka <sup>4</sup>

1. Neurosurgery, Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine, New York City, USA 2. Neurosurgery, St. John Providence Hospital, Southfield, USA 3. Radiation Oncology, Northwell Health, Manhasset, USA 4. Radiation Medicine, Northwell Health, New York, USA

✉ **Corresponding author:** Michael Schulder, mschulder@northwell.edu

**Categories:** Radiation Oncology, Neurosurgery, Medical Physics

**Keywords:** radiosurgery, patient experience, srs, sbrt, icon

## How to cite this abstract

Schulder M, Dawley T, Rana Z, et al. (October 24, 2019) Frame-based and Masked Stereotactic Radiosurgery: A Patient Experience Comparison with the Gamma Knife Icon. Cureus 11(10): a424

## Abstract

**Objective(s):** Patients undergoing stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) for intracranial pathology have two technical treatment options: frame-based versus masked. Each has been shown to have similar clinical and radiographic outcomes for multiple diagnoses. There is sparse information on a patient's experience between the two types and to what extent a patient's diagnosis will predict their SRS experience. A better understanding of these factors may help patients and their treating physicians choose the best method for each individual.

**Methods:** A retrospective analysis of patients who completed a questionnaire of their experience of framed-based or masked SRS using the Gamma Knife Icon from June through January 2019 at our institution was completed. Descriptive statistics were applied.

**Results:** A total of 58 patients who underwent SRS completed the questionnaire, where 60% of the procedures were frame-based and 40% masked. The average age was 63.3 +15.3 years old, 40% of which were male. Pathologies treated were metastasis (69%), meningiomas (9%), vestibular schwannomas (9%), arteriovenous malformations (7%), neurocytoma (2%), pituitary adenoma (2%), trigeminal neuralgia (2%) and glioblastoma multiforme (2%). Of the patients treated with a frame-based technique, 51% did not find SRS to be uncomfortable. Comparatively, 91% of patients who received masked treatments did not find SRS to be uncomfortable. Patients who underwent frame-based treatment rated their pain of frame placement on a visual analog scale (VAS) 1-3, 24%, VAS 4-6, 46%, VAS 7-10, 30%. Eight patients answered that they did not tolerate the procedure as expected. Most of the patients who perceived not tolerating the procedure as well as expected were treated using a framed compared to masked method (87% vs. 13%, respectively). Compared to previous surgery or SRS, five patients found their experience of SRS was not tolerable, while 93% of patients would consider repeat SRS if necessary. All patients who received frame-based and masked treatments felt adequately informed about the procedure. The median dose for treatment of all patients was 20Gy (range, 12-30Gy). The patient experience of discomfort during SRS and their evaluation of pain during frame placement between patients treated for benign lesions versus malignant lesions (discomfort during SRS: 59% vs. 22%, respectively and median VAS pain: 6 +2.67 [95% CI 4.6 – 7.4], 4.5+1.99 [95% CI 3.8 – 5.2], respectively) determined a benign diagnosis leaned towards more discomfort during SRS compared to a malignant diagnosis. Pain during frame placement was similar for benign and malignant diagnoses.

## Open Access

### Abstract

Published 10/24/2019

## Copyright

© Copyright 2019

Schulder et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Distributed under

Creative Commons CC-BY 3.0

Conclusion(s): Patients experienced frame-based SRS as more uncomfortable than mask-based treatment. Benign pathologies appeared to have more discomfort compared to malignant pathologies. All patients of both techniques felt adequately informed about their procedure.