Conversion of a Gemistocytic Astrocytoma to a Fibrillary Astrocytoma after Temozolomide and Radiation Therapy
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Abstract

In most instances, gemistocytic astrocytoma progresses to anaplastic astrocytoma at the time of recurrence. Here, we report an unusual case where a gemistocytic astrocytoma converted to a more benign fibrillary histology after temozolomide/radiation therapy. We present the case of a 26-year-old woman with a history of fibrillary astrocytoma who was followed over a span of eight years and underwent three craniotomies. The pathology from the first craniotomy revealed a fibrillary astrocytoma. The pathology from the second craniotomy (one year after the first resection) revealed findings consistent with a gemistocytic astrocytoma. The pathology from a third craniotomy (seven years after the second resection) revealed a fibrillary astrocytoma without a significant gemistocytic component. This is the first documented case of a gemistocytic astrocytoma converting to a more benign fibrillary pathology at the time of recurrence. We speculate that the phenomenon is the result of the inherently heterogeneous astrocytic subpopulations that were differentially sensitive to chemo/radiation.
**Introduction**

It is a commonly accepted truism in oncology that the grade and aggressiveness of a tumor increases at the time of recurrence. As an example of this phenomenon, recurrent gemistocytic astrocytoma nearly always exhibit less differentiated or anaplastic histology\(^{10,13}\). We present an interesting case of a gemistocytic astrocytoma that converted to a more benign fibrillary histology at the time of recurrence. This is the first documentation of this phenomenon. We hypothesize that the conversion reflected the ablation of the predominant gemistocytic cells by chemoradiation therapy followed by expansion of therapeutically resistant fibrillary sub-populations.

**Case Presentation**

The patient is a 26 year old woman who initially presented with new-onset headache and blurry vision. Her neurologic examination was non-focal and without papilledema. Laboratory findings were unremarkable. Further work-up with a contrast enhanced MRI revealed a 7.3 by 4.3cm cystic lesion in the left parietal-occipital region.
Figure 1: Figure 1
MR imaging and histology related to the first resection. Pre-operative T1 weighted MRI with contrast.

The patient underwent a gross-total resection
Figure 2: Figure 2
MR imaging and histology related to the first resection. Post-operative T1 weighted MRI with contrast.

with pathology demonstrating a grade II fibrillary astrocytoma.
The patient suffered recurrence of her initial symptoms approximately one year after the initial resection. MRI performed at that time revealed tumor in the left posterior frontal and parietal lobes with subsequent spread into and across the corpus.
The patient underwent a second craniotomy for a sub-total resection.
Figure 5: MR imaging and histology related to the second resection. Post-operative T1 weighted MRI with contrast revealed a sub-total resection of the lesion.

Specimens at this time showed pathology consistent with a gemistocytic astrocytoma.
Representative pathology from the resected specimen. Infiltrating astrocytoma dominated by gemistocytes and naked astrocyte nuclei. The tumor was negative for 1p/19q deletions by FISH. Mitoses were frequent enough to assign WHO grade III (hematoxylin-eosin, original magnification x200).

Because of the recurrence within a relatively short time frame and the presence of a more aggressive pathology on recurrence, the patient was treated with concurrent temozolomide and radiation therapy followed by 12 cycles of temozolomide therapy. The patient remained in remission until seven years after the second resection when she again presented with recurrent symptoms. MRI showed interval enlargement of the residual lesion,
suggesting a second recurrence. The patient underwent a third craniotomy for tumor resection.
Figure 8: Figure 8
MR imaging and histology related to the third resection. Post-operative T1 weighted MRI with contrast revealed a gross-total resection of the lesion.

Pathology from the third resection revealed fibrillary astrocytoma without notable gemistocytic component.
All resection specimens were IDH1 mutated and positive for MGMT promoter methylation. Six weeks after the third craniotomy, the patient was initiated on a regimen of Avastin/CPT-11.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first documented case of an astrocytoma that converted from a more aggressive gemistocytic form to a less aggressive fibrillary form. The case is unusual in that a gemistocytic astrocytoma nearly always progress to less differentiated/anaplastic histology. This is the first case where this typical progression pattern was not observed in over thirty years of clinical practice by the senior pathologist of this case report.
We speculate that the phenomenon is the result of the inherently heterogeneous astrocytoma subpopulations. Over the past two decades, conceptualization of astrocytomas has evolved from a collection of relatively homogenous cells to the recognition of distinct sub-populations of tumor cells to that of a complex organ, with constant interactions between tumor cells [2,5]. Pre-clinical data suggest that these differing sub-populations of cells exhibit distinct response to chemotherapy and radiation therapy [1,6]. These results suggest that the predominant tumor histology may be altered by selective pressures imposed by therapy. In our case report, if the predominant gemistocytic sub-population of tumor cells is more sensitive to chemo/radiation therapy than a rarer fibrillary subpopulation, the rarer subpopulation may have an opportunity to expand to become the predominant sub-population after therapeutic intervention [3,8].

It is important to note other explanations of the phenomenon reported here. For instance, recent studies suggest that malignant gliomas harbor subpopulations of “stem” like cells capable of differentiating into distinct cell fates [2,11]. Moreover, the process of de-differentiation and differentiation appear highly dynamic [9, 11, 14]. In this context, it is conceivable that the selective pressure imposed by the therapy led to either differentiation or de-differentiation processes that result in a change in over-all histology.

The major limitation of this case report is that we cannot exclude the possibility that the change in pathology was entirely due to sampling error. The tumor described could have always been a mixed gemistocytic/fibrillary astrocytoma with geographically distinct subpopulations. In this scenario, these distinct geographic regions were sampled during the three different craniotomies. We believe that this scenario is unlikely for the following reasons. First, multiple and generous specimens were secured in all three craniotomies. Further, all specimens were carefully scrutinized by a team of experienced neuro-pathologists for the purpose of this case report. While sampling errors in specimen analysis is a known phenomenon, these errors are typically associated with small and limited specimens secured through stereotactic biopsies rather than generous samples secured through craniotomies [4, 7, 12]. Second, analysis of the pre- and post-operative MRI, as well as the operative notes revealed that the specimens were secured from a wide distribution of geographic local within the tumor region.
Conclusions

The importance of this case report is that it illustrates the dynamic nature of the astrocytoma sub-populations and emphasizes tumor heterogeneity as a concept in terms of therapeutic development. Ultimately, rational therapeutic agents targeting distinct sub-populations of glioblastoma cells will be required before meaningful clinical impact can be achieved.
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